

Bálint Tóth
Institute of Mathematics
Technical University Budapest

FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS

Handwritten lecture notes based on chapters I, II, III,
VI, VII and Suppl. of

Michael Reed, Barry Simon: *Methods of Modern
Mathematical Physics, Vol. I: Functional Analysis*
(Revised and enlarged edition), Academic Press, Inc.
London, New York etc, 1980

2. Hilbert spaces: * inner products and the geometry of
Euclidean spaces * Schwarz's and Bessel's inequalities * the
Riesz lemma * orthonormal bases and Fourier series *
applications to basic ergodic theory: measure preserving
transformations, Koopman's representation, von Neumann's
ergodic theorem *

Inner product spaces

vector spaces (finite dim) : linear prop $\begin{Bmatrix} a, b \end{Bmatrix}$
 metric prop $\begin{Bmatrix} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \end{Bmatrix}$
 geometric prop
 given the value of angle
 between vectors

Def inner product V complex vector space

- $(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ $\begin{matrix} x, y, z \in V \\ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \end{matrix}$
- (i) $(x, x) \geq 0$ & $(x, x) = 0$ iff $x = 0$
- (ii) $(x, \alpha y + \beta z) = \alpha (x, y) + \beta (x, z)$
- (iii) $(xy) = \overline{(y, x)}$

Examples

(1) $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, $(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i y_i$

(2) $V = C[a, b, \mathbb{C}]$, $(f, g) = \int_a^b \bar{f}(x) g(x) dx$

Def orthogonality: $x \perp y$ and $(x, y) = 0$

orthonormal set $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ $(x_\alpha, x_\beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \alpha = \beta \\ 0 & \alpha \neq \beta \end{cases}$

Normed $\|x\| = \sqrt{(x, x)}$ "norm"

~~Ex 1~~ (Pythagorean) $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^N$ f.o.k.
 $0 < n \leq N$ in V

($x \in V$):

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N |(x_n, x)|^2 + \left\| x - \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n, x) X_n \right\|^2$$

Proof

$$x = \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^N (x_n, x) X_n}_u + \underbrace{\left(x - \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n, x) X_n \right)}_v$$

$$\|x\|^2 = \|u\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(u, v) + \|v\|^2$$

$$\|u\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N \overline{(x_n, x)} (x_m, x) \cdot (x_m, x_n) = \sum_{n=1}^N |(x_n, x)|^2$$

$$(u, v) = \sum_{n=1}^N \overline{(x_n, x)} \left(x_n, x - \sum_{m=1}^N (x_m, x) X_m \right) = 0$$

Problem 5

Corollary (Bessel inequality): $\|x\|^2 \geq \sum_{n=1}^N |(x_n, x)|^2$

Corollary (Schwarz's inequality) $|(x, y)| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$

Proof. $N=1$, $x_1 = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$

$$\|x\|^2 \geq \left| \left(\frac{y}{\|y\|}, x \right) \right|^2 = \frac{|(y, x)|^2}{\|y\|^2}$$

The parallelogram law:

$$\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2$$

Problem 4, think also about Real inner prod space

Theorem 2 $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ is indeed a norm
(Schwarz $\Rightarrow$ triangle) HW: 2, 4

thus: an inner prod space is a metric space

Def Complete inner prod space = Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

Ex 1 is complete, Ex 2 is not

Example

problem 1

$$(2') \quad L_2[a, b] = \{ [f] \mid \int_a^b |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty \}$$

$$(f, g) = \int_a^b f(x) g(x) dx$$

- is complete (adapt the proof of Riesz-Fischer)

- $C[a, b]$ is dense in $L_2[a, b]$ Problem 2

(4) (M, μ) σ finite

$$L_2(M, \mu) = \{ [f] \mid \int_M |f|^2 d\mu < \infty \}$$

$$(f, g) = \int_M f g d\mu \quad \text{Completeness: R-F.}$$

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{L}_2 = \{ (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 < \infty \}$$

$$(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{x_n} y_n$$

(actually the previous example with $M = \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mu(\{i\}) = 1)$$

