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Abstract We classify the resolution graphs of weighted homogeneous surface singularities which admit rational homology disk smoothing. The nonexistence of rational homology disk smoothings is shown by symplectic geometric methods, while the existence is verified via smoothings of negative weights. In particular, it is shown that a starshaped plumbing tree gives rise to a weighted homogeneous singularity admitting a rational homology disk smoothing if and only if the Milnor fillable contact structure of the link admits a rational homology disk weak symplectic filling.
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1 Introduction

The rational blow–down procedure (introduced by Fintushel and Stern [5] and extended by Park [12]) turned out to be one of the most effective operations for constructing exotic smooth 4–manifolds. In this procedure the tubular neighbourhood of a collection of 2–spheres — with intersection patterns given by a linear plumbing tree, with framings given by the continued fraction coefficients of $-\frac{p^2}{pq-1}$ for some relatively prime $p > q > 0$ — is replaced by a rational homology disk, i.e., with a 4–manifold with boundary which has rational homology isomorphic to $H_*(D^4;\mathbb{Q})$. (Let $G$ denote the set of all linear plumbing chains considered above.) It was a natural question to seek for generalization of this method for other plumbing trees. Seiberg–Witten theoretic considerations suggested to focus on negative definite plumbing trees (which therefore give rise to surface singularities) and require that the rational homology disk is a smoothing of the singularity. In [14] the restrictions on the combinatorics of the plumbing tree implied by the existence of such a smoothing were explored. The graphs satisfying the combinatorial constraints have been identified, but the question of which graphs actually give rise to singularities admitting rational homology disk smoothing has been left open.

The link $Y_\Gamma$ of a singularity $S_\Gamma$ with resolution graph $\Gamma$ is determined by the plumbing graph, and according to [3] the 3–manifold $Y_\Gamma$ admits a (up to con-
tactomorphism) unique contact structure, its Milnor fillable contact structure $\xi_{\Gamma}$ given by the 2–plane field of complex tangencies on $Y_{\Gamma}$ as a link of $S_{\Gamma}$. Any smoothing of the singularity $S_{\Gamma}$ provides a Stein filling of the Milnor fillable contact 3–manifold $(Y_{\Gamma}, \xi_{\Gamma})$. In [14] the more general question of exploring the existence of weak symplectic rational homology disk fillings of the Milnor fillable contact structures on minimal negative definite plumbing trees have been treated, and the same conclusion has been drawn for the combinatorics of these trees as for surface singularities with rational homology disk smoothings. The complete answer for the geometric question remained open.

In this paper we provide the complete classification of those plumbing trees which are minimal, negative definite, starshaped, the central vertex $v$ has framing at most one less in absolute value than its valency and (a) there is a surface singularity with this given resolution graph which admits rational homology disk smoothing, or (b) the Milnor fillable contact structure $(Y_{\Gamma}, \xi_{\Gamma})$ corresponding to the plumbing tree admits a weak symplectic rational homology disk filling.

To state the precise result, we need a few definition.

**Definition 1.1** A singularity $S_{\Gamma}$ is called Seifert if the link of the singularity is a Seifert fibered 3–manifold over the sphere. $S_{\Gamma}$ is small Seifert if the link is a small Seifert fibered 3–manifold, i.e., it admits a Seifert fibration over $S^2$ with exactly three singular fibers.

In particular, for example all weighted homogeneous singularities are Seifert singularities. A singularity is Seifert if and only if it admits a resolution graph which is a starshaped tree, and the vertices correspond to rational curves. $S_{\Gamma}$ is small Seifert if the central vertex (the unique vertex of valency $> 2$) in a minimal good resolution is of valency 3.

**Definition 1.2** Define $QHD_3$ as the set of all graphs given by Figures 1(a) through (g) and Figures 2(a) through (e). (In Figure 1(a) $p, q, r \geq 0$, in (b) $p \geq 1$, $q, r \geq 0$, in (c) $q, r \geq 0$, in (d) $r \geq 1$, $q \geq 0$, in (e) $p \geq 1$, $q \geq 0$, in (f) $q \geq 0$ while in (g) $p, r \geq 1$ and $q \geq 0$. In Figure 2 $n \geq 2$ for (a), (b) and (c) and $n \geq 1$ for (d), (e) and (f).)

**Remark 1.3** Graphs given in Figure 1(a) form the set $W$ of [14]; Figures 1(b) and (c) form $N$ while the collection of (d), (e), (f) and (g) were called $M$ in [14]. The graphs of Figure 2(a) are in the class $A$ of [14], the ones of the form (b) and (c) are in $B$ and (d), (e) and (f) are in $C$. (For the definition of these classes of graphs see Subsection 2.3.)
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Figure 1: The graphs defining the class $\mathcal{QHD}_3$ of plumbing graphs. In (a) $p, q, r \geq 0$, in (b) $p \geq 1$, $q, r \geq 0$, in (c) $q, r \geq 0$, in (d) $r \geq 1$, $q \geq 0$, in (e) $p \geq 1$, $q \geq 0$, in (f) $q \geq 0$ while in (g) $p, r \geq 1$ and $q \geq 0$.

According to [6] singularities corresponding to the resolution trees in $\mathcal{QHD}_3$ are all taut, that is, the resolution graph uniquely determines the analytic structure of the singularity. With this terminology in place, the first main result of the paper is

**Theorem 1.4** Suppose that $S_\Gamma$ is a small Seifert singularity with link $Y_\Gamma$. Assume that $\Gamma$ is a minimal good resolution graph of $S_\Gamma$, which is therefore a negative definite tree with three branches. Then the following three statements are equivalent:

1. The singularity $S_\Gamma$ admits a rational homology disk smoothing.
2. The Milnor fillable contact structure on $Y_\Gamma$ admits a weak symplectic rational homology disk filling.
3. The graph $\Gamma$ is in $\mathcal{QHD}_3$. 
Figure 2: The graphs defining the class $QHD_3$ of plumbing graphs. $n \geq 2$ for (a), (b) and (c) and $n \geq 1$ for (d), (e) and (f).

For starshaped diagrams with more than three branches the analytic type of the singularity typically is not determined by the graph itself, hence the formulation of our result needs a little more care.

**Definition 1.5** Define $QHD_4$ as the union of all graphs given by Figure 3(a), (b) and (c) for $n \geq 2$ in each case.

Figure 3: The graphs (with $n \geq 2$) defining the class $QHD_4$ of plumbing graphs

With these preliminaries in place, we are ready to state the second main result of the paper:

**Theorem 1.6** Suppose that $\Gamma$ is a minimal, starshaped plumbing tree with at least four branches, and the framing of the central vertex is less than $-2$. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(1) There is a Seifert singularity $S_{\Gamma}$ with resolution graph $\Gamma$ which admits a rational homology disk smoothing;

(2) The Milnor fillable contact structure on $Y_{\Gamma}$ admits a weak symplectic rational homology disk filling; and

(3) The graph $\Gamma$ is in $QHD_4$.

Remarks 1.7 (a) The assumption on the framing of the central vertex is not essential in the singularity theoretic part of the theorem: a surface singularity with rational homology disk smoothing must be rational, hence the assumption on the central framing should be satisfied. Consequently, the equivalence of (1) and (3) holds without the assumption on the central framing. In the symplectic topological result (regarding the rational homology disk fillings of the Milnor fillable contact structure), however, our methods do not work unless the additional hypothesis on the central framing is assumed. It is reasonable to expect, though, that the Milnor fillable contact structures on 3–manifolds defined by negative definite four–legged plumbing trees with central framing ($-2$) do not admit rational homology disk weak fillings.

(b) For any $\Gamma \in QHD_4$ there is a weighted homogeneous singularity which admits a rational homology disk smoothing.

(c) According to [6] the analytic type of the singularity $S_{\Gamma}$ with $\Gamma \in QHD_4$ is determined by the analytic type of the central curve in the resolution. It is still an open question whether for a fixed $\Gamma \in QHD_4$ there is a unique singularity with the given resolution graph admitting a rational homology disk smoothing, or there are more analytically distinct such singularities.

A possible interpretation of Theorems 1.4 and 1.6 is that for weighted homogeneous singularities smoothing theory and symplectic topology behaves in a parallel manner, at least as far as existence of rational homology disk filling/smoothing goes. This interpretation fits in the line of current results; notice the similarity with the result of Némethi and Popescu-Pampu [10], where a natural bijection between smoothings and minimal symplectic fillings of cyclic quotient singularities has been established.

The idea of the proof of the main results can be summarized as follows. Recall from [14] that if a starshaped graph defines a singularity with rational homology disk smoothing, or gives rise to a Milnor fillable contact structure with a weak symplectic rational homology disk filling, then the valency of the central vertex is 3 or 4. (For a precise formulation of this result, see Theorem 2.11.) If this valency is 3, then there are three triply infinite families (called $W, M$ and
\( \mathcal{N} \) in [14], cf. Remark 1.3) where each member defines a singularity with the required smoothing. In addition, there are three further families \((A, B, C) \text{ in } [14], \text{ cf. also Subsection 2.3}\) which contain all further such 3–legged graphs. We will systematically examine all 3–legged element of these further families, show that for most of them the associated Milnor fillable contact structure does not admit a weak symplectic rational homology disk filling, and for those we cannot exclude the existence of such a filling, we construct the rational homology disk smoothing of the singularity. The nonexistence proofs rely on symplectic geometric results (notably on McDuff’s result regarding symplectic manifolds containing symplectic spheres of self–intersection \((+1)\)) and tedious combinatorial arguments. In principle these arguments could be extended to examine other symplectic fillings, but the combinatorics (which is already quite delicate for the case of rational homology disk fillings) can become too complex to handle. In proving the existence of the smoothing we will apply a result of Pinkham, formulated in Theorem 2.9, cf. also [14, Section 8.1]. In the 4–legged case we only have to examine the families \(A, B\) and \(C\), and the adaptation of the same strategy as above, in fact, provides the result.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the symplectic geometric preliminaries used in the proofs of the main results are listed, together with a quick outline of the ideas employed in the later arguments. Section 3 deals with small Seifert singularities, i.e. with those singularities which have starshaped minimal good resolution graphs with three branches. In Section 4 we address the general case of Seifert singularities. Finally in Section 5 (for the sake of completeness) we recall the existence of the smoothings for graphs in classes \(W, M, N\), which were already discussed in [14].
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# 2 Preliminaries

## 2.1 Symplectic geometric preliminaries

Our results rely on the following fundamental theorem due to McDuff.

**Theorem 2.1** (McDuff, [8]) Let \((M, \omega)\) be a closed symplectic 4-manifold. If \(M\) contains a symplectically embedded 2-sphere \(L\) of self-intersection number

\[6\]
1, then $M$ is a rational symplectic 4-manifold. In particular, $M$ becomes a the complex projective plane after blowing down a finite collection of symplectic $(-1)$-curves away from $L$. □

**Remark 2.2** Since a symplectic $(+1)$–sphere in a symplectic 4–manifold admits a concave neighborhood, the above statement is equivalent to the fact that the unique tight contact structure $\xi_{st}$ on the 3–sphere $S^3$ admits a unique minimal symplectic filling, which is diffeomorphic to the 4–disk [4]. In the present context the form given by Theorem 2.1 is more convenient for us, since it allows to consider curves intersecting $L$ in $M$.

The following two lemmas are based on the above theorem of McDuff and are proved in [1]:

**Lemma 2.3** ([1, Lemma 2.13]) Let $(M, \omega)$ be a closed symplectic 4-manifold containing a symplectically embedded 2-sphere $L$ of self-intersection number 1 and a collection of symplectically immersed 2-spheres $C_1, \ldots, C_k$. Suppose that $J$ is a tame almost complex structure for which $L, C_1, \ldots, C_k$ are pseudo-holomorphic. Then there exists at least one $J$-holomorphic $(-1)$-curve in $M - L$ unless $L \cdot C_i > 0$ and $C_i \cdot C_i = (L \cdot C_i)^2$ for all $i$. □

**Lemma 2.4** ([1, Lemma 2.5]) Let $M$ be a closed symplectic 4-manifold containing a symplectically embedded 2-sphere $L$ of self-intersection number 1. If $C$ is an irreducible singular or higher genus pseudo-holomorphic curve in $M$, then $C \cdot L \geq 3$. In particular there are no irreducible singular or higher genus pseudo-holomorphic curves in $M - L$. □

This lemma has the following simple

**Corollary 2.5** Let $M$ be a closed symplectic 4-manifold containing a symplectically embedded 2-sphere $L$ of self-intersection number 1. Then there is no cycle of pseudo-holomorphic spheres in the complement $L$.

**Proof** If such a cycle existed, by gluing adjacent components around the nodes we would be able to construct an embedded pseudo-holomorphic curve of genus 1 which would contradict Lemma 2.4.

The next lemma easily follows from McDuff’s Theorem 2.1.
Lemma 2.6  Let \( M \) be a closed symplectic 4-manifold containing a symplectically embedded 2-sphere \( L \) of self-intersection number 1. Then there is no symplectically embedded sphere of nonnegative self intersection number in the complement of \( L \).

Proof  Since \( M \) is rational, it follows that \( b_2^+(M) = 1 \), immediately implying the lemma.

Lemma 2.7  Suppose that \( C \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \) is a \( J \)-holomorphic curve for some almost complex structure \( J \), in homology \( [C] = d[\mathbb{C}P^1] \) and \( C \) has at least two singular points. Then \( d \geq 4 \).

Proof  The line passing through two singular points intersects \( C \) with multiplicity at least 4, providing the result.

We record here the following fact which we will apply repeatedly in the sequel: By the adjunction formula, a pseudoholomorphic rational curve representing the class \( 3[\mathbb{C}P^1] \) in \( \mathbb{C}P^2 \) must be either immersed with exactly one node (that is a point where two branches of the curve intersect transversely) or it must have exactly one nonimmersed point which is necessarily a \((2,3)\)-cusp singularity. (Here a pseudoholomorphic curve in a 4-manifold is said to have a \((2,3)\)-cusp singularity if there is a parametrization around the singular point in which the curve has the form \((z^2, z^3) + O(4)\), see [9].) In conclusion, the link of a curve around its singular point is either connected (and is the trefoil knot) or has two components (and is the Hopf link).

