

Almost sure limit theorems for the maximum of stationary Gaussian sequences

Endre Csáki^{a,1}, Khurelbaatar Gonchigdanzan^{b,2}

^aA. Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences,
P.O.Box 127, H-1364, Budapest, Hungary

^bDepartment of Mathematical Sciences, University of Cincinnati,
Cincinnati, OH 45221-0025, USA

Abstract. We prove an almost sure limit theorem for the maxima of stationary Gaussian sequences with covariance r_n under the condition $r_n \log n (\log \log n)^{1+\varepsilon} = O(1)$.

Key words: almost sure central limit theorem, logarithmic average, stationary Gaussian sequence.

Introduction. The early results on the almost sure central limit theorem (ASCLT) dealt mostly with partial sums of random variables. A general pattern of these investigations is that if X_1, X_2, \dots is a sequence of random variables with partial sums $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ satisfying $a_n(S_n - b_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} G$ for some numerical sequences (a_n) , (b_n) and distribution function G , then under some additional mild conditions we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{I}(a_k(S_k - b_k) < x) = G(x) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for any continuity point x of G , where \mathbf{I} is indicator function.

For more discussions about ASCLT we refer to the survey papers by Berkes (1998), and Atlagh and Weber (2000). Recently Fahrner and Stadtmüller (1998) and Cheng et al. (1998) have extended this principle by proving ASCLT for the maxima of independent random variables.

¹Supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research Grant No. T 029621

²Supported by a TAFT Fellowship at the University of Cincinnati

THEOREM A. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. random variables and $M_k = \max_{i \leq k} X_i$. If $a_k(M_k - b_k) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} G$ for a nondegenerate distribution G and some numerical sequences (a_k) and (b_k) , then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{I}(a_k(M_k - b_k) < x) = G(x) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for any continuity point x of G .

Berkes and Csáki (2001) extended the ASCLT for general nonlinear functionals of independent random variables. For strong invariance principles improving Theorem A see Berkes and Horváth (2001) and Fahrner (2001).

Throughout this paper Z_1, Z_2, \dots is a stationary Gaussian sequence and we denote its covariance function by $r_n = \mathbf{Cov}(Z_1, Z_{n+1})$, and $M_n = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} Z_i$ and $M_{k,n} = \max_{k+1 \leq i \leq n} Z_i$. Here $a \ll b$ and $a \sim b$ stand for $a = O(b)$ and $a/b \rightarrow 1$ respectively. $\Phi(x)$ is the standard normal distribution function and $\phi(x)$ is its density function.

For notational convenience let $R(n) = r_n \log n (\log \log n)^{1+\varepsilon}$.

1. Main Result. The main result is an almost sure central limit theorem for the maximum of stationary Gaussian sequences.

THEOREM 1.1. Let Z_1, Z_2, \dots be a standardized stationary Gaussian sequence with $R(n) = O(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

(i) If $n(1 - \Phi(u_n)) \rightarrow \tau$ for $0 \leq \tau < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{I}(M_k \leq u_k) = e^{-\tau} \quad \text{a.s.},$$

(ii) If $a_n = (2 \log n)^{1/2}$ and $b_n = (2 \log n)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(2 \log n)^{-1/2}(\log \log n + \log 4\pi)$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{I}(a_k(M_k - b_k) \leq x) = \exp(-e^{-x}) \quad \text{a.s..}$$

2. Auxiliary Results. The main weak convergence result for the maximum of stationary Gaussian sequence is summarized in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. (Theorem 4.3.3 in Leadbetter et al. (1983)). Let Z_1, Z_2, \dots be a standardized stationary Gaussian sequence with $r_n \log n \rightarrow 0$. Then

(i) For $0 \leq \tau < \infty$, $\mathbf{P}(M_n \leq u_n) \rightarrow e^{-\tau}$ if and only if $n(1 - \Phi(u_n)) \rightarrow \tau$

(ii) $\mathbf{P}(a_n(M_n - b_n) \leq x) \rightarrow \exp(-e^{-x})$,

where $a_n = (2 \log n)^{1/2}$ and $b_n = (2 \log n)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(2 \log n)^{-1/2}(\log \log n + \log 4\pi)$.