(4) direct sum $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 = \{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2 \mid$$

$$((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = (x_1, y_1)_{\mathcal{H}_1} + (x_2, y_2)_{\mathcal{H}_2} \}$$

Countable direct sum: problem 3

$$\mathcal{H}_n \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n = \{ (x_1, x_2, \dots) \mid x_i \in \mathcal{H}_i, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\|_{\mathcal{H}_i}^2 < \infty \}$$

scalar product ...

(5) vector valued function $(M, d\mu), \mathcal{H}$

$$L_2(M, d\mu, \mathcal{H}) =$$

$$\{ f: M \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \text{ measurable} \mid \int_M \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 d\mu < \infty \}$$

$$(f, g) = \int_M (f(x), g(x))_{\mathcal{H}} d\mu(x).$$

Isomorphism of Hilbert spaces.

$U: \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ invertible:

$$(Ux, Uy)_{\mathcal{H}_2} = (x, y)_{\mathcal{H}_1}$$

{ by polarization identity $\Leftrightarrow \|Ux\| = \|x\| \quad \forall x \}$

Orthogonal projection, Riesz lemma

Def closed subspace: $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
 finite dim subspaces are always closed
 algebraically: subspaces
 topologically: closed

orthogonal complement: $M^\perp$ subspace

$$M^\perp = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall x \in M \quad (xy) = 0\}$$

Problem 6 $(M^\perp)^\perp = M$

Lemma Theorem 3 $M = M^{\perp\perp} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
 $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n) \exists! (z \in M, w \in M^\perp): x = z + w$



Lemma: $M = M^{\perp\perp} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists! z \in M$ closest to x .

Proof $d = \text{dist}(x, M) = \inf_{y \in M} \|x - y\|$

$y_0 : \|x - y_0\| \rightarrow d$

$$\begin{aligned} \|y - y_0\|^2 &= \|(y - x) - (y_0 - x)\|^2 \quad (\text{parallelogram law}) \\ &= 2\|y - x\|^2 + 2\|y_0 - x\|^2 - \|y_0 + y - 2x\|^2 = \\ &= 2\|y - x\|^2 + 2\|y_0 - x\|^2 - 4\left\|\frac{y + y_0}{2} - x\right\|^2 \\ &\quad \downarrow 4d^2 \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow 4d_0^2 \end{aligned}$$

maximize

Proof of the Theorem: $\neq$ the direct form it (according to Lemma)

$$d = x - z$$

we prove $d \in M^\perp$: assume $(d, y) > 0$ for some $y \in M$

$$\|x - (\underbrace{z + ty}_{\in M})\|^2 = \|x - z + ty\|^2 = d^2 - 2t(d, y) + t^2\|y\|^2 \leq d^2 \text{ for } t \text{ small contradiction!}$$

uniqueness Proposition 7

then: $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{K} \oplus M^\perp$

Def $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}') = \{\text{bdd linear ~~map~~^{operator} from } \mathcal{R} \text{ to } \mathcal{R}'\}$

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Tx\|_{\mathcal{R}'} \mid \mathcal{Ker} T, \text{ Range } T$$

$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{H}^*$ - dual space of $\mathcal{H}$
 bdd linear functionals

let $y \in \mathcal{H}$ (fixed)

$$T^{(y)}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad T^{(y)}_x = (y, x)$$

linear bdd (by Schwarz) $\} \rightarrow T^{(y)} \in \mathcal{H}^*$

$$\|T^{(y)}\|_{\mathcal{H}^*} = \|y\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

$x \mapsto (y, Ax)$ is a l.h.f.