2.2 Outline of the proofs

The heart of the proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.6 is the implication \((2) \Rightarrow (3)\) in each case. The strategy in both cases is as follows. Suppose that \( \Gamma \) is a graph of the type considered in Theorem 1.4 or Theorem 1.6. Let \( Y_\Gamma \) denote the associated plumbed 3-manifold and \( \xi_\Gamma \) the unique Milnor fillable contact structure on \( Y_\Gamma \). According to [14], if \((Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)\) admits a symplectic rational homology disk filling then \( \Gamma \) must be in \( W \cup N \cup M \cup \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C} \). Since the singularities corresponding to graphs in \( W \cup N \cup M \) admit rational homology disk smoothings (cf. [14] or Section 5), the corresponding links admit symplectic rational homology disk fillings. Hence we only need to consider graphs in \( \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C} \) satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4 or Theorem 1.6.
Let $\Gamma$ be a graph in $A \cup B \cup C$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4 or Theorem 1.6. The first step is to find an appropriate strong concave filling of $(Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)$. To find such a concave filling, we apply a standard topological construction, which in fact applies for any starshaped plumbing graph and which we recall presently. Suppose that $\Gamma$ is a starshaped plumbing graph with $s$ legs $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_s$ and with central framing $b$. Suppose that the framing coefficients along the leg $\ell_i$ are given by the continued fraction coefficients of $-\frac{n_i}{m_i} < -1$. Consider then the 'dual' graph $\Gamma'$ which is starshaped with $s$ legs $\ell'_1, \ldots, \ell'_s$, central framing $-b - s$, and the framings along the leg $\ell'_i$ are given by the continued fraction coefficients of $-\frac{n_i}{m_i - n_i}$. Let $W_\Gamma$ and $W_{\Gamma'}$ denote the corresponding plumbing 4–manifolds. In the following lemma we formulata a well–known simple fact, cf. also [7, 14].

**Lemma 2.8** Suppose that $\Gamma$ is a negative definite starshaped plumbing tree, and $\Gamma'$ is its dual tree constructed above. The boundary of $W_\Gamma$ is orientation preserving diffeomorphic to the link $Y_\Gamma$ while $\partial W_{\Gamma'} = -Y_\Gamma$. In addition, $W_\Gamma \cup W_{\Gamma'}$ is a 4–manifold diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# m\mathbb{CP}^2$ for some positive integer $m$.

**Proof (sketch)** Consider the Hirzebruch surface with zero–section of self–intersection $b$ (and hence with infinity–section of self–intersection $-b$). Fix $s$ distinct fibers of the $\mathbb{CP}^1$–fibration and blow up the intersection points of these fibers with the infinity–section. After the appropriate repeated blow–ups we can identify in the resulting rational surface a configuration of curves intersecting each other according to $\Gamma$, and it is easy to see that the complementary curves will intersect each other according to $\Gamma'$. Since the curves intersecting according to the graph $\Gamma$ admit a strong convex neighbourhood, with the Milnor fillable contact structure as induced structure on the boundary, the complement (diffeomorphic to $W_{\Gamma'}$) provides a strong concave filling of $(Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)$. Since the complement is also a tubular neighbourhood of a configuration $K$ of curves, we will refer to $W_{\Gamma'}$ as the compactifying divisor.

Suppose that $X$ is a rational homology disk weak symplectic filling of $(Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)$. Since $Y_\Gamma$ is a rational homology 3–sphere, we can perturb the symplectic structure on $X$ in a neighbourhood of the boundary so that it becomes a strong symplectic filling of $(Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)$. We glue $X$ and $W_{\Gamma'}$ along $Y_\Gamma$ to obtain a closed symplectic 4–manifold $Z$. Notice that this is the point where symplectic methods do apply, while holomorphic techniques do not necessarily work anymore: by gluing the filling (even if it admits complex analytic structures) to the compactifying divisor we cannot necessarily glue the complex structures together.
Let $k$ denote the number of irreducible components of the compactifying divisor $K$. Then since $W_{T'}$ is a regular neighbourhood of $K$, we have that $b_2(W_{T'}) = k$. Since $X$ is a rational homology disk, it follows that $b_2(Z) = k$.

In all cases that we consider, it turns out that $K$ (after possibly a sequence of blow downs) contains a component which is a sphere that is embedded in $W_{T'} \subset Z$ with self intersection number $(+1)$. Let $L$ denote one such component. By McDuff’s Theorem 2.1 we conclude that $Z$ is a rational symplectic 4-manifold and hence diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# (k-1)\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$. By McDuff’s Theorem, for generic almost complex structure $J$, in the complement of $L$ we can find $k-1$ disjoint embedded symplectic 2-spheres with self intersection number $-1$ (we will refer to these as *symplectic* $(−1)$-curves) and that after blowing these down we obtain $\mathbb{CP}^2$. However, we would like to understand how the other components of $K$ descend under the blowing down map. We thus proceed as follows.

We choose a tame almost complex structure $J$ on $Z$ with respect to which all the curves in $K$ are pseudoholomorphic. (In fact, we can assume that $J$ is integrable on $W_{T'}$.) We assume that $J$ is generic among those almost complex structures for which $K$ is $J$–holomorphic. Appealing to Lemma 2.3 we can find a pseudoholomorphic $(-1)$-curve $E$ in $Z$ disjoint from $L$. By perturbing the almost complex structure $J$ if necessary, we can assume that $E$ intersects each component of $K$ transversely and does not pass through any point where two or more components of $K$ pass. We then blow down $E$. By [11, Lemma 4.1] we can find a tame almost complex structure $J'$ on the blown down manifold $Z'$ with respect to which the images of all the components of $K$ are pseudoholomorphic. We will again be in the situation where we have a closed symplectic 4-manifold containing a symplectically embedded 2-sphere of self-intersection number 1 and a collection of symplectically immersed 2-spheres.

We can thus again appeal to Lemma 2.3 and find a pseudoholomorphic $(-1)$-curve $E'$ in $Z'$. Note that $E'$ may be a component of $K'$, the image of the configuration $K$ under the blowing down map. By suitably perturbing the almost complex structure, we can arrange that $E'$ intersects each component of $K' - E'$ transversely and it does not pass through any point where two or more components of $K' - E'$ pass. We then blow down $E'$. Proceeding in this way, repeatedly blowing down $(-1)$-curves whose existence is given by Lemma 2.3, we must eventually obtain $\mathbb{CP}^2$ together with a symplectically embedded 2-sphere of self intersection number 1 and a collection of symplectically immersed 2-spheres. Since we are assuming that $X$ is a rational homology disk, it follows that we must obtain $\mathbb{CP}^2$ after $k-1$ blow downs and the configuration $K$ must descend to a valid configuration in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. This places strong restrictions on the combinatorial structure of $K$: all components of $K$ which are disjoint from...
L must be blown down at some point of this procedure (so in particular they must become \((-1)\)-curves), while a component \(K_0\) of \(K\) intersecting \(L\) must become a \(J\)-holomorphic submanifold of \(\mathbb{CP}^2\) of degree \(K_0 \cdot L\). This condition, for example, determines the homological square of the image of \(K_0\) in \(\mathbb{CP}^2\), and for low degrees it also determines the topology of the result. For most graphs \(\Gamma\) we will reach a homological contradiction at some point of this procedure, showing the nonexistence of the hypothesized rational homology disk filling \(X\).

The graphs that we are not able to rule out with the above strategy correspond precisely to those which are in the lists defining \(QHD_3\) and \(QHD_4\). For these graphs we find certain curve configurations in \(\mathbb{CP}^2\), which in turn (after appropriate repeated blow–ups) provide configurations of curves in \(\mathbb{CP}^2 \#|\Gamma'|\mathbb{CP}^2\) intersecting each other according to the dual graph \(\Gamma'\), and this fact, by the following result of Pinkham, shows that the singularities do admit rational homology disk smoothings.

**Theorem 2.9** ([13, Theorem 6.7]) Let \(Z\) be a smooth projective rational surface, and \(D \subset Z\) a union of smooth rational curves whose intersection dual graph is \(\Gamma'\). Assume

\[
\text{rk } H_2(D; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{rk } H_2(Z; \mathbb{Z}).
\]

If \(\Gamma\) is the graph of a rational singularity, then one has a rational homology disk smoothing of a rational weighted homogeneous singularity with resolution dual graph \(\Gamma\), and the interior of the Milnor fiber of the smoothing is diffeomorphic to \(Z - D\).

2.3 The families \(A, B\) and \(C\)

The inductively defined three families \(A, B, C\) of graphs found in [14] will play a central role in our subsequent arguments. For the sake of completeness, we recall the definition of these families below.

Let us define \(A\) as the family of graphs we get in the following way: start with the graph of Figure 4(a), blow up its \((-1)\)-vertex or any edge emanating from the \((-1)\)-vertex and repeat this procedure of blowing up (either the new \((-1)\)-vertex or an edge emanating from it) finitely many times, and finally modify the single \((-1)\)-decoration to \((-4)\). Depending on the number and configuration of the chosen blow–ups, this procedure defines an infinite family of graphs. Define \(B\) similarly, when starting with Figure 4(b) and substituting \((-1)\) in the last step with \((-3)\), and finally define \(C\) in the same vein by starting with Figure 4(c) and putting \((-2)\) instead of \((-1)\) in the final step.
Figure 4: Nonminimal plumbing trees giving rise to the families $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$

Remark 2.10 Figure 5 gives a pictorial description of what we mean by blow-

![Blow-up diagrams](image)

Figure 5: The blow-up of (a) a $(-1)$–vertex and (b) an edge emanating from a $(-1)$–vertex

ing up a $(-1)$–vertex (Figure 5(a)) and an edge emanating from a $(-1)$–vertex (Figure 5(b)). Notice that in the plumbing 4–manifold both operations correspond to blowing up the $(-1)$–sphere defined by the vertex, either in a generic point or in an intersection with another sphere of the plumbing configuration. A graph in $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ or $\mathcal{C}$ is a starshaped three–legged graph if and only if in the defining procedure we always blow up edges (and never vertices), and is four–legged if and only if we start by blowing up the central vertex, and then never blow up a vertex after we blew up an edge. That is, we blow up vertices $n$
times, and then we only blow up edges.

The starting point of the proofs of Theorems 1.4 and 1.6 rests on the main result of [14] which can be summarized as follows. With the definition of $W, M, N$ given in Remark 1.3 and of $G$ given in the first paragraph of Section 1, we have

**Theorem 2.11** ([14]) Suppose that $\Gamma$ is a minimal, negative definite plumbing tree. If it gives rise to a surface singularity $S_\Gamma$ admitting a rational homology disk smoothing, or if the Milnor fillable contact structure on the corresponding plumbing 3–manifold $Y_\Gamma$ admits a rational homology disk filling then $\Gamma$ is in $G \cup W \cup N \cup M \cup A \cup B \cup C$.

**Remark 2.12** The method of the proof of the main results of the present paper make use of the fact that the singularities under examination are Seifert, that is, the resolution graphs are starshaped. The existence question of rational homology disk smoothings/fillings for singularities with non-starshaped resolution graphs is still open; we hope to return to this question in a future project.

## 3 Small Seifert singularities

By Theorem 2.11 we only need to consider three–legged graphs in $W \cup N \cup M \cup A \cup B \cup C$. As it is shown in Section 5 (cf. also [14]), singularities corresponding to the plumbing trees in $W \cup N \cup M$ do admit rational homology disk smoothings (and therefore the Milnor fillable contact structures admit rational homology disk fillings). Therefore in determining three–legged graphs with rational homology disk smoothings (or fillings of the corresponding Milnor fillable contact structure) we only need to examine the three–legged graphs in $A \cup B \cup C$. The discussion will be given for each of these classes separately; for technical reasons we start with the case of graphs in $C$.

### 3.1 3–legged graphs in the family $C$

Recall that graphs in $C$ are defined by repeatedly blowing up the basic configuration shown by Figure 4(c) and then replacing the $(-1)$–framing with $(-2)$. To get 3–legged graphs, we only blow up edges emanating from the $(-1)$–vertex. There are three cases we distinguish depending on which edge we blow up in the first step in the basic example. The index of the subfamily records the (negative of the) framing the first blown up edge points to.
The family $C_6$

Consider the generic member of the family $C_6$ depicted in Figure 6(a). As a particular case of Theorem 1.4 we will show

**Theorem 3.1** Suppose that the singularity $S_\Gamma$ admits resolution dual graph given by Figure 6(a). Then the following three statements are equivalent:

1. $S_\Gamma$ admits a rational homology disk smoothing,
2. the Milnor fillable contact 3–manifold $(Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)$ admits a rational homology disk weak filling, and
3. for the graph $\Gamma$ given by Figure 6(a) we have $b = b_1 = \ldots = b_{n-1} = -2$ and $b_n = -n - 5$ for some positive integer $n$.

![Diagram](c6_family.png)

Figure 6: The generic graph, its dual, and the configuration of curves after 3 blow–downs in the family $C_6$

**Remark 3.2** Notice that we do not use the full power of Theorem 2.11: although the theorem implies some delicate relation among the coefficients $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ of the graph of Figure 6(a), we will only use the fact that the two other legs are of length one and the framings are $-2$ and $-3$. The similar weaker result would be sufficient in all the subcases considered in the present and the next sections.