We need the following lemmas for the proof of our main result.

LEMMA 2.1. Let Z_1, Z_2, \dots be a standardized stationary Gaussian sequence. Assume that $R(n) = O(1)$ and $n(1 - \Phi(u_n))$ is bounded. Then

$$\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} k \sum_{j=1}^n |r_j| \exp\left(-\frac{u_k^2 + u_n^2}{2(1 + |r_j|)}\right) \ll (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}.$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.1: Under the condition $r_n \rightarrow 0$ we have $\sup_{n \geq 1} |r_n| = \sigma < 1$ (cf., Leadbetter et al., 1983). By assumption, $n(1 - \Phi(u_n)) \leq K$. Let the sequence (v_n) be defined by $v_n = u_n$ if $n \leq K$ and $n(1 - \Phi(v_n)) = K$, if $n > K$. Then clearly $u_n \geq v_n$ and hence

$$k \sum_{j=1}^n |r_j| \exp\left(-\frac{u_k^2 + u_n^2}{2(1 + |r_j|)}\right) \leq k \sum_{j=1}^n |r_j| \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2(1 + |r_j|)}\right).$$

Thus it would be enough to prove the lemma for the sequence (v_n) . By the well known fact

$$1 - \Phi(x) \sim \frac{\phi(x)}{x}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

we can see that

$$(2.1) \quad \exp\left(-\frac{v_n^2}{2}\right) \sim \frac{K\sqrt{2\pi}v_n}{n}, \quad v_n \sim (2 \log n)^{1/2}.$$

Define α to be $0 < \alpha < (1 - \sigma)/(1 + \sigma)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} k \sum_{j=1}^n |r_j| \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2(1 + |r_j|)}\right) &= \\ &= k \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n^\alpha} |r_j| \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2(1 + |r_j|)}\right) + k \sum_{n^\alpha < j \leq n} |r_j| \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2(1 + |r_j|)}\right) = \\ &=: T_1 + T_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 &\leq kn^\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2(1+\sigma)}\right) = kn^\alpha \left(\exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2}\right)\right)^{1/(1+\sigma)} \ll \\
&\ll kn^\alpha \left(\frac{v_k v_n}{kn}\right)^{1/(1+\sigma)} \ll k^{1-1/(1+\sigma)} n^{\alpha-1/(1+\sigma)} (\log k \log n)^{1/2(1+\sigma)} \leq \\
&\leq n^{1+\alpha-2/(1+\sigma)} (\log n)^{1/(1+\sigma)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $1 + \alpha - 2/(1 + \sigma) < 0$, we get $T_1 \leq n^{-\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$, uniformly for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Now we estimate the second term T_2 . Setting $\sigma_n = \sup_{j \geq n} |r_j|$ and counting on $R(n) = O(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma_n \log n (\log \log n)^{1+\varepsilon} \leq \sup_{j \geq n} |r_j| \log j (\log \log j)^{1+\varepsilon} = O(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Set $p = [n^\alpha]$. By (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.3) \quad \sigma_p v_k v_n &\ll \sigma_{[n^\alpha]} (\log k \log n)^{1/2} \ll \sigma_{[n^\alpha]} \log n^\alpha \ll \\
&\ll (\log \log n^\alpha)^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \sim (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly, for $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$(2.4) \quad \sigma_p v_k^2 \ll (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}.$$