$$y = (y^*, Ax)$$

$$y^* = \Delta K y$$

if $0 \leq p(x)$, since $\Delta K \geq 0$ and $y \geq 0$

$$\langle y, (y, p(x)) \rangle = \langle y, y^* + p(x)y \rangle = \langle y, y^* \rangle + \langle y, p(x)y \rangle$$

substituting $y^* = \Delta K y$ and $p(x) \geq 0$

$\langle y, y^* \rangle = 0$

$$\Delta K \oplus \Delta K = \Delta K$$

if $\Delta K \geq 0$ and $y \geq 0$, then $\langle y, \Delta K y \rangle \geq 0$

$$\langle y, \Delta K y \rangle = \langle y, y^* \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle y, p(x)y \rangle = \langle y, p(x)y \rangle = \langle y, p(x)y \rangle$$

substituting $y^* = \Delta K y$

$$\langle y, y^* \rangle = \langle y, \Delta K y \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle y, p(x)y \rangle = \langle y, p(x)y \rangle = \langle y, p(x)y \rangle$$

$$\langle y, p(x)y \rangle = \langle y, p(x)y \rangle = \langle y, p(x)y \rangle$$

$$\langle y, p(x)y \rangle = \langle y, p(x)y \rangle$$

Consider: The Riesz Lemma: $\forall T \in \mathcal{K}^* \exists! y_T \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{H} \quad Tx = \langle y_T, x \rangle y_T \quad ; \quad \|y_T\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|T\|_{\mathcal{K}}$$

Proof assume $T \neq 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\text{Ker } T = \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid Tx = 0\} = \text{Ker } T \neq \mathcal{H}$$

~~Let $x_0 \in (\text{Ker } T)^\perp$ $x_0 \neq 0$ (exists!) $\frac{x_0}{\|x_0\|}$~~

$$x_0 \in (\text{Ker } T)^\perp \quad x_0 \neq 0 \quad (\text{exists!})$$

claim $(\text{Ker } T)^\perp = \{ \alpha x_0 \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \}$ can be shown

Let $x_1 \in (\text{Ker } T)^\perp$ arg: for $x \in \mathcal{H}$ $x = \underbrace{\left(x - \frac{\langle x, x_0 \rangle}{\|x_0\|^2} x_0 \right)}_{\in \text{Ker } T} + \frac{\langle x, x_0 \rangle}{\|x_0\|^2} x_0$

$$\text{let } y_T = \frac{1}{\|x_0\|^2} x_0$$

$$\forall x \in (\text{Ker } T) \oplus (\text{Ker } T)^\perp \quad (y_T, x) = Tx$$

Singular form $\Rightarrow$

Corollary: problem 2

Lemma 9: Helms Lemma for Hilbert sp

HW: 5, 9,

$$I: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^*$$

isomorphism from

Antilinear isometry

Conjugate linear

$\uparrow$
adjoint

- FL -

Probab
Borel σ -Algebra on $[0,1]$ $\mu([0,1]) = 1$

$$\mu([0,x]) = F(x)$$

- uniform measure
- continuous for a right
- $F(1) = 1$

$$\mu((a,b]) = F(b) - F(a)$$

$$\mu([a,b]) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (F(b) - F(a-\epsilon)) \quad a > 0$$

$$\mu((a,b)) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (F(b-\epsilon) - F(a))$$

$$\mu([a,b)) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (F(b-\epsilon) - F(a-\epsilon)) \quad a > 0$$

$$\mu(\{a\}) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (F(a) - F(a-\epsilon))$$

and vice versa: F determined by

plus full

~~Handwritten scribbles~~

- FL -

-8-
 First: concrete examples or (or) $\leftarrow$ described by

Radon-Nikodym's proof of $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Radon-Nikodym} \\ \text{Lebesgue decomposition} \end{array} \right.$

$(M, \mathcal{F})$ measurable space ν, μ two measures

Def # ν, μ are mutually singular:

$$\exists A \in \mathcal{F} : \nu(A) = \mu(A^c) = 0 \quad \nu \perp \mu$$

" ν and μ are completely concentrated on disjoint supports"

μ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. ν ($\mu \ll \nu$)

$$(\forall A \in \mathcal{F}) : (\nu(A) = 0) \Rightarrow (\mu(A) = 0)$$

Radon-Nikodym Theorem: $\mu \ll \nu$ iff

$\exists$ measurable $g(\geq 0)$ such that $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\mu(A) = \int g(x) \chi_A(x) d\nu(x)$$

g is uniquely determined μ -a.e.