Before turning to the proof of the above result, we start with listing some general observations. The dual graph (after possibly blowing up the edge emanating
from the central vertex towards the long leg until the central framing becomes 
$-1$) has the shape given by Figure 6(b). Blowing down the central vertex 
together with the two $(-2)$’s (encircled by the dashed circle in Figure 6(b)), we 
arrive to the diagram of Figure 6(c); here the curves are symbolized by arcs, and 
the intersection of two arcs means that the two corresponding curves intersect 
each other. The resulting $(+1)$–curve will be denoted by $L$, while the curves of 
the long leg (with framings $c_1, \ldots, c_k$) will become $D, C_2, \ldots, C_k$, respectively. 
The tangency between $D$ and $L$ is a triple tangency. Since $b_n \leq -6$, it is easy 
to see that $k \geq 4$. Notice also that $c_i \leq -2$ once $i \geq 2$ and $c_1$ is negative. By 
gluing this compactifying divisor to a potentially existing rational homology 
disk filling $X$ we get a closed symplectic manifold $Z$ with $b_2(Z) = k + 1$. 
The symplectic 4–manifold $Z$ obviously contains a symplectic $(+1)$–sphere 
(symbolized by the horizontal line $L$), hence by McDuff’s Theorem 2.1 we 
can repeatedly blow down $k$ exceptional divisors in the complement of the 
$(+1)$–sphere. Since the curves $C_2, \ldots, C_k$ in the chain are disjoint from the 
$(+1)$–curve and are homologically essential, we must blow them down, while 
the curve $D$ will descend to a cubic curve in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. (Since the resulting cubic 
curve will be the image of a rational curve, it necessarily must contain a singular 
point.) The above observations together with Lemma 2.3 imply therefore that 
there is a unique additional $(-1)$–curve $E$ in $Z$ for the chosen almost complex 
structure, which we have to locate in the diagram. Since $J$–holomorphic curves 
intersect positively, the geometric intersections in these cases can be computed 
via homological arguments.

**Proposition 3.3** Under the above circumstances the exceptional divisor $E$ 
must intersect the curve $D$ and the last curve (with framing $c_k$) in the chain 
in one point each. Consequently, the framings should satisfy $c_i = -2$ for 
i = 2, \ldots, k and $c_1 = -k + 3$.

**Proof** Let $J_K$ denote the nonempty set of tame almost complex structure 
on $Z$ with respect to which all the curves of $K = L \cup D \cup C_2 \cup \ldots C_k$ are 
pseudoholomorphic. Choose an almost complex structure $J$ which is generic 
in $J_K$. If we blow down all $J$-holomorphic $(-1)$-curves away from $L$, we can 
show that the chain $C_2, \ldots, C_k$ are transformed into configurations of curves 
which can be sequentially blown down. An elementary computation shows that 
$X$ being a rational homology disk implies that there must be precisely one 
$(-1)$-curve $E$ in the complement of $L$ that are not contained in the chain 
$C_2, \ldots, C_k$. The $(-1)$–curve $E$ must intersect the semicircular curve $D$ 
at least once, since (as $D$ intersects the $(+1)$–curve $L$) $D$ will become a cubic 
curve in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Since the resulting cubic curve is the image of a rational curve, it
must admit a singular point, which cannot be achieved by blowing down curves which intersect $D$ at most once. By Corollary 2.5 the curve $E$ cannot intersect the long chain twice. With a similar argument we can see that it can intersect the chain only in its endpoints: if it intersects the chain in a curve $C$ which is not at one of its ends, then blowing down $E$ we get a curve $C'$ which now intersects $D$ and two further curves in the chain. When we blow down $C'$, the two neighbours will pass through the same point of $D$. When blowing down one of these neighbours, the other one will become tangent to $D$. After a slight perturbation and a further blow-down we get a transverse double point on $D$, and the other neighbour of $C$ will descend to a curve passing through the double point. A slight perturbation of the almost complex structure and the blow-down of this other neighbour will create a further singular point, which fact (with the aid of Lemma 2.7) now provides the desired contradiction.

If $E$ intersects the chain on its end near $D$, then after the second blow-down $D$ develops a transverse double point singularity, and the further blow-downs then create more singular points (in the spirit of the argument above), leading to a curve which cannot represent three-times the generator in the complex projective plane. Hence the only possibility for the $(-1)$–curve $E$ is to intersect the chain at its farther end, and intersect $D$ once. In order to blow down all the curves in the chain we must have $c_i = -2$ for $i = 2, \ldots, k$, and since the self-intersection of $D$ will become 9 after all the blow-downs, we derive $c_1 = -k + 3$. With this last observation the proof is complete.

The above lemma then implies that the only possible dual configuration which can correspond to a rational homology disk filling is given (with $n = k - 4$) by Figure 7(b). After blowing down all the curves disjoint from $L$ we get a configuration consisting of a cubic curve with a transverse double point and a tangent line to it at one of its inflection points, cf. Figure 7(d).

**Lemma 3.4** The configuration of curves given by the graph $\Gamma'$ of Figure 7(b) does exist in $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# (|\Gamma'| - 1)\mathbb{CP}^2$. Consequently the singularity with resolution graph given by Figure 7(a) admits a rational homology disk smoothing.

**Proof** Take the singular cubic specified by the degree–3 homogeneous equation $f(x, y, z) = y^2z - x^3 - x^2z$ in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and consider the line $\{z = 0\}$ intersecting it in one of its inflection points $[0 : 1 : 0]$. This verifies the existence of the configuration of Figure 7(d) in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. By reversing the blow-down procedure, the existence of the configuration of Figure 7(b) in the appropriate blow-up of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ is proved. By [13, Theorem 6.7] of Pinkham (cf. also Theorem 2.9) the existence of the rational homology disk smoothing is then verified.
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Proof of Theorem 3.1 Since a smoothing of a singularity always provides a weak filling of the Milnor fillable contact structure of the link, the implication \((1) \Rightarrow (2)\) easily follows. Proposition 3.3 then (after determining \(\Gamma\) from \(\Gamma'\) given in the proposition) provides \((2) \Rightarrow (3)\). Finally, Lemma 3.4 implies \((3) \Rightarrow (1)\), concluding the proof.

Remarks 3.5  
(a) The scheme of the proof of the other cases (for the three– and four–legged graphs in \(A, B\) and \(C\)) will be very similar, although the ad hoc arguments given in Proposition 3.3 will significantly vary.

(b) Notice that we did not use Theorem 2.11 in its full power; we only needed that the graphs we are examining have two legs of length one, on which the framings are \((-2)\) and \((-3)\). This will be a recurring theme again.

(c) In the nonexistence argument we only used homological considerations regarding self–intersections and intersection numbers, with the only exception regarding smoothness of the curves to be blown down and the singularity of the resulting cubic curve.
(d) The number of additional \((-1)\)-curves we had to locate was dictated by the fact that the filling is a rational homology disk. For fillings with richer homology theory, similar method applies, although the combinatorial argument will get more involved as the number of \((-1)\)-curves increases. In our subsequent discussions we will meet examples where two or three such curves are needed to be located.

(e) The existence of the curve configuration in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ is a truly geometric problem, which admits a very simple solution in this case, and can be rather complicated for other cases, cf. Lemma 4.11, for example.

(f) It is fairly straightforward to see that the family of graphs within $C_5$ for which the rational homology disk smoothing exist is given by the defining procedure of $C$ when we always blow up the edge emanating from the \((-1)\)-vertex which connects it with the leaf.

**The family $C_3$**

The generic member of this family is given by Figure 8(a), together with the dual graph and the result of the triple blow-down.

![Figure 8](image)

Figure 8: The generic graph, its dual, and the configuration of curves after 3 blow-downs in the family $C_3$

**Theorem 3.6** Suppose that the singularity $S_T$ admits resolution dual graph given by Figure 8(a). Then the following three statements are equivalent:
(1) $S_\Gamma$ admits a rational homology disk smoothing,

(2) the Milnor fillable contact 3–manifold $(Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)$ admits a rational homology disk weak filling, and with

(3) for the graph $\Gamma$ given by Figure 8(a) either $b = b_1 = \ldots = b_{n-1} = -2$ and $b_n = -n - 2$ for some positive integer $n$, or $b = b_1 = \ldots = b_{n-4} = b_{n-2} = b_{n-1} = -2$, $b_{n-3} = -3$ and $b_n = -n - 1$ for some positive integer $n \geq 4$.

By gluing the compactifying divisor given by Figure 8(c) to a potentially existing rational homology disk filling $X$ we get a closed symplectic manifold $Z$, and a simple count shows that $b_2(Z) = k + 4$. The symplectic 4–manifold $Z$ obviously contains a symplectic $(+1)$–sphere (symbolized by the horizontal line $L$), hence by McDuff’s Theorem 2.1 we can repeatedly blow down $k + 3$ exceptional divisors in the complement of the $(+1)$–sphere. Since the curves $C_2, \ldots, C_k$ in the chain and $B_1, B_2$ (hanging off the vertical $(-1)$–curve $G$) are disjoint from the $(+1)$–curve and are homologically essential, we must blow them down. This means that there are two further curves $E_1$ and $E_2$ which we have to locate in the diagram. For a generic almost complex structure these curves will be $(-1)$–curves. Since both $B_1$ and $B_2$ have to be blown down (being disjoint from the $(+1)$–curve), one of them must intersect one of the $(-1)$–curves, say $E_1$. Since the complement of the $(+1)$–curve does not contain homologically essential spheres with nonnegative square, $E_2$ then cannot intersect any of the $B_i$.

**Proposition 3.7** Under the above circumstances the existence of a rational homology disk smoothing $X$ implies that $E_2$ intersects $D$ and $C_k$, and $E_1$ either intersects $B_1$ and $D$ or $B_2$ and $C_1$. The self–intersections in these two cases are $c_1 = -k$ and $c_2 = \ldots = c_k = -2$ or $c_1 = -k + 3$, $c_2 = -5$ and $c_3 = \ldots = c_k = -2$.

**Proof Case I:** Suppose that $E_1 \cdot B_1 > 0$. After three blow–downs the vertical curve $G$ becomes a $(+1)$–curve, so it cannot be blown down any further: in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ it will be a curve intersecting the $(+1)$–curve once, hence it will be a line with self–intersection $(+1)$. Therefore, to prevent further blow–downs along the points of the vertical curve, $E_1$ must be disjoint from the long chain. So $E_2$ must intersect the long chain, and since the whole chain must be blown down, the simple adaptation of Proposition 3.3 gives that the only possibility for $E_2$ is the one given by Figure 9(c). Notice that the images of $G$ and $D$ must intersect each other three times after all curves have been blown down,
which can be achieved only if $E_1$ intersects $D$ exactly once. (Recall that $E_2$ must stay disjoint from $G$.) This argument shows that the only possibility for $E_1$ and $E_2$ (under the assumption $E_1 \cdot B_1 > 0$) is given by the dashed lines of Figure 9(c). The blow-down process then dictates the values of $c_i$, leading us to the configuration given by Figure 9. (Here we take $k = n + 1$.)
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Figure 9: A one-parameter family in $\mathcal{C}_3$ with rational homology disk filling

**Case II:** Suppose now that $E_1 \cdot B_2 > 0$. Then after three blow-downs the vertical curve $G$ becomes a 0–curve, so either (a) $E_2$ intersects $G$ or (b) $E_1$ intersects a further $(-1)$–curve in the chain (after it has been partially blown down). If $E_1$ intersects $B_2$ and $E_2$ intersects $G$ then none of the $E_i$ intersect the chain, and since the chain is nonempty, this provides a contradiction.

Therefore $E_1$ should intersect the long chain, and it should intersect it in the last curve to be blown down from there. $E_1$ cannot intersect $D$, since otherwise after blowing down $E_1$, then repeatedly $B_1, B_2$ the intersection of $G$ and $D$
would be at least four, which contradicts the fact that a line and a cubic in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ intersect three times. This shows that $E_2$ has to intersect the chain (and start the sequence of blow-downs) and it also has to intersect $D$ to get a singularity on it. Furthermore, we also know that $E_2$ must be disjoint from $G$. The argument of Proposition 3.3 shows that $E_2$ must intersect the long chain at its farther end and also $D$, as depicted (with $k = n + 1$) in Figure 10. As usual, the framings are dictated by the fact that all curves in the complement of the $(+1)$–curve must be blown down.

---

**Lemma 3.8** The configuration of curves given by the graph $\Gamma'$ either of Figure 9(b) or of 10(b) do exist in $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# (\lfloor \Gamma' \rfloor - 1)\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$. Consequently the singularities with resolution graphs given by Figure 9(a) or 10(a) do admit a rational homology disk smoothings.

**Proof** For Case I of Proposition 3.7 above the required configuration clearly exists: consider a cubic with a transverse double point and its tangent at one of
its inflection point as in the proof of Lemma 3.4, and add a further line through the inflection point which is transverse there, but is tangent to the cubic in a further point. The singular cubic given by equation \( f(x, y, z) = y^2z - x^3 - x^2z \) in \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \) and the line \( \{z = 0\} \) together with \( \{x + z = 0\} \) (intersecting the cubic in the inflection point \([0 : 1 : 0]\) and being tangent to it at \([-1 : 0 : 1]\) ), for example, is such a choice.

In Case II of Proposition 3.7 the configuration of the cubic and the two lines (one tangent at an inflection point, the other passing through the inflection point and the transverse double point) clearly exists: take the two curves as in the proof of Lemma 3.4 and add \( \{x = 0\} \) (the line passing through the inflection point \([0 : 1 : 0]\) and the transverse double point \([0 : 0 : 1]\) of the cubic).

These configurations (after the appropriate blow–ups) embed the dual graphs in the appropriate rational surfaces, hence Pinkham’s result Theorem 2.9 shows that the rational homology disk smoothings exist.

**Proof of Theorem 3.6** As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, the implication \((1) \Rightarrow (2)\) follows from the general principle that a smoothing of a singularity always provides a weak filling of the Milnor fillable contact structure on the link. After determining \( \Gamma \) from its dual graph \( \Gamma' \), Proposition 3.7 provides \((2) \Rightarrow (3)\), while Lemma 3.8 implies \((3) \Rightarrow (1)\), concluding the proof.

**Remark 3.9** Once again, we get the first family described in Theorem 3.6 by starting with the graph defining the family \( C \) and always blowing up the edge from the \((-1)\)–vertex which connects it with the leaf.