Hence using (2.1), (2.3) and (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2 &\leq k \sigma_p \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2}\right) \sum_{p \leq j \leq n} \exp\left(\frac{(v_k^2 + v_n^2) |r_j|}{2(1 + |r_j|)}\right) \leq \\
&\leq kn \sigma_p \exp\left(-\frac{v_k^2 + v_n^2}{2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{(v_k^2 + v_n^2) \sigma_p}{2}\right) \ll (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let Z_1, Z_2, \dots be a standard stationary Gaussian sequence. Suppose that $\sup_{n \geq 1} |r_n| < 1$. Then for $k < n$*

$$\begin{aligned}
|P(M_k \leq u_k, M_{k,n} \leq u_n) - P(M_k \leq u_k)P(M_{k,n} \leq u_n)| &\ll \\
&\ll k \sum_{j=1}^n |r_j| \exp\left(-\frac{u_k^2 + u_n^2}{2(1 + |r_j|)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.2. We use the following

THEOREM 2.2. (Theorem 4.2.1, Normal Comparison Lemma in Leadbetter et al. (1983)). Suppose ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n are standard normal variables with covariance matrix $\Lambda^1 = (\Lambda_{ij}^1)$, and η_1, \dots, η_n with covariance matrix $\Lambda^0 = (\Lambda_{ij}^0)$, and let $\rho_{ij} = \max(|\Lambda_{ij}^1|, |\Lambda_{ij}^0|)$. Further, let u_1, \dots, u_n be real numbers. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |P(\xi_j \leq u_j, j = 1, \dots, n) - P(\eta_j \leq u_j, j = 1, \dots, n)| &\leq \\ &\leq K \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\Lambda_{ij}^1 - \Lambda_{ij}^0| \exp\left(-\frac{u_i^2 + u_j^2}{2(1 + \rho_{ij})}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Apply this Theorem with $(\xi_i = Z_i, i = 1, \dots, n)$, $(\eta_j = Z_j, j = 1, \dots, k; \eta_j = \tilde{Z}_j, j = k + 1, \dots, n)$, where $(\tilde{Z}_{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{Z}_n)$ has the same distribution as (Z_{k+1}, \dots, Z_n) , but it is independent of (Z_1, \dots, Z_k) . Further, $u_i = u_k, i = 1, \dots, k$ and $u_i = u_n, i = k + 1, \dots, n$. Then $\Lambda_{ij}^1 = \Lambda_{ij}^0 = r_{j-i}$ if either $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, or $k + 1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Otherwise $\Lambda_{ij}^1 = r_{j-i}, \Lambda_{ij}^0 = 0$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} |P(M_k \leq u_k, M_{k,n} \leq u_n) - P(M_k \leq u_k)P(M_{k,n} \leq u_n)| &\ll \\ &\ll \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=k+1}^n |r_{j-i}| \exp\left(-\frac{u_k^2 + u_n^2}{2(1 + |r_{j-i}|)}\right) \leq k \sum_{m=1}^n |r_m| \exp\left(-\frac{u_k^2 + u_n^2}{2(1 + |r_m|)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of LEMMA 2.2.

LEMMA 2.3. Let Z_1, Z_2, \dots be a standardized stationary Gaussian sequence. Assume that $R(n) = O(1)$ and $n(1 - \Phi(u_n))$ is bounded. Then for $1 \leq k < n$

$$\mathbf{Cov}(\mathbf{I}(M_k \leq u_k), \mathbf{I}(M_{k,n} \leq u_n)) \ll (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}.$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.3: It follows simply from LEMMA 2.1 and LEMMA 2.2.

LEMMA 2.4. Let Z_1, Z_2, \dots be a standardized stationary Gaussian sequence. Assume that $R(n) = O(1)$ and $n(1 - \Phi(u_n))$ is bounded, then

$$\mathbf{E}|\mathbf{I}(M_n \leq u_n) - \mathbf{I}(M_{k,n} \leq u_n)| \ll \frac{k}{n} + (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}.$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.4: Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}|\mathbf{I}(M_n \leq u_n) - \mathbf{I}(M_{k,n} \leq u_n)| &= \mathbf{P}(M_{k,n} \leq u_n) - \mathbf{P}(M_n \leq u_n) \leq \\ &\leq |\mathbf{P}(M_{k,n} \leq u_n) - \Phi^{n-k}(u_n)| + |\mathbf{P}(M_n \leq u_n) - \Phi^n(u_n)| + \\ &+ |\Phi^{n-k}(u_n) - \Phi^n(u_n)| =: D_1 + D_2 + D_3. \end{aligned}$$