Lebesgue decomposition: ν, μ two measures (ν = reference)

$$\mu = \mu_{ac} + \mu_{sing}$$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_{ac} \ll \nu \\ \nu, \mu_{sing} \text{ mutually sing} \end{array} \right.$

the decomposition is unique

von Hahnemann's proof (by use of Riesz Lemma)

(J von Hahnemann = Hahnemann-Johnson)
Pap, 1903 - which, 1957

Theorem (SL) $(M, \mathcal{F})$ μ, ν finite measure

$$\exists A \in \mathcal{F} : \nu(A^c) = 0$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu \in L_1(M, d\nu) \end{array} \right. \quad \mu \geq 0$$

$$(\forall B \in \mathcal{A}) \quad \mu(B) = \int_B \mu d\nu$$

Remark $\mu_A(\cdot) = \mu(\cdot \cap A)$

$$\mu_{A^c}(\cdot) = \mu(\cdot \cap A^c)$$

Proof: $\alpha = \nu + \mu$ (finite!) $\mathcal{L} = L_2(M, d\alpha)$
Real

$$L \in \mathcal{L}^*: L(g) = \int_M g d\mu$$

linear ✓
bnd:

$$|L(g)| = \left| \int 1 \cdot g d\mu \right| \leq \left(\int 1^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2} \left(\int g^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \left(\int 1^2 d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \left(\int g^2 d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \leq 194 \cdot \sqrt{217}$$

-96-

$$\begin{aligned} \int g d\mu &= \int g F d\lambda & \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{in particular} \end{array} \right. & \left| \begin{array}{l} \mu(B) = \int_B F d\lambda \\ \nu(B) = \int_B (F) d\lambda \end{array} \right. \\ \int g d\nu &= \int g (F) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

by def. $\exists F \in \mathcal{K} - L_1(\Pi, d\alpha)$:

$$L(g) = \int_{\Pi} F \cdot g \, d\alpha \quad \mu(B) = \int_B F \, d\alpha; \quad \nu(B) = \int_B (1-F) \, d\alpha$$

claim: $0 \leq F \leq 1$ α -a.e.

$$C_n = \{x \mid F(x) \leq \frac{1}{n}\} \quad C_0 = \{x \mid F(x) < 0\} = \emptyset$$

$$0 \leq \mu(C_n) = \int_{C_n} F \, d\alpha \leq \frac{1}{n} \alpha(C_n) \quad \begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \vdots \end{matrix} \\ \Rightarrow \alpha(C_n) = 0; \quad \alpha(C) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(C_n) = 0$$

$$C_n = \{x \mid F(x) > 1 + \frac{1}{n}\}$$

$$0 \leq \nu(C_n) = \int_{C_n} (1-F) \, d\alpha \leq -\frac{1}{n} \alpha(C_n) \\ \Rightarrow \alpha(C_n) = 0.$$

$$\text{Let: } A = \{x \mid 0 \leq F(x) \leq 1\} \quad ; \quad A^c = \{x \mid F(x) > 1\}$$

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{F(x)}{1-F(x)} & x \in A \\ 0 & x \in A^c \end{cases}$$

$$\nu(A^c) = 0 \quad \checkmark \quad \nu(A^c) = \int_{A^c} (1-F) \, d\alpha = 0.$$