The construction of the second family of Theorem 3.6 is slightly unusual: in the blow–up procedure creating elements of \( C_3 \) we always blow–up the edge connecting the \((-1)\)–vertex with the leaf, except in the last blow–up, when we blow up the other edge emanating from the \((-1)\)–vertex. After this unusual move we substitute the \((-1)\)–framing with \((-2)\) and arrive to the graphs depicted by Figure 10. Notice that this graph already appears in the family \( \mathcal{M} \), compare with Figure 1(d) with \( q = n - 4 \) and \( r = 3 \).

**The family \( C_2 \)**

The generic case in this family is shown by Figure 11(a).

**Theorem 3.10** Suppose that the singularity \( S_\Gamma \) admits resolution dual graph given by Figure 11(a). Then the following three statements are equivalent:
(1) $S_{\Gamma}$ admits a rational homology disk smoothing,

(2) the Milnor fillable contact 3–manifold $(Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)$ admits a rational homology disk weak filling, and

(3) for the graph $\Gamma$ given by Figure 11(a) either $b = b_1 = \ldots = b_{n-1} = -2$ and $b_n = -n - 1$ for some positive integer $n$, or $b = b_1 = \ldots = b_{n-5} = b_{n-3} = b_{n-2} = -2$, $b_{n-4} = b_{n-1} = -3$ and $b_n = -n - 1$ for some $n \geq 5$ or $b = b_1 = \ldots = b_{n-5} = b_{n-3} = b_{n-2} = b_{n-1} = -2$, $b_{n-4} = -4$ and $b_n = -n - 1$ for some $n \geq 5$.

The usual simple calculation shows that by assuming the existence of a rational homology disk filling for $(Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)$ we have to locate two $(-1)$–curves in the diagram, which we will call $E_1$ and $E_2$. Since the curves $A_2, A_3$ and $A_4$ must be blown down at some point in the blow–down procedure, one of the $(-1)$–curves (say $E_1$) should intersect $A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4$.

**Proposition 3.11** In the situation under examination the existence of a rational homology disk filling implies that $E_2$ intersects $D$ and $C_k$, while $E_1$ either intersects $A_2$ and $D$ or $A_4$ and $C_2$ or $A_4$ and $C_3$. The framings in the three cases are given by $c_1 = -k - 1$ and $c_2 = \ldots c_k = -2$, or $c_1 = -k + 3$, $c_2 = -5$, $c_3 = -3$ and $c_4 = \ldots = c_k = -2$, or $c_1 = -k + 3$, $c_3 = -6$ and $c_2 = c_4 = \ldots c_k = -2$. 

Figure 11: The generic graph, its dual, and the configuration of curves after 3 blow–downs in the family $C_2$
**Proof** Notice first that $E_1$ cannot intersect $A_3$ (otherwise we will have a self-intersection 0 curve in the complement), hence we have two cases to examine.

**Case I:** Suppose that $E_1$ intersects $A_2$, i.e., $E_1 \cdot A_2 > 0$. In this case, after four blow-downs, the self-intersection of $A_1$ becomes 1, which cannot go any higher, since in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ the curve $A_1$ will become a line. Therefore $E_1$ must be disjoint from the chain and $E_2$ must be disjoint from all the $A_i$’s. In order the image of $A_1$ to intersect $D$ (three times) $E_1$ must intersect $D$. Since $E_2$ is disjoint from all the $A_i$’s, and it starts the blow-down of the chain, and is responsible for the singularity on $D$, the usual argument presented in the proof of Proposition 3.3 locates it. In conclusion, the only possibility is shown (with $k = n$) in Figure 12, together with the framings dictated by this (one-parameter) family. After the

---

**Figure 12:** A one-parameter family in $\mathcal{C}_2$ with rational homology disk filling
repeated blow-downs we arrive to a curve configuration involving a singular cubic with two tangent lines in its two inflection points.

**Case II:** Suppose now that $E_1$ intersects $A_4$. After the repeated blow-downs of $E_1$, $A_4$, $A_3$ and $A_2$, the self-intersection of $A_1$ will increase to $(-1)$. In order to increase it to $+1$ we have a number of possibilities.

(i) $E_1 \cdot C_i = 0$, i.e., $E_1$ is disjoint from the chain. In this case $E_2$ must intersect $A_1$ and also the last curve we blow down in the chain. Since then there is no further curve starting the blow-down of the chain, this can happen only if the chain has a single element. If $E_2$ is disjoint from $D$, then after the blow-downs $D$ remains smooth, which is a contradiction. Therefore $E_2$ must intersect $D$. Blowing down $E_2$ and then the element in the chain we get that the result of $A_1$ passes through $D$ three times. Therefore $E_1$ must be disjoint from $D$. Computing the self-intersections, however, we see that the curve with framing $c_1$ (giving rise to $D$ which will become of self-intersection 9) must have self-intersection $c_1 = +1$ in the dual graph, which is a contradiction.

(ii) Assume now that $E_1$ intersects the chain in the curve we will blow down last. This implies that $E_2$ should intersect $A_1$, but since the blow-down of $E_1$ (together with the last curve in the chain) increases the self-intersection of $A_1$ by two, $E_2$ must be disjoint from the chain. Therefore once again, the chain must be of length one. Performing the blow-downs we conclude that $D$ remains smooth and the image of $D$ and $A_1$ will intersect each other only twice, hence this case does not occur.

(iii) Finally, it can happen that $E_1$ intersects the chain in the penultimate curve to blow down. Then $E_2$ should be disjoint from the $A_i$’s, and since the singularity on $D$ cannot be caused by blowing down $E_1$, we need that $E_2$ intersects $D$. The usual argument given in the proof of Proposition 3.3 shows the position of $E_2$, leading to two configurations, depending on whether the last curve to blow down is next to $D$ or one off. The resulting possibilities (with $k = n$) are given by Figures 13 and 14.

**Lemma 3.12** The configuration of curves given by the graph $\Gamma'$ either of Figure 12(b), of 13(b) or of 14(b) do exist in $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# (|\Gamma'|-1)\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$. Consequently the singularities with resolution graphs given by Figure 12(a), 13(a) or 14(a) do admit a rational homology disk smoothings.

**Proof** In Case I of Proposition 3.11 consider the cubic and one tangent already studied in the the proof of Lemma 3.4, together with the tangent line \( \{ y - (x + \frac{8}{3}z)\sqrt{3}i = 0 \} \) passing through another inflection point \( [-\frac{2}{3} : -i\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} : 1] \) of
In Case II of Proposition 3.11 we need a nodal cubic, with a tangent in one of its inflection points and a tangent to one of its branch at its nodal point. The cubic and the tangent at the inflection point can be chosen as in the proof of Lemma 3.4, and the additional tangent can be chosen to be \( \{x = y\} \) or \( \{x = -y\} \).

Having these curves in \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \) the rest of the proof is identical to the previous cases, e.g. in Lemma 3.4: the appropriate blow-ups embed the dual graphs in the right blow-ups of \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \) and then the application of Pinkham’s Theorem 2.9 completes the argument.

**Proof of Theorem 3.10** Once again, the implication \((1) \Rightarrow (2)\) follows from the general principle that a smoothing of a singularity always provides a weak filling of the Milnor fillable contact structure on the link. After converting the
Figure 14: One more one-parameter family in \( C_2 \) with rational homology disk filling dual graph \( \Gamma' \) to \( \Gamma \), Proposition 3.11 provides (2) \( \Rightarrow \) (3), while Lemma 3.12 implies (3) \( \Rightarrow \) (1), concluding the proof. \( \square \)

Remark 3.13 As before, the graphs found in Case I of Proposition 3.11 are constructed by the usual strategy of always blowing up the edge connecting the \((-1)\)-vertex with the leaf. The graphs in Case II are constructed in a slightly unusual manner: In constructing the plumbing graph for the first case (depicted by Figure 13) we blow up the edge emanating from the \((-1)\)-vertex pointing to the leaf, except in the penultimate blow-up, where we choose the other edge, but for the last blow-up we choose the edge connecting the \((-1)\)-vertex with the neighbour of the leaf. In the second case the graph is constructed by repeatedly blowing up the edge connecting the \((-1)\)-vertex with the leaf, and then in the penultimate step we blow up the other edge, and finally we blow up the edge which is \textit{not} connecting the \((-1)\)-vertex to the neighbour of the leaf. The resulting two one-parameter families are given by the figures. Notice again, that these graphs already appeared in our previous lists as members of
the family $\mathcal{M}$, compare with Figure 1(g) with $p = 1$, $r = 3$ and $q = n - 5$, and Figure 1(f) with $p = 4$ and $q = n - 5$.

### 3.2 Graphs in $\mathcal{A}$

For three–legged graphs in $\mathcal{A}$ there is no need for further subdivisions since the legs in this case are symmetric. As usual, the generic member of the family is shown by Figure 15(a).

**Theorem 3.14** Suppose that the singularity $S_\Gamma$ admits resolution dual graph given by Figure 15(a). Then the following three statements are equivalent:

1. $S_\Gamma$ admits a rational homology disk smoothing,
2. the Milnor fillable contact 3–manifold $(Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)$ admits a rational homology disk weak filling, and
3. for the graph $\Gamma$ given by Figure 15(a) $b = b_1 = \ldots = b_{n-2} = -2$, $b_{n-1} = -4$ and $b_n = -n - 2$ for some positive integer $n$.

The usual simple count shows that if we assume the existence of a rational homology disk filling, then we have to find two $(-1)$–curves $E_1, E_2$, cf. Figure 15.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 15: The generic graph, its dual, and the configuration of curves after 3 blow–downs in the family $\mathcal{A}$
Proposition 3.15  In this case the curve $E_2$ intersects $D$ and $C_k$, while $E_1$ intersects either $A$ and $C_3$ or $A$ and $C_2$. The corresponding framings in both cases $c_1 = -k + 3$, $c_3 = -3$ and $c_2 = c_4 = \ldots c_k = -2$.

Proof  The curve $A$ must intersect one of the $(-1)$–curves, say $E_1$. If $E_2$ also intersects $A$, then only one of them (say $E_2$) can intersect the long chain, and only in the last curve to be blown down, so we cannot start the blow–down process on the chain unless it is of length one. We show that this case never occurs. In fact, to create the singularity on $D$, the $(-1)$–curve $E_2$ must intersect it, and so by blowing down $E_2$ and the unique element in the chain, we get that the resulting $A$ and $D$ will intersect each other three times, hence $E_1$ must be disjoint from $D$. The self–intersection of the resulting singular cubic (which must be equal to 9) is $c_1 + 8$, implying that $c_1 = 1$, which contradicts the fact that it should be negative. Therefore $E_2$ cannot intersect $A$, and so it must intersect the long chain, and to create the singular point on $D$ it must also intersect that curve. The usual argument already discussed in Proposition 3.3 shows that $E_2$ can intersect the chain only in $C_k$. In order to raise the self–intersection of $A$ from $(-2)$ to 1 we need that $E_1$ intersect the chain in the penultimate curve to be blown down. Since after the blow–downs the image of $A$ will pass through the singular point of $D$, $E_1$ must be disjoint from $D$. The two (very similar) possibilities for the $(-1)$–curves (differing only in the position of the $E_1$–curve) are shown (with $k = n + 3$) by Figures 16(c) and (d), where also the (one-parameter family of) framings are indicated.

Lemma 3.16  The configuration of curves given by the graph $\Gamma'$ of Figure 16(b) do exist in $\mathbb{CP}^2\#(|\Gamma'| - 1)\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$. Consequently the singularities with resolution graphs given by Figure 16(a) do admit a rational homology disk smoothings.

Proof  By adding $\{x = y\}$ (or $\{x = -y\}$) to the two curves we chose in the proof of Lemma 3.4 we get the configuration of curves in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ depicted in Figure 16(e). The appropriate blow–up sequence then shows that the dual configuration embeds in the appropriate rational surface, hence the application of Pinkham’s Theorem 2.9 concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3.14  As usual, the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ follows from general principles while Proposition 3.15 (after converting the dual graph back to $\Gamma$) provides $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. Finally Lemma 3.16 implies $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$.  
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Figure 16: The one-parameter family in $\mathcal{A}$ with rational homology disk filling

**Remark 3.17** The graphs found in this case are constructed by the usual strategy of always blowing up the edge connecting the $(-1)$–vertex with the leaf.
3.3 Graphs in $\mathcal{B}$

Similarly to the case of $\mathcal{C}$, the study of the family $\mathcal{B}$ falls into two subcases, depending on the choice of the first blow-up.

The family $\mathcal{B}_4$

The generic member of this family (together with the dual graph and the configuration of curves after three blow-downs) is shown in Figure 17.

![Figure 17: The generic graph, its dual, and the configuration of curves after 3 blow-downs in the family $\mathcal{B}_4$](image)

**Theorem 3.18** Suppose that the singularity $S_\Gamma$ admits resolution dual graph given by Figure 17(a). Then the following three statements are equivalent:

1. $S_\Gamma$ admits a rational homology disk smoothing,
2. the Milnor fillable contact 3–manifold $(Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)$ admits a rational homology disk weak filling, and
3. for the graph $\Gamma$ given by Figure 17(a) $b = b_1 = \ldots = b_{n-2} = -2$, $b_{n-1} = -3$ and $b_n = -n - 3$ for some positive integer $n$.

The usual count of curves shows that we need to locate two $(-1)$–curves in order to show the existence of a rational homology disk filling. As usual, these
two curves will be denoted by $E_1$ and $E_2$. It is clear that one of them, say $E_1$, must intersect $G$ in order to increase its self-intersection to 1.

**Proposition 3.19** Under the above hypotheses, the existence of a rational homology disk filling implies that $E_2$ intersects $D$ and $C_k$, while $E_1$ intersects $G$ and $C_2$. The corresponding framings are $c_1 = -k + 3$, $c_2 = -3$ and $c_3 = \ldots c_k = -2$.

