## A NOTE ON FAREY SERIES

## By P. ERDŐS (Philadelphia)

[Received 30 March 1943.]

This note was received in the form of a letter addressed, through the Quarterly Journal, to the late Dr. Mayer. It has been put into its present form by the kindness of Professor Davenport.]

In extension of Dr. Mayer's theorems on the ordering of Farey series,\* the following theorem can be proved:

THEOREM: There exists an absolute constant c such that, if n > ck, and if

$$\frac{a_1}{b_1}, \frac{a_2}{b_2}, \dots$$

are the Farey fractions of order n, then  $\frac{a_x}{b_x}$  and  $\frac{a_{x+k}}{b_{x+k}}$  are similarly ordered.

*Proof.* As in Dr. Mayer's paper, we observe first that, if  $a_x/b_x$  and  $a_y/b_y$  (the latter being the greater) are not similarly ordered, then  $a_y \geqslant a_x+1, b_y \leqslant b_x-1$ , and therefore it suffices to prove that there are at least k Farey fractions between

$$rac{a_x}{b_x} \quad ext{and} \quad rac{a_x+1}{b_x-1}.$$

Case I. Suppose that  $a_x/b_x < \frac{1}{6}$ . In this case, we note that

$$\frac{a_x+1}{b_x-1} - \frac{a_x}{b_x} = \frac{a_x+b_x}{(b_x-1)b_x} > \frac{1}{b_x} \ge \frac{1}{n},$$

and we shall prove that there are at least k Farey fractions in the and we summary interval  $\left(\frac{a_x}{b_x}, \frac{a_x}{b_x} + \frac{1}{n}\right)$ . Let  $\underline{a_x}, \underline{a_{x+1}}, \dots, \underline{a_y}$ 

$$\frac{a_x}{b_x}$$
,  $\frac{a_{x+1}}{b_{x+1}}$ , ...,  $\frac{a_y}{b_y}$ 

be the Farey fractions in this interval. Since the difference between two consecutive fractions is less than  $\frac{1}{n}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{n} < \frac{a_{y+1}}{b_{y+1}} - \frac{a_x}{b_x} < \frac{2}{n}.$$

\* A. E. Mayer, Quart. J. of Math. (Oxford), 13 (1942), 186-7, Theorems 1, 2.

If n > 60, it follows that  $a_{y+1}/b_{y+1} < \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{30} = \frac{1}{5}$ , so that  $b_j \ge 6$  for  $x \leq j \leq y+1.$ 

Now

$$\frac{a_{y+1}}{b_{y+1}} - \frac{a_x}{b_x} = \sum_{j=x}^y \left( \frac{a_{j+1}}{b_{j+1}} - \frac{a_j}{b_j} \right) = \sum_{j=x}^y \frac{1}{b_j b_{j+1}} < \sum_{j=x}^y \frac{2}{n \min(b_j, b_{j+1})},$$

since  $b_i + b_{i+1} > n$ . Thus

$$\Sigma \equiv \sum_{j=x}^{y} \frac{1}{\min(b_{j}, b_{j+1})} > \frac{1}{2}.$$
(1)  

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_{1} + \Sigma_{2},$$
(2)

We write

where  $\sum_{i}$  is extended over those values of j for which

$$\min(b_j, b_{j+1}) < 8k,$$

and  $\sum_{2}$  over the others. Plainly

$$\sum_{2} < \frac{y-x+1}{8k}.$$

If there is only one value of j (with  $x \leq j \leq y+1$ ) for which  $b_j < 8k$ , then there are at most two terms in  $\sum_{i}$ , and, since  $b_j \ge 6$ , we have  $\sum_{1} \leq \frac{1}{3}$ . If there are several such values of j, let them be  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t$ . We have

$$\begin{split} \frac{2}{n} > & \frac{a_{r_l}}{b_{r_l}} - \frac{a_{r_1}}{b_{r_1}} = \sum_{l=1}^{t-1} \left( \frac{a_{r_{l+1}}}{b_{r_{l+1}}} - \frac{a_{r_l}}{b_{r_l}} \right) \geqslant \sum_{l=1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{b_{r_l} b_{r_{l+1}}} > \frac{1}{8k} \sum_{l=1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{b_{r_l}} \\ e & \sum_{l=1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{b_{r_l}} < \frac{16k}{n}, \end{split}$$

Henc

and the same holds for the sum from 2 to t. Thus

$$\sum_{l=1}^t \frac{1}{b_{r_l}} < \frac{32k}{n},$$

and, since each  $b_{r_i}$  occurs in at most two terms in  $\sum_{1}$ , it follows that

$$\sum_1 < \frac{64k}{n} < \frac{1}{3},$$

provided that n > 192k.