$B \subset A$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B p(x) \, \nu(dx) &= \int_B p(x) (1-F(x)) \, d\alpha(x) = \int_B F(x) \, d\alpha(x) \\ &= \int_B d\mu(x) = \mu(B) \end{aligned}$$

$p \in L_1(\Pi, d\mu)$ automatically

(done)

more about divergence

or (a1) $\mu = \mu_{\text{acc}} + \mu_{\text{pp}}$

$\mu = \mu_{\text{acc}} + \mu_{\text{divergent}} + \mu_{\text{pp}}$

↑
Devil's staircase (- Cantor's set)

O-N bases in Hilbert spaces, $\mathcal{O} = \{S \mid S = \text{o-n collection in } \mathcal{H}\}$ ordered by inclusion

Def O-N basis = maximal O-N collection (w.r.t. inclusion)

thm $\mathcal{H}$ Hilbert sp, $\mathcal{H}$ has an O-N basis

Proof (pursue with Zorn's lemma)

$\mathcal{O} = \{S : S = \text{o-n set in } \mathcal{H}\}$ ordered by inclusion

$\{S_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ linearly ordered $\Rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$

(i.e. $\emptyset$ linearly ordered subset has an upper bound in $\mathcal{O}$)

$\Rightarrow$ by Zorn $\exists$ max element in $\mathcal{O}$

thm (why basis?) $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ o-n basis in $\mathcal{H}$
 $\forall y \in \mathcal{H}$

then $(x_\alpha, y) \neq 0$ for at most countably many α 's (call them $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$)

and $y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (x_{\alpha_i}, y) x_{\alpha_i}$ (Parseval's formula) Fourier coefficients

$$\|y\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |(x_{\alpha_i}, y)|^2 \quad (\text{Parseval's formula})$$

Conversely, given c_i $i=1, 2, \dots$ $\sum |c_i|^2 < \infty$

then $\sum c_i x_{\alpha_i} = y \in \mathcal{H}$

Proof $A_n = \{x \in A \mid |(x, y)| > \frac{1}{n}\}$

by Bessel: $\|y\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{n^2} \# A_n \Rightarrow A_n$ is finite

$$\{x \in A \mid |(x, y)| \neq 0\} = \bigcup_n A_n$$

By Bessel: $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |(x_{i1}, y)|^2 \leq \|y\|^2 < \infty$

$y, y_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{i1}, y) x_{i1}$ is Cauchy

why? $\|y_n - y_{n+1}\|^2 = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} |(x_{i1}, y)|^2$

hence $y_n \rightarrow y'$

$y, y' = y$ why?

$(\forall x \in A) \quad (y', y, x_{i1}) = 0$

(i) $x = x_{i1}$ $\therefore (x_{i1}, y') = (x_{i1}, y)$

(ii) $x \neq x_{i1}$ $(x_{i1}, y) = (x_{i1}, y') = 0$

but $\{x_{i1}\}$ is base $\Rightarrow y - y' = 0$.

$\therefore \|y - y_n\|^2 = \|y\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n |(x_{i1}, y)|^2 \rightarrow 0$

Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization

Prop Given a countable lin. indep system

$$\{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$$

there is a unique o.n. system

$$\text{up to } \hat{u}_k = e^{i\theta_k} u_k$$

$\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ such that

$$\text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_n\} = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$$

Procedure: orthogonalization

$$v_1 = u_1$$

normalization

$$\hat{v}_1 = \frac{v_1}{\|v_1\|}$$

$$w_2 = u_2 - (v_1, u_2)v_1$$

subtract the part
in span of v_1

$$v_2 = \frac{w_2}{\|w_2\|}$$

$$w_{k+1} = u_{k+1} - \sum_{i=1}^k (w_i, u_{k+1})v_i \quad v_{k+1} = \frac{w_{k+1}}{\|w_{k+1}\|}$$

(by linear independence you never get

$$\|w_k\| = 0)$$

problem 10: Legendre polynomials

Definition separably metric space = it contains a countable dense subset

Theorem: X is separable $\Leftrightarrow X$ has a ^(dense) countable s.u.b.