**Proof** If $E_2$ also intersects $G$ then both must be disjoint from the chain, hence it cannot be blown down. Therefore we might assume that $E_2$ is disjoint from $G$, and therefore $E_1$ must intersect the chain in the last curve to be blown down. The curve $E_1$ must be disjoint from $D$, since if $E_1$ intersects $D$ then after two blow–downs the curves resulting from $G$ and $D$ will intersect at least four times, giving a contradiction. Therefore $E_1$ must be disjoint from $D$, hence $E_2$ intersects the configuration of curves as it is found in the proof of Proposition 3.3. The only possibility is then shown (with $k = n + 3$) by Figure 18, together with the possible framings.

**Lemma 3.20** The configuration of curves given by the graph $\Gamma'$ of Figure 18(b) does exist in $CP^2 \# (|\Gamma'| - 1)\overline{CP^2}$. Consequently the singularities with resolution graphs given by Figure 18(a) do admit a rational homology disk smoothings.

**Proof** The configuration of curves shown by Figure 18(d) obviously exists in $CP^2$: the cubic and the tangent line (in an inflection point) is chosen as in the proof of Lemma 3.4, and if we add the line $\{x = 0\}$ to them, we get the desired configuration. Blowing this configuration up, we arrive to an embedding of the dual curve configuration, which by Pinkham’s Theorem 2.9 implies the existence of a rational homology disk smoothing.

**Proof of Theorem 3.18** As usual, the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ follows from general principles while Proposition 3.19 provides $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. Finally Lemma 3.20 implies $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$.

**Remark 3.21** The graphs found in this case are constructed by the usual strategy of always blowing up the edge connecting the $(-1)$–vertex with the leaf.
The family $B_2$

The graphs (with their duals, and the curve configuration we get by the three blow-downs) are shown by Figure 19.

**Theorem 3.22** Suppose that the singularity $S_\Gamma$ admits resolution dual graph given by Figure 19(a). Then the following three statements are equivalent:

1. $S_\Gamma$ admits a rational homology disk smoothing,
2. the Milnor fillable contact 3–manifold $(Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)$ admits a rational homology disk weak filling, and
3. for the graph $\Gamma$ given by Figure 19(a) $b = b_1 = \ldots = b_{n-2} = -2$, $b_{n-1} = -3$ and $b_n = -n - 1$ for some positive integer $n$.

The usual curve count shows that for identifying a rational homology disk filling we must find three $(-1)$–curves $E_1, E_2, E_3$ in the diagram. Suppose that $E_1$
intersects $G$.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 19: The generic graph, its dual, and the configuration of curves after 3 blow-downs in the family $B_2$.

**Proposition 3.23** Under the circumstance described above, from the existence of a rational homology disk filling it follows that the curve $E_3$ intersects $D$ and $C_k$, $E_2$ intersects $C_2$ and $A_1$ and $E_1$ intersects $G$, $D$ and $A_2$. In this case the framings should satisfy $c_1 = -k + 1$, $c_2 = -3$ and $c_3 = \ldots = c_k = -2$.

**Proof** Since $G$ has self-intersection $(-1)$ and it intersects the line $L$ once, its self-intersection must increase to 1, hence either $E_2$ intersects $G$ or $E_1$ intersects either $A_2$ or the chain.

**Case I: $E_2 \cdot G > 0$.** In this case both $E_1$ and $E_2$ must be disjoint from $A_2$ and the chain, hence $E_3$ intersects both $A_2$ and the chain. Also, since $G$ and $A_1$ will intersect after the blow-down, $E_1$ or $E_2$ (say $E_1$) must intersect $A_1$. After blowing down the $E_i$’s and $A_2$, the self-intersection of $A_1$ is already zero, hence $E_3$ can intersect the chain in the last curve to blow down, which is possible only if the chain is of length one. If $E_3$ is disjoint from $D$ then (in order $A_1$ to intersect $D$ three times) $E_1$ must intersect $D$ twice, and hence (in order to avoid $G \cdot D > 3$) the curve $E_2$ must be disjoint from $D$. Now we can easily see that the self-intersection of $D$ increases to $c_1 + 8$ after all the blow-downs have been performed, and since it should be equal to 9, we deduce that $c_1 = 1$, contradicting the fact that $c_1$ is negative. If $E_3$ intersects $D$ then after blowing down $E_3$, $A_2$ and the unique element in the chain we get
a singularity on $D$, and $A_1$ intersects $D$ three times. Therefore $E_1$ should be disjoint from $D$ and $E_2$ can intersect it only once, implying that $G \cdot D = 2$, providing a contradiction. This shows that Case I, in fact, cannot occur.

**Case II:** Suppose that $E_2 \cdot G = 0$, therefore $E_1$ intersects either the chain or $A_2$. Suppose first that $E_1$ intersects the chain (in the last curve to be blown down). Simple calculation shows that if $E_1 \cdot D = 0$ then after all the blow-downs $G \cdot D < 3$, and if $E_3 \cdot D = 1$ then (again, after all the blow-downs) $G \cdot D > 3$, both contradicting the fact that the intersection number of a line and a cubic in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ is equal to three. If $E_1$ intersects $A_2$ (and hence is disjoint from the chain) then both $E_2, E_3$ must be disjoint from $G$, and one of them (say $E_2$) intersects $A_1$. To increase the self-intersection of $A_1$, the curve $E_2$ should intersect the chain in the last curve to be blown down. Since the image of $G$ will intersect $D$, we see that $E_1 \cdot D = 1$. This implies that after blowing down $E_1$ and $A_2$, the curve $A_1$ will intersect $D$ once, therefore $E_2$ cannot intersect $D$ (since it would add three to $A_1 \cdot D$). Now the usual argument from the proof of Proposition 3.3 shows that $E_3$ starts the blow-down of the chain, and it also intersects $D$ in one point, leading to the configuration depicted (with $k = n + 1$) in Figure 20, where also the necessary framings are indicated.

**Lemma 3.24** The configuration of curves given by the graph $\Gamma'$ of Figure 20(b) do exist in $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# (|\Gamma'| - 1)\mathbb{CP}^2$. Consequently the singularities with resolution graphs given by Figure 20(a) do admit a rational homology disk smoothings.

**Proof** The nodal cubic curve with the tangent at one of its inflection points has been given in the proof of Lemma 3.4 already. Adding to it the line $\{x + z = 0\}$, which intersects the cubic curve once transversally (in the point $[0 : 1 : 0]$) and once tangentially (in $[-1 : 0 : 1]$) and the line $\{y = 0\}$ joining this tangency $[-1 : 0 : 1]$ with the node $[0 : 0 : 1]$, we get a configuration, from which appropriate repeated blow-ups provide an embedding of the dual configuration into a rational surface such that Pinkham’s Theorem 2.9 applies and shows the existence of the required smoothings.

**Proof of Theorem 3.22** As usual, the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ follows from general principles while Proposition 3.23 provides $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. Finally Lemma 3.24 implies $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$.

**Remark 3.25** The graphs found in this case are constructed by the usual strategy of always blowing up the edge connecting the $(-1)$-vertex with the leaf.
Proof of Theorem 1.4  Consider a small Seifert singularity $S_Γ$. Since a smoothing of $S_Γ$ provides a weak symplectic filling of the Milnor fillable contact structure $(Y_Γ, ξ_Γ)$ of the link, the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ follows.

Now suppose that (2) holds for $S_Γ$. According to Theorem 2.11 then $Γ \in W \cup N ∪ M \cup A \cup B \cup C$. Since $W \cup N ∪ M \subset QHD_3$ by definition (as the graphs of Figure 1), we only need to consider graphs in $A \cup B \cup C$. The combination of $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ of Theorems 3.1, 3.6, 3.10, 3.14, 3.18 and 3.22 verifies the implication $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ of Theorem 1.4.
Finally, if $\Gamma \in QHD_3$ is in $W \cup N \cup M$ then [14] (cf. also Section 5) shows that the corresponding singularity admits a rational homology disk smoothing. If $\Gamma \in QHD_3$ is given by one of the diagrams of Figure 2 then one of the implications $\text{(3)} \Rightarrow \text{(1)}$ of Theorems 3.1, 3.6, 3.10, 3.14, 3.18 or 3.22 verifies the implication $\text{(2)} \Rightarrow \text{(3)}$ of Theorem 1.4.

Notice that by a result of Laufer [6] all the graphs in $QHD_3$ are taut: according to [6] a three–legged graph is taut if (a) the framing of the central vertex is $\leq -3$ or (b) the framing of the central vertex is $-2$ and at least two arms of the graph are of length one. With this last observation the proof is complete.

4 Seifert singularities

Next we turn to the examination of generic Seifert singularities. According to the main result of [14], however, if a Seifert singularity admits a rational homology disk smoothing (or the Milnor fillable contact structure on its link admits a rational homology disk filling) then the valency of the central vertex is at most four. The three–legged case was analyzed in the previous section, so now we will focus on the case of four–legged graphs. Once again, it follows from [14] that we only need to consider graphs in $A \cup B \cup C$.

The family $C$

We start by considering the four-legged graphs in the family $C$. The generic four-legged member $\Gamma$ of $C$ is given in the first diagram of Figure 21, with the dual graph given by Figure 21(b).

Theorem 4.1 Suppose that $\Gamma$ is a plumbing graph as in Figure 21(a). Then the following three statements are equivalent:

1. There is a singularity $S_\Gamma$ with resolution graph $\Gamma$ which admits a rational homology disk smoothing,

2. the Milnor fillable contact 3–manifold $(Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)$ admits a rational homology disk weak filling, and

3. for the graph $\Gamma$ given by Figure 21(a) $b = -3$, $b_1 = \ldots = b_{n-1} = -2$ and $b_n = -n$ for some integer $n \geq 2$.

Again, before starting the proof we list a few useful observations. After three blow downs we obtain the configuration $K$ depicted in the third picture in
Figure 21. The horizontal (+1)-curve will be denoted $L$ and the two curves which are triply tangent to $L$ will be denoted $F$ and $D$, with $D$ being the innermost curve. Also the chain of $(-2)$-curves connected to the curve $F$ will be denoted $B_1, \ldots, B_4$, with $B_1$ intersecting $F$ and the chain of curves intersecting $D$ will be denoted $C_2, \ldots, C_k$, with $C_2$ intersecting $D$. Suppose that $X$ is a symplectic rational homology disk filling of $(Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)$. As before, let $W_{\Gamma'}$ denote a regular neighbourhood of $K$ for the dual graph $\Gamma'$ and let $Z$ denote the result of gluing $X$ with $W_{\Gamma'}$. Then $Z$ will be a closed symplectic 4-manifold containing the configuration $K$. We will blow down $(-1)$-curves disjoint from $L$ to obtain $(\mathbb{CP}^2, L)$ with the images of $F$ and $D$ being cubics.

Let $\mathcal{J}_K$ denote the nonempty set of tame almost complex structure on $Z$ with respect to which all the curves of $K$ are pseudoholomorphic. Choose an almost complex structure $J$ which is generic in $\mathcal{J}_K$. If we blow down all $J$-holomorphic $(-1)$-curves away from $L$, we can show that the strings $B_1, \ldots, B_4$ and $C_2, \ldots, C_k$ are transformed into configurations of curves which can be sequentially blown down. An elementary homological computation shows that (since $X$ is a rational homology disk) there must be precisely two $(-1)$-curves, say $E_1$ and $E_2$, in the complement of $L$ that are not contained in the strings $B_1, \ldots, B_4$ and $C_2, \ldots, C_k$. Since the string $B_1, \ldots, B_4$ must be transformed into a configuration which can be sequentially blown down after blowing down
$E_1$ and $E_2$, it follow that at least one of these $(-1)$-curves must intersect $B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_4$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $E_1$ intersects $B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_4$.

**Proposition 4.2** By assuming the existence of the rational homology disk filling $X$ we get that $E_1$ intersects $D$, $F$ and $B_4$, while $E_2$ intersects $D$ and $C_k$. The framings then are given by $c_1 = -k - 2$ and $c_2 = \ldots = c_k = -2$.

**Proof** If $E_1 \cdot B_2 = 1$ or $E_1 \cdot B_3 = 1$, then blowing down $E_1$ and then sequentially blowing down the images of $B_2$ and $B_3$ leads to a $(+1)$-curve (the image of $B_1$ or $B_4$) in the complement of $L$ contradicting Lemma 2.6. Hence we can assume that either $E_1 \cdot B_1 = 1$ or $E_1 \cdot B_4 = 1$.

**Case I:** Suppose that $E_1 \cdot B_1 = 1$. Note first that $E_1 \cdot F = 0$. Indeed, suppose that $E_1 \cdot F \geq 1$. If $E_1 \cdot F > 1$, then blowing down $E_1$ would lead to a point on the image $F'$ of $F$ under the blowing down map through which at least two branches of $F'$ pass. Also the intersection number of the image $B_1'$ of $B_1$ and $F'$ will be at least three. By perturbing the almost complex structure slightly, we can assume that $B_1'$ and $F'$ intersect transversely. Then blowing down $B_1'$ we see that the image $F''$ of $F'$ will have two singularities, which by Lemma 2.7 contradicts the fact that $F''$ will eventually blow down to a cubic in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. A similar contradiction arises if $E_1 \cdot F = 1$, after blowing down both $E_1$ and $B_1'$.

There are now two possibilities: $E_1 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$ or $E_1 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$.

**IA.** $E_1 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$.

Suppose that $E_1 \cdot C_1 = 1$. After blowing down $E_1$ and then sequentially blowing down the images of $B_1, \ldots, B_4$ observe that the image $C_i'$ of $C_i$ will be 4-fold tangent to the image $F'$ of $F$. Perturbing the almost complex structure, we may assume that $C_i'$ intersects $F'$ transversely. Eventually $C_i'$ will get blown down and this will create a singularity on the image of $F$ that is not allowed for a cubic in $\mathbb{CP}^2$, since the link of its singularity has four components, providing the desired contradiction.