From the elementary fact that

$$x^{n-k} - x^n \leq \frac{k}{n}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

we have $D_3 \leq (k/n)$. By Corollary 4.2.4 in Leadbetter et al. (1983), p. 84

$$D_i \ll n \sum_{j=1}^n |r_j| \exp\left(-\frac{u_n^2}{1 + |r_j|}\right) \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Thus by LEMMA 2.1 we have $D_i \ll (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}$, $i = 1, 2$.

3. Proof of Main Result. We now give the proof of THEOREM 1.1. We need the following lemma for the proof.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let η_1, η_2, \dots be a sequence of bounded random variables. If*

$$\mathbf{Var} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \eta_k \right) \ll \log^2 n (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (\eta_k - \mathbf{E}\eta_k) = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.1: Setting

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (\eta_k - \mathbf{E}\eta_k)$$

and $n_k = \exp(\exp(k^\nu))$ for some $\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} < \nu < 1$, we have

$$\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}\mu_{n_k}^2 \ll \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} (\log \log n_k)^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \ll \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} k^{-\nu(1+\varepsilon)} < \infty$$

implying $\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \mu_{n_k}^2 < \infty$ a.s. Thus

$$\mu_{n_k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Since

$$(k+1)^\nu - k^\nu \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{if } \nu < 1,$$

we have

$$\frac{\log n_{k+1}}{\log n_k} = e^{(k+1)^\nu - k^\nu} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Obviously for any given n there is an integer k such that $n_k < n \leq n_{k+1}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_n| &\leq \frac{1}{\log n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} (\eta_j - \mathbf{E}\eta_j) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\log n_k} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{j} (\eta_j - \mathbf{E}\eta_j) \right| + \frac{1}{\log n_k} \sum_{j=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} \frac{1}{j} |\eta_j - \mathbf{E}\eta_j| \ll \\ &\ll |\mu_{n_k}| + \frac{1}{\log n_k} (\log n_{k+1} - \log n_k) \ll |\mu_{n_k}| + \left(\frac{\log n_{k+1}}{\log n_k} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1: First, we claim that under the assumptions that $R(n) = O(1)$ and $n(1 - \Phi(u_n))$ is bounded, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (\mathbf{I}(M_k \leq u_k) - \mathbf{P}(M_k \leq u_k)) = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

In order to show this, by LEMMA 3.1 it is sufficient to show

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{Var} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{I}(M_k \leq u_k) \right) \ll (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \log^2 n \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Let $\eta_k = \mathbf{I}(M_k \leq u_k) - \mathbf{P}(M_k \leq u_k)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Var} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{I}(M_k \leq u_k) \right) &= \mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \eta_k \right)^2 = \\ (3.3) \quad &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} \mathbf{E}|\eta_k|^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \frac{|\mathbf{E}(\eta_k \eta_l)|}{kl} =: \mathbf{L}_1 + \mathbf{L}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\eta_k| \leq 2$, it follows that

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbf{L}_1 \ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} < \infty.$$

To estimate \mathbf{L}_2 , note that for $l > k$

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathbf{E}(\eta_k \eta_l)| &= |\mathbf{Cov}(\mathbf{I}(M_k \leq u_k), \mathbf{I}(M_l \leq u_l))| \leq |\mathbf{Cov}(\mathbf{I}(M_k \leq u_k), \mathbf{I}(M_l \leq u_l) - \\ &\quad - \mathbf{I}(M_{k,l} \leq u_l))| + |\mathbf{Cov}(\mathbf{I}(M_k \leq u_k), \mathbf{I}(M_{k,l} \leq u_l))| \ll \\ &\ll \mathbf{E}|\mathbf{I}(M_l \leq u_l) - \mathbf{I}(M_{k,l} \leq u_l)| + |\mathbf{Cov}(\mathbf{I}(M_k \leq u_k), \mathbf{I}(M_{k,l} \leq u_l))|. \end{aligned}$$