Classification: $\dim(X) = \# \{ \text{an basis} \}$

(separable X)

$$\dim X = n < \infty \Leftrightarrow X \cong \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$\dim X = \infty \Leftrightarrow X \cong \ell_2 \text{ (isomorphism)}$$

Proof ($\Leftarrow$) $\{ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \mid n < \infty, c_i \in \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q} \}$
is dense and countable

($\Rightarrow$) $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ lin indep

$\text{span}\{x_i\}$ is dense

apply Gram-Schmidt

Classification: $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ orthon basis!

$$T: X \rightarrow \ell_2$$

$$T y = ((x_1, y), (x_2, y), \dots) \in \ell_2, L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

All the natural problems are in separable $\mathbb{R}$ -s $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$
Then why do we need other Hilbert spaces?

more structure! $\boxed{\text{p. 11}} (L_2(\mathbb{R}) \not\subset \text{sep})$

e.g. Δ on $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$

$\boxed{\text{p. 15}}$ Construction of
non-sep $\mathbb{R}$

HW: 10, 11, 13, 25

see also

$\boxed{\text{p. 25}}$

Fourier Series:

The wave equation:

$$\text{find } u: [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (C)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = c^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$$

$$a = -8$$

$$b = 8$$

BC: $\begin{cases} \text{Dirichlet} \\ \text{Neumann} \\ \text{periodic} \end{cases}$

fixed supports $\rightarrow$ Dirichlet

free supports $\rightarrow$ Neumann

ring $\rightarrow$ periodic

$$\begin{aligned} u(a, t) &= 0 = u(b, t) & \forall t \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(a, t) &= 0 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(b, t) & \forall t \\ u(a, t) &= u(b, t) & \forall t \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{I.C. } \begin{cases} u(x, 0) = f(x) \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = g(x) \end{cases} \quad x \in [a, b]$$

interpolation

The heat equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \kappa \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$$

$$\kappa > 0.$$

BC: same

$$\text{I.C. } u(x, 0) = f(x).$$

$$[a, b] = [-\pi, \pi]$$

solve the heat eq. with periodic b.c.:

separation of variables: (forget about IC for the moment)

try, $u(x, t) = X(x) \cdot T(t)$ separation of variables

$$\frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \text{B.C.}$$

$$\frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{\dot{T}(t)}{T(t)} = -\lambda = \frac{X''(x)}{X(x)} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\dot{T}(t) = -k\lambda T(t)$$

$$\begin{cases} X''(x) = -\lambda X(x) & X' + \lambda X = 0 \\ X(-\pi) = X(\pi) \end{cases}$$

from general theory of ODE's:

two linearly indep solutions $X(x) = \exp(i\sqrt{\lambda} \cdot x$

Boundary cond: $\exp \pm 2\pi i \sqrt{\lambda} = 1$

$$\lambda_n = n^2$$

$$e_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp i n x \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$T_n(t) = T_n(0) e^{-k n^2 t}$$

Linear superposition of solutions

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n e^{-kn^2 t} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{inx}$$

watch the IC.

$$(u(x,0))^+ f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{inx}$$

? what sense can we give to this?

$$\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \quad e_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{inx}$$

is an orthonormal system of vectors in $L_2([- \pi, \pi], dx)$

$$b_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-inx} f(x) dx$$

is $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ a basis? YES

✓

Theorem (1.8)

$$\sum_{n=-M}^M b_n e_n(x) \xrightarrow[\text{quadrature}]{\text{as } M \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$$

$f: (-\pi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 periodic
 diff'able

Theorem 18: $f : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ periodic
cont. diff.