**IB.** $E_1 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$.

We have $E_1 \cdot D = 0$ or $E_1 \cdot D = 1$ ($E_1 \cdot D > 1$ is not allowed as blowing down $E_1$, then perturbing the almost complex structure so that $B_1'$, the image of $B_1$, and $D'$, the image of $D$, intersect transversely and then blowing down $B_1'$ would create two nodes on the image of $D'$, contradicting Lemma 2.7). After blowing down $E_1$ and then sequentially blowing down the images of $B_1, \ldots, B_4$,
the intersection number of the images $F'$ and $D'$ of $F$ and $D$, respectively, will be either 3 or 7. Now, by arguing as in the proof Proposition 3.3, we can show that $E_2$ must intersect the last curve $C_k$ in the string $C_2, \ldots, C_k$ and the curve $D'$. $E_2$ must also intersect $F'$, otherwise, after the blowing down process has been carried out, the image of $F'$ would be nonsingular, which is impossible for a cubic in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. In fact, it is necessary that $E_2 \cdot F' = 2$, otherwise the image of $F'$ will either be smooth or have the wrong type of singularity. Also it is necessary that the string $C_2, \ldots, C_k$ be empty, otherwise, after blowing down $E_2$, when the image of $C_k$ is collapsed a further singularity will be introduced in the image of $F'$. Now the condition that $D'$ get blown to a rational cubic in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ forces us to have $E_2 \cdot D' = 2$. Blowing down $E_2$, we see now that the intersection number of the images of $D'$ and $F'$ will be either 7 or 11 (depending on $E_1 \cdot D = 0$ or 1), which is impossible for a pair of irreducible cubic curves in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. In conclusion, we got that $E_1 \cdot B_1$ leads to contradiction, hence we can consider

Case II: $E_1 \cdot B_1 = 1$. As before, we distinguish two cases according to the intersection of $E_1$ with the chain $C_2 \cup \ldots \cup C_k$.

IIA. $E_1 \cdot (C_2 \cup \ldots \cup C_k) = 1$.

Suppose that $E_1 \cdot C_i = 1$. Note that $E_1 \cdot F = 0$, otherwise the image of $F$ after completing the blowing down process would have more than one singular points. For a similar reason, $E_1 \cdot D$ must also be 0. We now divide $E_1 \cdot C_i = 1$ into three cases.

(i) Suppose that $i = 2$, i.e., $E_1$ intersects the chain in the curve intersecting $D$. Blow down $E_1$, then sequentially blow down the images of $B_4, \ldots, B_1$ and then the images of $C_2, \ldots, C_l$ until the resulting string $C_{l+1}', \ldots, C_k'$ attached to $D'$, the image of $D$, is minimal, that is, contains no $(-1)$-curves. Let $F'$ denote the image of $F$. Then $F' \cdot D' = l + 2$, where $0 \leq l \leq k$. First suppose that $l < k$. Then, by arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.3, one can show that $E_2$ must intersect the last curve $C_k'$ of the string $C_{l+1}', \ldots, C_k'$ and the curves $F'$ and $D'$, each once transversally. Now blow down $E_2$ and then sequentially blow down the images of $C_k', \ldots, C_{l+1}'$. Then the images of $F'$ and $D'$ will be nodal curves and for the intersection number of them to be 9 we require that $k = 3$. However to make the self-intersection number of the image of $F'$ equal 9 we require that $k = 4$. This contradiction show that the case $l < k$ cannot occur. Now suppose that $l = k$. Then to introduce singularities of the right type into the images of the curves $F'$ and $D'$ we require that $E_2 \cdot F' = 2$ and $E_2 \cdot D' = 2$. A simple check now shows that, as before, to make the intersection
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number of the images of \( F' \) and \( D' \) 9 we require \( k = 3 \) and to make the image of \( D' \) have self-intersection number 9 we require \( k = 4 \), again a contradiction.

(ii) Suppose next that \( 2 < i < k \) \((k \geq 4)\). Blow down \( E_1 \), then sequentially blow down the images of \( B_4, \ldots, B_1 \). Suppose first that the image \( C_i' \) of \( C_i \) under the blowing down map is not a \((-1)\)-curve. Then, arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.3, one can show that \( E_2 \) must intersect the last curve \( C_k \) in the string attached to \( D \) and it must necessarily intersect \( F' \), the image of \( F \). It follows that \( i = 2 \), otherwise, after blowing down \( E_2 \) and then sequentially blowing down the images of \( C_k, \ldots, C_1 \), the image of \( F' \) would have more than one singularity, contradicting Lemma 2.7 Since \( i > 2 \) is assumed, we reached a contradiction. Thus \( C_i' \) must be a \((-1)\)-curve. Now blow down \( C_i' \). Note that the images of the curves \( C_{i-1} \) and \( C_{i+1} \) must be the last two curves, in some blowing down process, of the string attached to \( D \) to get blown down, otherwise the image of \( F' \) after completing the blowing down process will have more than one singular point, a contradiction. Now there are two cases to consider: \( E_2 \cdot F' = 0 \) or \( E_2 \cdot F' = 1 \).

Suppose that \( E_2 \cdot F' = 0 \). Then it is easy to see that after the blowing down process has been carried out, the image of \( F' \) will have self-intersection number 8, which contradicts the fact that \( F \) should blow down to a cubic in \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \).

Suppose that \( E_2 \cdot F' = 1 \). Then \( E_2 \) must be disjoint from the string attached to \( D \). In order to make \( D \) singular, \( E_2 \cdot D \) must necessarily be 2. It is now easy to check that, after carrying out the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of the curves \( F \) and \( D \) will be less than 9, which contradicts the fact that they should blow down to a pair of cubics in \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \).

(iii) Finally assume that \( i = k \) \((k \geq 3)\). Blow down \( E_1 \), then sequentially blow down the images of \( B_4, \ldots, B_1 \) and then the images of \( C_k, \ldots, C_{l+1} \) until the resulting string \( C_1', \ldots, C_l' \) attached to \( D' \) (the image of \( D \)) is minimal. If a nonempty string remains, then, as before, \( E_2 \) must intersect the last curve \( C_l' \) in the string and the curves \( F' \), the image of \( F \), and \( D' \), each once transversally. Then blowing down \( E_2 \) and then the image of \( C_l' \), we find that \( l \) must be 2, otherwise the image of \( F' \), after completing the blowing down process, would have more than one singular point, contradicting the fact that it must be a cubic in \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \). It follows that the intersection number of the images of \( F' \) and \( D' \), after completing the blowing down process, will be 8, contradicting the fact that they should blow down to a pair of cubics in \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \).

If \( l = 1 \), that is the whole string attached to \( D \) gets sequentially blown down after blowing down \( E_1 \), then one can check that the intersection numbers of
$E_2$ and the images of $F'$ and $D'$ must both be 2. Again it follows that, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection numbers of the images of $F'$ and $D'$ will be 8, a contradiction. Therefore we finish IB, and conclude that

**IB.** $E_1 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$.

We claim that $E_1 \cdot F = 1$. To see this, suppose, for a contradiction, that $E_1 \cdot F = 0$. Then we have $E_1 \cdot D = 0$ or 1. Blow down $E_1$ and then sequentially blow down the images of the curves $B_4, \ldots, B_1$. Then the image $F'$ of $F$ will still be smooth. It is thus necessary to have $E_2 \cdot F' = 2$, otherwise the image of $F$ will be smooth or have the wrong type of singularity. But then the string $C_2, \ldots, C_k$ must be empty, otherwise $E_2$ would have to intersect it and thus blowing down would create additional singular points on the image of $F$, a contradiction. It follows that, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $D$ will be less than 9, a contradiction. This verifies $E_1 \cdot F = 1$.

Now blowing down $E_1$ and then sequentially blowing down the $B_i$, we find that the image of $F$ becomes a rational curve with a single nodal point and having self-intersection number 9. It follows that $E_2$ cannot intersect $F$ and that $E_1$ must intersect $D$ once transversally. Let $F', D'$ denote the images of $F$ and $D$, respectively, after blowing down $E_1$ and the $B_i$. It is then easy to check that $F' \cdot D' = 9$. Now the only possibility for $E_2$, by the argument in the proof of Proposition 3.3, is that $E_2 \cdot C_k = 1$ and $E_2 \cdot D = 1$. For each value of $k$, the blowing down process now fixes $c$ and $c_1, \ldots, c_k$, which (with $k = n - 1$) must be as in Figure 22(a).

**Lemma 4.3** There does exist a configuration of curves in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ having the intersection pattern given in Figure 22(b). Consequently, there are singularities with resolution graphs given in Figure 22(a) which admit rational homology disk smoothings.

**Proof** Let $L$ be the line $\{z = 0\}$ in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and let $R_1$ and $R_2$ be the cubics given by the equations $f_1(x, y, z) = y^2z - x^3 - x^2z$ and $f_2(x, y, z) = y^2z + \frac{1}{6}xyz + yz^2 - \frac{9}{8}x^3 - 2x^2z - xz^2$, respectively. The curves $R_1$ and $R_2$ are rational nodal cubics with nodes at $[0 : 0 : 1]$ and $[-\frac{2}{3} : -\frac{1}{3} : 1]$, respectively. It is easy to check that both $R_1$ and $R_2$ are triply tangent to $L$ at the point $[0 : 1 : 0]$ and are also triply tangent to each other at $[0 : 1 : 0]$ and have intersection multiplicity 6 at the point $[0 : 0 : 1]$. Therefore the existence of the configuration of curves
Figure 22: The one-parameter family of 4-legged graphs in $C$ with rational homology disk filling depicted by Figure 22(d) is verified, from which the appropriate sequence of blow-ups shows the existence of the embedding of curves with intersections given by the graph $\Gamma'$ of Figure 22(b) in $\mathbb{CP}_2 \# (|\Gamma'|-1)\mathbb{CP}_2$. The existence of the smoothing of a singularity with resolution graph of Figure 22(a) then follows from Pinkham’s Theorem 2.9.

**Proof of Theorem 4.1** As before, the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ follows from general principles, $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.2 and $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ is implied by Lemma 4.3.

**Remark 4.4** The graphs found in this case are constructed by the usual strat-
egy of always blowing up the edge connecting the \((-1)\)-vertex with the leaf.

The family \(\mathcal{B}\)

We next consider four-legged graphs in the family \(\mathcal{B}\): the generic four-legged

![Diagram of four-legged graphs](image)

Figure 23: The 4-legged graphs in \(\mathcal{B}\)

member of this family is given by picture in Figure 23 with the dual graph in the second picture.

**Theorem 4.5** Suppose that \(\Gamma\) is a plumbing graph as in Figure 23(a). Then the following three statements are equivalent:

1. There is a singularity \(S_\Gamma\) with resolution graph \(\Gamma\) which admits a rational homology disk smoothing,
2. the Milnor fillable contact 3–manifold \((Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)\) admits a rational homology disk weak filling, and
3. for the graph \(\Gamma\) given by Figure 23(a) \(b = -3, b_1 = \ldots = b_{n-2} = -2, b_{n-1} = -3\) and \(b_n = -n\) for some integer \(n \geq 2\).

After three blow downs we obtain the configuration \(K\) depicted in the third picture in Figure 23. Suppose that \(Z\) is the closed symplectic 4-manifold we get
by gluing the compactifying divisor $W_{Γ'}$ (containing $K$) to a rational homology disk symplectic filling of $(Y_Γ, ξ_Γ)$. Then it is easy to check that there must be three $(−1)$-curves, say $E_1, E_2, E_3$, not contained in the strings $B_1, B_2$ and $C_2, \ldots, C_k$, such that, after blowing down these three $(−1)$-curves, the images of the curves in the strings $B_1, B_2$ and $C_2, \ldots, C_k$ can be sequentially blown down and in the process $F$ and $D$ will be transformed to a pair of cubics in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and the images of $G$ and $L$ will be lines.

Since in the blowing down process the string $B_1, B_2$ will eventually transformed into a string which can be sequentially blown down, one of the $(−1)$-curves $E_1, E_2, E_3$, must intersect $B_1 ∪ B_2$. Renumbering the curves if necessary, we may assume that this curve is $E_1$.

**Proposition 4.6** Under the hypothesis of the existence of a rational homology disk filling, we get that $E_1$ intersects $D$, $F$ and $B_2$, $E_2$ intersects $F$, $G$ and $C_2$, while $E_3$ intersects $D$ and $C_k$. The corresponding framings are given as $c_1 = −n − 1$, $c_2 = −3$ and $c_3 = \ldots = c_k = −2$.

**Proof** Note that $E_1$ must be disjoint from $G$, otherwise blowing down $E_1$ and then sequentially blowing down the images of $B_1$ and $B_2$ the image of $G$ would be either singular or would have self-intersection number 2, which contradicts the fact that $G$ should blow down to a line in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Since one of the $E_i$ must necessarily intersect $G$ we may assume that $E_2 · G = 1$. We now consider the two possibilities: $E_1 · B_i = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$.

**Case I:** $E_1 · B_i = 1$.

The curve $E_1$ must necessarily be disjoint from $F$, otherwise the image of $F$ after completing the blowing down process would have more than one singular point which is impossible for a cubic in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. We consider the two possibilities: $E_1 · (C_2 ∪ \cdots ∪ C_k) = 1$ or $E_1 · (C_2 ∪ \cdots ∪ C_k) = 0$.

**IA.** $E_1 · (C_2 ∪ \cdots ∪ C_k) = 1$.

Suppose that $E_1 · C_i = 1$. Note that the image of $C_i$ must be the last curve of the string attached to $D$ to get blown down, since blowing down the the image of $C_i$ will make the image of $F$ singular so that if there are any remaining curves in the string then these will create additional singularities on the image of $F$ when they are blown down, a contradiction.

Suppose that $E_2 · (C_2 ∪ \cdots ∪ C_k) = 0$. Then the condition that $G$ blows down to a $(+1)$-curve in $\mathbb{CP}^2$, forces us to have $E_3 · G = 1$. But then necessarily
$E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$. Thus the string $C_2, \ldots, C_k$ must have length 1. Now $E_2$ and $E_3$ must necessarily intersect $F$, each once transversally, otherwise the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $G$ will not be 3. It is also necessary that the intersection number of one of $E_2$ or $E_3$ and $D$ be 2 and the other be 0 to meet the requirements that the image of $D$ be singular and that the images of $D$ and $G$ have intersection number 3. But then after completing the blowing down process we will find that the images of $D$ and $F$ have intersection number 7, a contradiction.