From (1) and (2), we have  $\sum_2 > \frac{1}{6}$ , that is

$$\frac{y\!-\!x\!+\!1}{8k}\!>\!\frac{1}{6},\qquad y\!-\!x\!+\!1>{}^{\frac{4}{3}}\!k>k\!+\!1$$

for  $k \ge 3$ . This proves the result in Case I.

83

(2)

P. ERDŐS

Case II. Suppose now that  $a_x/b_x \ge \frac{1}{6}$ . In this case,

$$\frac{a_x+1}{b_x-1} - \frac{a_x}{b_x} = \frac{a_x+b_x}{(b_x-1)b_x} > \frac{7}{6n}.$$

We shall prove that the interval

$$\left(\!\frac{a_x}{b_x}, \frac{a_x}{b_x} \!+\! \frac{7}{6n}\!\right)$$

contains at least k Farey fractions. For this interval we have, in place of (1),  $\underline{v}$  1 7

$$\sum_{j=x}^{y} \frac{1}{\min(b_j, b_{j+1})} > \frac{7}{12}.$$

If  $b_j \ge 6$  for  $x \le j \le y+1$ , the proof of case (I) remains valid. Hence we can suppose that one of the  $b_j$  does not exceed 5. But, if  $b_r \le 5$ , then  $2 |a_i - a_j| = 1$ 

$$\left|rac{a_j}{n} > \left|rac{a_j}{b_j} - rac{a_r}{b_r}
ight| \geqslant rac{1}{5b_j}$$

for  $j \neq r$ , whence  $b_j > \frac{1}{10}n > 40k$ , provided that n > 400k. So every  $b_j$  except  $b_r$  satisfies  $b_j > 40k$ .

Since the difference between two consecutive Farey fractions is at most 1/2(n-1), we have (omitting in the summations j = r and j+1 = r)

$$\sum_{j=x'}^{y'} \left( \frac{a_{j+1}}{b_{j+1}} - \frac{a_j}{b_j} \right) > \frac{7}{6n} - \frac{2}{2(n-1)} > \frac{1}{10n}.$$

Hence

whence

$$rac{1}{10n} < \sum_{j=x}^{y} rac{1}{b_j b_{j+1}} < rac{2}{n} \sum_{j=x}^{y} rac{1}{\min(b_j, b_{j+1})} \ \sum_{j=1}^{y} rac{1}{\min(b_j, b_{j+1})} > rac{1}{20}.$$

,

j = x

Since  $\min(b_j, b_{j+1}) > 40k$  in this sum, we have

$$rac{y\!-\!x\!+\!1}{40k}\!>\!rac{1}{20}, \qquad y\!-\!x\!+\!1>2k\geqslant k\!+\!1.$$

This completes the proof.

I have not been able to find the best possible value for the constant c in the above result. It is easy to prove the following results, which are closely connected with that proved above:

(i) To every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $c = c(\epsilon)$  such that any interval of length  $(1+\epsilon)/n$  contains at least cn Farey fractions of order n.

84

(ii) If 
$$f(n) \to \infty$$
 as  $n \to \infty$ , any interval of length  $n^{-1}f(n)$  contains

$$\frac{3}{\pi^2} nf(n) + o(nf(n))$$

Farey fractions of order n.

It may be of interest to remark that Lemma 1 of Dr. Mayer's paper can be strengthened as follows: There exists a constant  $c_1$ such that any interval of length  $L = k^{c_1}$  contains a set of at least kmutually prime integers. This can be proved by Brun's method. It would be interesting to have a good estimate for the best possible value L(k) of L from below. It follows from a result of Rankin\* that

 $L(k) > c_2 \frac{k \log k \log \log \log k}{(\log \log k)^2}.$ 

\* J. of London Math. Soc. 13 (1938), 242.