$$f_N(x) = \sum_{-N}^N a_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{inx}$$

$$f_N(x) \xrightarrow{\text{unif.}} f(x)$$

Lemma (Riemann, Lebesgue)

φ_n orthon system in $L_2(-\pi, \pi)$
uniformly bdd $\sup_{-\pi < x < \pi} |\varphi_n(x)| \leq K < \infty$

$f \in L_1(-\pi, \pi)$

Then: $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \overline{\varphi_n(x)} dx \rightarrow 0$

Proof of the Lemma: $L_2 \subset L_1$ densely

for $g \in L_2$ the lemma is true by Parseval's eq.

for $b < \infty$

$$f_b(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } |f(x)| \leq b \\ 0 & \text{if } |f(x)| > b \end{cases}$$

1. step choose b so that $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f - f_b| dx < \frac{\epsilon}{2K}$

2. step choose n so that $(\varphi_n, f_b) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

then

$$\left| \int \overline{\varphi_n} f dx \right| = \left| \int \overline{\varphi_n} (f - f_b) dx + \int \overline{\varphi_n} f_b dx \right| < \epsilon.$$

Proof of the Theorem:

$$f_{\Sigma H}(x) = \sum_{n=-H}^H c_n e^{inx} =$$

$$\sum_{n=-H}^H \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-iny} f(y) dy \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{inx} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{n=-H}^H e^{-in(y-x)} f(y) dy$$

$$\sum_{n=-H}^H e^{-in(y-x)} = e^{iH(y-x)} \sum_{n=0}^{2H} e^{-in(y-x)} =$$

$$e^{iH(y-x)} \frac{1 - e^{-i(2H+1)(y-x)}}{1 - e^{-i(y-x)}} = \frac{e^{i(H+\frac{1}{2})(y-x)} - e^{-i(H+\frac{1}{2})(y-x)}}{e^{i\frac{1}{2}(y-x)} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(y-x)}}$$

$$= \frac{\sin\left(H+\frac{1}{2}\right)(y-x)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(y-x)}$$

Dirichlet kernel

$$f_{\Sigma H}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin\left(H+\frac{1}{2}\right)(y-x) \cdot \frac{f(y)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(y-x)} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin\left(H+\frac{1}{2}\right)y \cdot \frac{f(x+y) - f(x)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}y} dy \quad \text{b.d.}$$

$$f_{\Sigma H}(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{y} \sin\left(H+\frac{1}{2}\right)y \cdot \frac{f(x+y) - f(x)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}y} dy$$

~~Ex 9.~~ II 9.

f cont diff $\Rightarrow f_n \xrightarrow{L_2} f$

Let $g \in L_2$; $(e_n, g) = 0 \quad \forall n$

$\Rightarrow (f, g) = \dots$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_n, g) = 0$$

as cont-diff are dense in L_2

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{g = 0.}$$

Introduction to ergodic theory I.

Automorphisms of measure spaces: $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, T)$

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ probability space

$T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ invertible & measure preserving

$$(\forall F \in \mathcal{F}): \mu(T^{-1}F) = \mu(F)$$

question: $\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k x)$

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) d\mu(x)$$

how are they related (equal?)

time averages $\stackrel{?}{=}$ phase space averages

motivation: stat. phys. (L. Boltzmann's 'ergodic hypothesis

applies: probability, number theory, etc.

Poincaré's recurrence theorem

~~Problem 17~~

$$E \in \mathcal{F} \quad F = \{x \in \Omega \mid \exists n, m: n > m, T_x^n \notin E\}$$

$$\mu(F) = 0.$$

$$T_x^n \in E$$

Remarks: long recurrence time

Lemma (a) U unitary : $Uf = f \Leftrightarrow U^*f = f$
 (b) $(\text{Ran } A)^\perp = \text{Ker } A^*$, $\overline{\text{Ran } A} = (\text{Ker } A^*)^\perp$