Suppose that $E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$. Note that $E_2$ must necessarily intersect $C_i$, the last curve in the string to get blown down, otherwise the image of $G$ after repeatedly blowing down will have self-intersection number greater than 1, a contradiction. Note also that $E_2$ must be disjoint from $F$, otherwise blowing down the image of $C_i$ will lead to a triple point on the image of $F$, a contradiction. Now consider the $(-1)$-curve $E_3$. If $E_3$ intersects $C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k$, then $E_3$ will be disjoint from $F$. In such a case, after completing the blowing down process, the image of $F$ will be a 7-curves, a contradiction. If $E_3$ is disjoint from $C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k$, then $E_3 \cdot F$ can be 0 or 1. In either case, after completing the blowing down process, the image of $F$ will have self-intersection number at most 8, again a contradiction. This argument concludes the analysis of the case $E_1 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$.

**IB.** $E_1 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$.

Suppose that $E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$. As before, it implies that $E_3 \cdot G = 1$. It follows that $E_1, E_2, E_3$ will be disjoint from $C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k$. But this means that the string must be empty, which is never the case.

Suppose that $E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$. Then $E_2$ must intersect the last curve of the string to get blown down. Also we must necessarily have $E_3 \cdot G = 0$. If $E_3$ is disjoint from $C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k$, then the string must have length 1. It follows that, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $D$ and $G$ will be either 2 or 4, depending on whether $E_2 \cdot D = 0$ or 1, a contradiction in both cases. So we may assume that $E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$. Note that the only way an appropriate singularity on the image of $D$ can arise is if $E_3 \cdot D = 1$. It follows that we must have $E_3 \cdot C_k = 1$ and $E_2 \cdot C_2 = 1$. Note also that we necessarily have $E_2 \cdot F = 1$, otherwise the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $G$ will not be 3. If $E_3 \cdot F = 0$, then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $D$ will be at most 8, a contradiction. If $E_3 \cdot F = 1$, then after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $G$ will be 4, again a contradiction. This last observation concludes the discussion of Case I.
and shows that $E_1 \cdot B_1 = 1$ is not possible.

**Case II: $E_1 \cdot B_2 = 1$.**

Again we consider the two possibilities: $E_1 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$ or $E_1 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$.

IIA. $E_1 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$.

Note that $E_1 \cdot F = 0$, otherwise when the image of $C_i$ is eventually blown down the image of $F$ will develop more than one singularity, a contradiction. For a similar reason we also have $E_1 \cdot D = 0$. Suppose that $E_1 \cdot C_i = 1$. We consider the possibilities for $i$.

(i) $i = 2$. Suppose that $E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$. Then the condition that the image of $G$, after completing the blowing down process, be a $(+1)$-curve forces us to have $E_3 \cdot G = 1$ and $E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$. Also, the condition that the images of $F$ and $D$ have nodes and that the intersection numbers of the images of $F$ and $G$, and $D$ and $G$ be 3 forces us to have $E_2 \cdot F = 2$, $E_2 \cdot D = 0$ and $E_3 \cdot F = 0$, $E_3 \cdot D = 2$, or vice-versa. Finally, the condition that $F$ have self-intersection number 9 forces us to have $k = 4$. But then it follows that the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $D$, after completing the blowing down process, will be 6, a contradiction.

Suppose that $E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$. Then $E_2$ will intersect the last curve of the string to get blown down. Note that $E_2 \cdot D = 0$, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $D$ and $G$ will be greater than 3, a contradiction. Similarly $E_2 \cdot F = 0$. Note also that $E_3$ is necessarily disjoint from $G$. Thus if $E_3$ is also disjoint from the string or from $D$, it follows that the intersection number of $D$ and $G$ after completing the blowing down process will be 2, a contradiction. Thus $E_3$ necessarily intersects the string and $D$. In fact, we require that $E_3 \cdot C_k = 1$. Now the condition that the image of $F$ have a singularity forces us to have $E_3 \cdot F = 1$. Also, the condition that the image of $F$ have self-intersection number 9 forces us to have $k = 4$. However, if $k = 4$, then the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $D$, after completing the blowing down process, will be 10, a contradiction.

(ii) $2 < i < k$ $(k \geq 3)$. If $E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$, then, as before, we require that $E_3 \cdot G = 1$, $E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$. It follows that we must have $k = 4$, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the image of $F$ will either have a singularity of multiplicity greater than two or will have more than one singular point, neither of which is permitted for a cubic in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Now
the condition that the images of $F$ and $G$ have intersection number 9 forces us to have $E_2 \cdot F = E_3 \cdot F = 1$. But then the image of $F$, after completing the blowing down process, will have self-intersection number 10, a contradiction. Thus $E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$ and $E_2$ intersects the last curve of the string that gets blown down. Note that, as in the previous case, $E_2 \cdot F = 0$, $E_2 \cdot D = 0$.

Suppose that $C_i \cdot C_i = -4$. Then the image of $C_i$ will be a $(-1)$-curve, after blowing down $E_1$ and then sequentially blowing down the images of $B_2, B_1$. It follows that the images of $C_{i-1}, C_{i+1}$ must be the last two curves of the string attached to $D$ to get blown down. Since $E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$, note that, as before, we require $E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$, $E_3 \cdot D = 1$. It follows that we must have $E_3 \cdot C_k = 1$. Note that $E_3 \cdot F = 0$, otherwise the image of $F$ after completing the blowing down process would have more than one singular points, a contradiction. Now, after completing the blowing down process, we find that the intersection number of the images of $D$ and $F$ will be 8, a contradiction.

(iii) $i = k$ ($k \geq 3$). If $E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$, then, as before, we require that $E_3 \cdot G = 1$, $E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$. To obtain the correct types of singularities on the images of $F$ and $D$ and to meet the requirement that the intersection numbers of the images of $F$ and $G$, and $D$ and $G$, after completing the blowing down process, be 3, we require that $E_2 \cdot F = 2$, $E_3 \cdot F = 0$ or $E_2 \cdot F = 0$, $E_3 \cdot F = 2$ and likewise for $D$. It follows that after completing the blowing down process the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $D$ will be 8, a contradiction. So $E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$ and $E_2$ intersects the last curve of the string that gets blown down.

Suppose that $E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$ or $E_3 \cdot D = 0$. Then since $E_3 \cdot G = 0$, after completing the blowing down process the intersection number of the images of $D$ and $G$ will be 2, a contradiction. So $E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$ and $E_3 \cdot D = 1$. Similarly we can check that $E_3 \cdot F = 1$.

Suppose that $E_3 \cdot C_j = 1$ for $j < k$. Blow down $E_1, E_2, E_3$ and then sequentially blown down the images of $B_2, B_1$. Note then that, after the images of $C_k, C_{k-1}, \ldots, C_j$ have been sequentially blown down, the image of $F$ will become singular. Also after the images of $C_j, C_{j-1}, \ldots, C_2$ have been sequentially blown down the image of $D$ will become singular. Since the images of $F$ and
\( D \) should have exactly one singularity, the image of \( C_j \) must necessarily be the last curve of the string to get blown down. It follows that \( j \) must be 2. It is now easy to check that, after the blowing down process has been completed, the intersection number of the images of \( F \) and \( D \) will be 8, a contradiction.

Suppose that \( E_3 \cdot C_k = 1 \). Then once the image of \( C_k \) is blown down the image of \( F \) will become singular. It follows that \( k \) must be 2, contrary to assumption.

2B. \( E_1 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0 \).

If \( E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0 \), then we must have \( E_3 \cdot G = 1 \), \( E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0 \).
It follows that the string \( C_2, \ldots, C_k \) must be empty, which is never the case. So \( E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1 \) and \( E_2 \) intersects the last curve that gets blown down. We thus necessarily have \( E_3 \cdot G = 0 \).

Suppose that \( E_1 \cdot F = 0 \). If \( E_2 \cdot F = 0 \) also, then the only way that the image of \( F \) can have the correct type of singularity is if \( E_3 \cdot F = 2 \) and \( E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0 \). But then, after completing the blowing down process, we find that the intersection number of the images of \( F \) and \( G \) will be 2, a contradiction. So \( E_2 \cdot F = 1 \). There are now two ways that the image of \( F \) can have the correct type of singularity: if \( E_3 \cdot F = 1 \) and \( E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1 \) or if \( E_3 \cdot F = 2 \) and \( E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0 \). In the former case, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \( F \) and \( G \) will be 4, a contradiction. In the latter case, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \( D \) and \( G \) will be either 2 or 4 depending on whether \( E_2 \cdot D = 0 \) or 2, a contradiction in either case.

Suppose that \( E_1 \cdot F = 1 \). If \( E_2 \cdot F = 0 \), then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \( F \) and \( G \) will be either 2 or 3, a contradiction. So \( E_2 \cdot D = 0 \). Next note that if \( E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0 \) or \( E_4 \cdot D = 0 \), then since \( E_3 \cdot G = 0 \), after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \( D \) and \( G \) will be 2, a contradiction. So \( E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1 \) and \( E_3 \cdot D = 1 \). It follows that we must have \( E_3 \cdot C_k = 1 \) and \( E_2 \cdot C_2 = 1 \). Also if \( E_1 \cdot D = 0 \), then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \( D \) and \( F \) will be 5, a contradiction. So we must have \( E_1 \cdot D = 1 \). Thus the three \((-1)\)-curves
$E_1, E_2, E_3$ must be as given by the Proposition (cf. also Figure 24(c)). Finally, for each value of $k$, the blowing down process fixes $c$ and $c_1, \ldots, c_k$, which (with $k = n$) must be as given in Figure 24(a).

\[ \square \]

Figure 24: The one-parameter family of 4-legged graphs in $\mathcal{B}$ with rational homology disk filling

**Lemma 4.7** There does exist a configuration of curves in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ shown by Figure 24(d), hence for the graph $\Gamma'$ given by Figure 24(b) there are curves in $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# (|\Gamma'| - 1)\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$ intersecting each other according to $\Gamma'$. Consequently,
there are singularities with resolution graphs given in Figure 24(a) which admit rational homology disk smoothings.

**Proof** Let \( L \) and \( R_1 \) be as before and let \( M \) be the line \( \{ x + z = 0 \} \) and \( R_3 \) be the cubic given by the equation \( f_3(x, y, z) = y^2z + 2xyz + 2yz^2 - 2x^3 - 4x^2z - 2xz^2 \). The curve \( R_3 \) is rational nodal cubic with a node \([-1 : 0 : 1]\). One can check that \( L, R_1 \) and \( R_3 \) are pairwise triply tangent at \([0 : 1 : 0]\). Also \( R_1 \) and \( R_3 \) intersect at \([0 : 0 : 1]\) with intersection multiplicity 4 and at \([-1 : 0 : 1]\) with intersection multiplicity 2. Furthermore, \( M \) passes through the point \([0 : 1 : 0]\) and is tangent to \( R_1 \) at \([-1 : 0 : 1]\). Therefore the existence of the configuration of curves depicted by Figure 24(d) is verified, from which the existence of the smoothing then follows from Pinkham’s Theorem 2.9.

**Proof of Theorem 4.5** As before, the implication (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2) follows from general principles, (2) \( \Rightarrow \) (3) is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.6 and (3) \( \Rightarrow \) (1) is implied by Lemma 4.7.

**Remark 4.8** The graphs found in this case are constructed by the usual strategy of always blowing up the edge connecting the \((-1)\)-vertex with the leaf.

**The family \( A \)**

Finally we consider four-legged graphs in the family \( A \). The generic four-legged member \( \Gamma \) of \( A \) is given in the first picture in Figure 25 with the dual graph in the second.

**Theorem 4.9** Suppose that \( \Gamma \) is a plumbing graph as in Figure 25(a). Then the following three statements are equivalent:

1. There is a singularity \( S_\Gamma \) with resolution graph \( \Gamma \) which admits a rational homology disk smoothing,
2. the Milnor fillable contact 3–manifold \( (Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma) \) admits a rational homology disk weak filling, and
3. for the graph \( \Gamma \) given by Figure 23(a) \( b = -3, b_1 = \ldots = b_{n-2} = -2, b_{n-1} = -4 \) and \( b_n = -n \) for some integer \( n \geq 2 \).

After three blow–downs we obtain the configuration \( K \) indicated in the third picture in Figure 25. Suppose that \( Z \) is the closed symplectic 4-manifold we
get by symplectically gluing the compactifying divisor \(W_{T'}\) (containing \(K\)) to a rational homology disc symplectic filling of \(Y_{T'}\). Then it is easy to check that there must be three \((-1)\)-curves, say \(E_1, E_2, E_3\), not contained in the string \(C_2, \ldots, C_k\), such that, after blowing down these three \((-1)\)-curves, the image of \(B\) can be blown down and the images of the curves in string \(C_2, \ldots, C_k\) can be sequentially blown down so that in the process \(F\) and \(D\) are transformed to a pair of cubics in \(\mathbb{CP}^2\) and the images of \(L\) and \(A\) are lines.

Since in the blowing down process \(B\) will be eventually transformed into a curve which can be blown down, one of the three \((-1)\)-curves, call it \(E_1\), must intersect \(B\).

**Proposition 4.10** If a rational homology disk filling exists in the situation described above, then \(E_1\) intersects \(D\), \(F\) and \(B\), \(E_2\) intersects \(A\), \(D\) and \(F\) and \(E_3\) intersects \(D\) and \(C_k\). The corresponding framings are given as \(c_1 = -k + 1\), \(c_3 = -3\) and \(c_2 = c_4 = \ldots = c_k = -2\).

**Proof** Note that if \(E_1 \cdot A = 1\), then \(E_1 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0\), otherwise after blowing down \(E_1\), and then the image of \(B\), the image of \(A\) will become singular when the image of \(C_i\) is eventually blown down, where \(E_1 \cdot C_i = 1\), which contradicts the fact that the image of \(A\) in \(\mathbb{CP}^2\) will be a line. Thus
at least one (-1)-curve different from $E_1$ should intersect $A$. Let us call this (-1)-curve $E_2$. We now begin the case-by-case analysis.