By LEMMA 2.3 and LEMMA 2.4 we get

$$|\mathbf{Cov}(\mathbf{I}(M_k \leq u_k), \mathbf{I}(M_{k,l} \leq u_l))| \ll (\log \log l)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}|\mathbf{I}(M_l \leq u_l) - \mathbf{I}(M_{k,l} \leq u_l)| \ll \frac{k}{l} + (\log \log l)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}.$$

Hence for $l > k$

$$(3.6) \quad |\mathbf{E}(\eta_k \eta_l)| \ll \frac{k}{l} + (\log \log l)^{-(1+\varepsilon)}$$

and consequently

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_2 &\ll \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \frac{1}{kl} \left(\frac{k}{l}\right) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \frac{1}{kl(\log \log l)^{1+\varepsilon}} = \\ &=: \mathbf{L}_{21} + \mathbf{L}_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

For \mathbf{L}_{21} and \mathbf{L}_{22} we have the following estimates:

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_{22} &\ll \sum_{l=3}^n \frac{1}{l(\log \log l)^{1+\varepsilon}} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{k} \ll \sum_{l=3}^n \frac{\log l}{l(\log \log l)^{1+\varepsilon}} \ll \\ &\ll \log n \sum_{l=3}^n \frac{1}{l(\log \log l)^{1+\varepsilon}} \ll \log^2 n (\log \log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbf{L}_{21} \leq \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \frac{1}{kl} \binom{k}{l} \ll \log n.$$

Thus (3.3)–(3.9) together establish (3.1).

PROOF OF (i): Note that $R(n) = O(1)$ implies $r_n \log n \rightarrow 0$. By THEOREM 4.3.3(i) in Leadbetter et al. (1983), we have $P(M_n \leq u_n) \rightarrow e^{-\tau}$. Clearly this implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{P}(M_k \leq u_k) = e^{-\tau}$$

which is, by (3.1), equivalent to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{I}(M_k \leq u_k) = e^{-\tau} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

PROOF OF (ii): By THEOREM 2.1 we have $n(1 - \Phi(u_n)) \rightarrow e^{-x}$ for $u_n = x/a_n + b_n$.

Thus the statement of (ii) is a special case of (i).

Acknowledgement

We thank a referee for some useful comments.

REFERENCES

1. Atlagh, M. and Weber, M. (2000), Le théorème central limite presque sûr. *Expositiones Mathematicae* **18**, 097–126.
2. Berkes, I. (1998), Results and problems related to the pointwise central limit theorem. *Asymptotic results in Probability and Statistics*, (A volume in honour of Miklós Csörgő), 59–60, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
3. Berkes, I. and Csáki, E. (2001), A universal result in almost sure central limit theory. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **94**, 105–134.

4. Berkes, I. and Horváth, L. (2001), The logarithmic average of sample extremes is asymptotically normal. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **91**, 77–98.
5. Cheng, S., Peng, L. and Qi, Y. (1998), Almost sure convergence in extreme value theory. *Math. Nachr.* **190**, 43–50.
6. Fahrner, I. and Stadtmüller, U. (1998), On almost sure max-limit theorems. *Stat. Prob. Letters* **37**, 229–236.
7. Fahrner, I. (2001), A strong invariance principle for the logarithmic average of sample maxima. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **93**, 317–337.
8. Hurelbaatar, G. (1997), Almost sure limit theorems for dependent random variables. *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.* **33**, 167–175.
9. Leadbetter, M. R., Lindgren, G., and Rootzén, H. (1983), *Extremes and Related Properties of Random Sequences and Processes*. Springer–Verlag, New York.