Proof (a) U invertible : $Uf = f \Leftrightarrow U^{-1}f = f$

(b) $(x \in \text{Ker } A^*) \Leftrightarrow (A^*x = 0) \Leftrightarrow$
 $(\forall y \in \mathcal{H} : (A^*x, y) = 0) \Leftrightarrow (\forall y \in \mathcal{H} : (x, Ay) = 0)$
 $\Leftrightarrow (x \in (\text{Ran } A)^\perp)$ see also problem 19

Koopman's Lemma : $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, T)$ automorphism

$$\mathcal{H} \subseteq L_2(\Omega, \mu)$$

$$U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) : [Uf](x) = f(Tx)$$

U is unitary

Proof U is auto

$$(Uf, Ug) = \int \bar{f}(Tx) g(Tx) d\mu(Tx) =$$

$$\int \bar{f}(x) g(x) d\mu(Tx) =$$

$$\int \bar{f}(x) g(x) d\mu(x) = (f, g)$$

von Neumann's mean ergodic theorem:

U unitary; P proj onto $\text{Ker}(U-I)$

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{H}: \quad \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} U^j f \longrightarrow Pf$$

Proof $\mathcal{H} = \text{Ker}(U-I) \oplus (\text{Ker}(U-I))^{\perp} = \text{Ker}(U-I) \oplus (\text{Ker}(U-I)^{\perp})$

$$\text{Ker}(U-I) \oplus \overline{\text{Ran}(U-I)}$$

- $f \in \text{Ker}(U-I)$; $Pf = f$, $U^j f = f$

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} U^j f = f = Pf$$

- $f \in \text{Ran}(U-I)$ $f = U g - g$ $g \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} U^j f = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (U^{j+1} g - U^j g) \xrightarrow{Pf=0} 0$$

relevance to the question of ergodic theory:

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(T^j \cdot) \xrightarrow{L_2} Pf(\cdot)$$

analogue for continuous time dynamics (Boke 18)

Definition: ergodic. $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, T)$ is ergodic iff
 $(T^{-1}F = F) \Rightarrow \mu(F) = 0 \text{ or } 1.$

Example 1 $\Omega = \mathbb{S}^1$, $\mathcal{F}$ Borel $\mu \equiv$ Lebesgue

$$T_\alpha x = x + \alpha \pmod{1}$$

- $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow$ not ergodic

- $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow$ ergodic Prob. 20

② $\Omega = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{T}^2$ $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2)$

$$T_\alpha(x, y) = (x_1 + \alpha, x_2 + \alpha)$$

$\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \in \mathbb{Q}$: not ergodic

$\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$: ergodic

③ $\Omega = \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ $\mu =$ Bernoulli

$$\mu(\omega_1 = \epsilon_1, \dots, \omega_n = \epsilon_n) = 2^{-n}$$

$$T(\dots, \omega_i, \omega_0, \omega_1, \dots) = (\dots, \omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots)$$

is ergodic

WLLN: Convergence of mean erg. Th.

SLLN : individual erg. Th.

Prop: ergodicity $\Leftrightarrow [\forall f = f \iff f = \text{const}]$

② assume $f \neq \text{const}$, $\forall f = f$
 $\exists a: \mu(\{x \mid f(x) \leq a\}) \neq 0, 1$
 $\uparrow$
 invariant

③ not ergodic $\Rightarrow \exists A: \mu(A) \neq 0, 1$
 take $f = \chi_A$
 invariant

Consequence: T ergodic

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k x) \xrightarrow{L_2} \int f d\mu$$

Individual (Birkhoff - Khinchin) ergodic Theorem

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, T)$ auto. $f \in L_1(\Omega, \mu)$

$\exists f^* \in L_1$, f^* invariant.

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k x) \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f^*(x)$$

If T is ergodic $f^*(x) = \int f d\mu = \text{const}$

Example 4 Billiards.
 3 hrs: LLN.

more about ergodic theory: after the spectral theory