**Case I: $E_1 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$.**

Suppose that $E_1 \cdot C_i = 1$. In this case, by the argument above, we will necessarily have $E_1 \cdot A = 0$. Note that if $E_1 \cdot F = 1$, then after $E_1$ and the image of $B$ are blown down, the image $F'$ of $F$ will be singular. However, the intersection number of the image $C_i'$ of $C_i$ and $F'$ will be 2. Thus when the image of $C_i'$ is eventually blown down the image of $F'$ have a second singularity, which contradicts the fact that it must eventually blow down to a cubic in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Thus $E_1 \cdot F = 0$. Also, we must have $E_1 \cdot D = 0$, otherwise, after repeatedly blowing down, the image of $D$ will eventually have a triple point, which contradicts the fact that the image of $D$ in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ should also be a cubic.

Note that if $E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$, then we must have have $E_3 \cdot A = 1$ and $E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$, since, after completing the blowing down process, the image of $A$ should be a smooth curve of self-intersection number 1. Renumbering $E_2$ and $E_3$, if necessary, we may assume that $E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$.

Suppose that $E_2 \cdot C_j = 1$. Notice that, in the blowing down process, the image of $C_j$ must either be the last curve of the string attached to $D$ to get blown down or it must be the penultimate curve to get blown down, since otherwise, after the blowing process is complete, the self-intersection number of the image of $A$ will be greater than 1, a contradiction.

(i) $i = 2$.

(ia) The image $C_j$ is last curve of the string to get blown down. Then we must have $E_3 \cdot A = 1$, and hence $E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$. Now, since $E_1 \cdot D = 0$, there are two ways that an appropriate singularity can appear on image of $D$: either $E_2 \cdot D = 1$ or $E_3 \cdot D = 2$.

Suppose that $E_2 \cdot D = 1$. Then $E_3 \cdot D = 0$, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $D$ and $A$ would be greater than 3, a contradiction. We now have $E_2 \cdot F = 0$ or 1. If $E_2 \cdot F = 0$, then we must have $E_3 \cdot F = 2$, otherwise the image of $F$, after completing the blowing down process, would be smooth and rational, which is a contradiction. Now the condition that the self-intersection number of the image of $F$, after completing the blowing down process, will be 9, forces us to have $k = 3$. But then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $D$ will be 7, a contradiction. If $E_2 \cdot F = 1$, then $E_3 \cdot F = 0$, otherwise the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $A$, after completing
the blowing down process, would be greater than 3, a contradiction. Now, again, the condition that the self-intersection number of the image of $F$, after completing the blowing down process, will be 9, forces us to have $k = 4$. But then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $D$ will be 10, again a contradiction.

Suppose that $E_3 \cdot D = 2$. Then $E_2 \cdot D = 0$. We now have $E_2 \cdot F = 0$ or 1. If $E_2 \cdot F = 0$, then we must have $E_3 \cdot F = 2$. Now, as before, the condition that the self-intersection number of the image of $F$, after completing the blowing down process, will be 9, forces $k = 4$. But then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $D$ will be 10, a contradiction. If $E_2 \cdot F = 1$, then we must have $E_3 \cdot F = 0$. Thus, again, the condition that the self-intersection number of the image of $F$, after completing the blowing down process, will be 9, forces $k = 4$. And, this time, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $D$ will be 7, again a contradiction.

(iib) Then image of $C_j$ is penultimate curve of the string to get blown down. Then we must have $E_3 \cdot A = 0$. Also, we must have $E_2 \cdot D = 0$, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $D$ and $A$ would be greater than 3, a contradiction. Similarly, we must have $E_2 \cdot F = 0$.

Suppose that $E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$ or $E_3 \cdot D = 0$. Then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $D$ and $A$ will be at most 2, a contradiction. So $E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$ and $E_3 \cdot D = 1$. If $E_3 \cdot C_l = 1$ for $l < k$, then we must have $l = k - 1$ and $j = k$, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the image of $D$ will have more than one singular point, a contradiction. However, if $l = k - 1$ and $j = k$, then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $D$ and $A$ will be 2, a contradiction. So we must have $E_3 \cdot C_k = 1$. Also we must have $E_3 \cdot F = 1$, otherwise the image of $F$, after completing the blowing down process will be smooth, a contradiction. Now, the condition that the self-intersection number of the image of $F$, after completing the blowing down process, will be 9, forces us to have $k = 4$. But then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $D$ will be 10, a contradiction.

(ii) $2 < i < k$ ($k \geq 4$).

(iiia) The image of $C_j$ is last curve of the string to get blown down. Then we must have $E_3 \cdot A = 1$, and hence $E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0$. If $k$ is greater than
3, then, after completing the blowing down process, the image of $F$ will either have a point of multiplicity greater than 2 or have more than one singular point, neither of which is possible for a cubic in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Thus we must have $k = 4$ and thus $j = 2$ or 4. Also, we must have $E_2 \cdot F = 0$, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the image of $F$ will have a triple point, a contradiction. Furthermore, we must have $E_3 \cdot F = 1$, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $A$ will be less that 3, a contradiction. Now the only way a singularity of the appropriate type can appear on the image of $D$ is if $E_2 \cdot D = 1$ or $E_3 \cdot D = 2$.

Suppose first that $E_2 \cdot D = 1$. Then we must have $E_3 \cdot D = 0$, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $A$ and $D$ will be greater than 3, a contradiction. It follows that, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $D$ will be at most 8, which contradicts the fact that images of $F$ and $D$ in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ are a pair of cubics.

Suppose now that $E_3 \cdot D = 2$. Then we must have $E_2 \cdot D = 0$, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $D$ and $A$ will be greater that 3, a contradiction. It follows, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $D$ will be at most 8, a contradiction.

(iib) The image of $C_j$ is the penultimate curve of the string to get blown down. Then we must have $E_3 \cdot A = 0$ and $E_2 \cdot D = E_2 \cdot F = 0$. Also, we must have $E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$ and $E_3 \cdot D = 1$.

Suppose that $E_3 \cdot C_l = 1$ for $l < k$. Then the image of $C_l$ must be the last curve of the string attached to $D$ to get blown down. Indeed, it is easy to see that after the image of $C_l$ is blown down, the image of the portion $C_{l+1}, \ldots, C_k$ of the the string must be a point, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the image of $D$ will have more than one singular point. Thus we must have $i > l$ or $j > l$. In the former case, after the portion $C_l, \ldots, C_k$ of the string has been collapsed to a point, the image of $F$ will be singular and thus the image of $C_l$ must be the last curve of the string to get blown down. In the latter case, since the image of $C_j$ is the penultimate curve of the string to get blown down, $C_l$ must be the last curve of the string to get blown down. Now again using the assumption that the image of $C_j$ is the penultimate curve of the string to get blown down, we must have either $j < l$ or $j > l$. Suppose that $j < l$. Then we must have $i > l$. Also, we must have $E_3 \cdot F = 0$, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the image of $F$ will have a singularity of multiplicity greater than 2, a contradiction. Now, after completing the blowing
down process, the intersection number of the images of \(A\) and \(F\) will be 2, a contradiction. Suppose that \(j > l\). Then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \(A\) and \(D\) will be 2, again a contradiction.

Suppose that \(E_3 \cdot C_k = 1\). Then we must have \(E_3 \cdot F = 0\) or 1. Suppose that \(E_3 \cdot F = 0\). Then, in the blowing down process, the images of the curves \(C_{i-1}\) and \(C_{i+1}\) must be the last two curves of the string attached to \(D\) to get blown down. It follows that we must have \(i = 3\). It is now easy to check that, after completing the blowing down process, the image of \(F\) will have self-intersection number 8, a contradiction. Suppose that \(E_3 \cdot F = 1\). Then the image of \(C_i\) must be the last curve of the string to get blown down. It follows that we must have \(i = 3\) and \(j = 2\). We now find that, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \(F\) and \(A\) will be 2, a contradiction.

(iii) \(i = k \ (k \geq 3)\).

(iiiia) The image of \(C_j\) is last curve of the string to get blown down. Then we must have \(E_3 \cdot A = 1\), and hence \(E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0\). Also we must have \(j = 2\). Now the only way a singularity of the appropriate type can appear on the image of \(D\) is if \(E_2 \cdot D = 1\) or \(E_3 \cdot D = 2\).

Suppose that \(E_2 \cdot D = 1\). Then we must have \(E_3 \cdot D = 0\). Now we have \(E_2 \cdot F = 0\) or 1. If \(E_2 \cdot F = 0\), then it is easy to see that, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \(F\) and \(D\) will be 5, a contradiction. If \(E_2 \cdot F = 1\), then one can check that, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \(F\) and \(D\) will be 8, again a contradiction.

Suppose that \(E_2 \cdot D = 2\). Then we must have \(E_2 \cdot D = 0\). Again we have \(E_2 \cdot F = 0\) or 1. If \(E_2 \cdot F = 0\), then we must have \(E_3 \cdot F = 2\). It follows that, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \(F\) and \(D\) will be 8, a contradiction. If \(E_2 \cdot F = 1\), then we must have \(E_3 \cdot F = 0\). In this case, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \(F\) and \(D\) will be 5, again a contradiction.

(iiiib) The image of \(C_j\) is the penultimate curve of the string to get blown down. Then we must have \(E_3 \cdot A = 0\) and \(E_2 \cdot D = E_2 \cdot F = 0\). Also, we must have \(E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1\) and \(E_3 \cdot D = 1\). Furthermore, we must have \(E_3 \cdot F = 1\), otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the image of \(F\) would be smooth, a contradiction. Now note that if \(l \neq 2\), then we must have \(l = 3\)
and \( j = 2 \), otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the image of \( F \) will have more than one singular point, a contradiction. If \( l = 2 \), then \( C_2 \) must be the last curve to get blown down, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the image of \( D \) will have more than one singular point, a contradiction. Thus we must have \( j = 3 \). It now follows that, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \( D \) and \( A \) will be 2, a contradiction. If \( l = 3 \) and \( j = 2 \), then \( C_3 \) must be the last curve to get blown down and in this case it follows that, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \( F \) and \( A \) will be 2, again a contradiction.

**Case II:** \( E_1 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0 \).

**IIA.** \( E_1 \cdot A = 1 \).

Since we are assuming that \( E_2 \cdot A = 1 \) also, we will necessarily have \( E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0 \) and \( E_3 \cdot A = 0 \). Also, since the string \( C_2 \ldots, C_k \) is nonempty for every 4–legged graph \( \Gamma \) in \( A \), we must have \( E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1 \). Now if \( E_1 \cdot D = 0 \), then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \( D \) and \( A \) will be at most 2, a contradiction. It follows that we must have \( E_1 \cdot D = 1 \) and thus we must also have \( E_2 \cdot D = 1 \).

Suppose that \( E_1 \cdot F = 1 \). Then we must have \( E_2 \cdot F = 0 \). If \( E_3 \cdot F = 0 \) also holds, then, after completing the blowing down process, the self-intersection number of the image of \( F \) will be 6, a contradiction. So we must have \( E_3 \cdot F = 1 \) and \( k \) must be 3. But then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \( F \) and \( D \) will be 10, a contradiction.

Suppose that \( E_1 \cdot F = 0 \). Then we must have \( E_2 \cdot F = 2 \). Again we require \( E_3 \cdot F = 1 \) and \( k = 3 \). It thus follows again that, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of \( F \) and \( D \) will be 10, a contradiction as before.

**IIIB.** \( E_1 \cdot A = 0 \).

We may now assume \( E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1 \). (Since if \( E_2 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0 \), then we would necessarily have \( E_3 \cdot A = 1 \) and \( E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1 \), and we would just renumber the \((-1)\)-curves.) Suppose that \( E_2 \cdot C_j = 1 \). It follows that, in the blowing down process, the image of \( C_j \) is either the last curve of the string to get blown down or the penultimate curve to get blown down.

(i) Suppose first that the image of \( C_j \) is last curve of the string to get blown down. Then we must have \( E_3 \cdot A = 1 \) and \( E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 0 \). Since we
are assuming that $E_1 \cdot A = 0$, we must have that $k = 2$. Now if $E_2 \cdot F = 0$, then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $A$ and $F$ will be at most 2, a contradiction. So $E_2 \cdot F = 1$ and thus $E_3 \cdot F = 1$ also. It follows that we must have $E_1 \cdot F = 1$, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the image of $F$ would be smooth or have more than one singularity, a contradiction in both cases.

Suppose that $E_2 \cdot D = 1$. Then we must have $E_3 \cdot D = 0$. Note also that we must have $E_1 \cdot D = 1$, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $F$ and $D$ will be different from 9, a contradiction. It follows that $D$ must have self-intersection number 2 and $C_2$ must have self-intersection number $-2$. It is easy to see that in this case $\Gamma$ is just the unique three-legged graph in the family $A$ with four vertices and we already know that in this case the corresponding contact 3-manifold $(Y_\Gamma, \xi_\Gamma)$ admits a rational homology disk filling.

Suppose that $E_2 \cdot D = 0$. Then we must have $E_3 \cdot D = 2$. Again we can check that we must have $E_1 \cdot D = 1$. As in the previous case, it follows that $D$ must have self-intersection number 2 and $C_2$ must have self-intersection number $-2$, and this case has already been considered.

(ii) The image of $C_j$ is the penultimate curve of the string to get blown down. Then we must have $E_3 \cdot A = 0$. Note that if $E_2 \cdot D = 1$, then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $A$ and $D$ will be 4, a contradiction. Thus $E_2 \cdot D = 0$. Also we must have $E_3 \cdot (C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_k) = 1$ and $E_3 \cdot D = 1$, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $A$ and $D$ will be at most 2, a contradiction. Now if $l < k$, then we must have $k = 3$, $l = 2$ and $j = 3$. But then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $A$ and $D$ will be 2, a contradiction. So $l = k$. It follows that we must have $j = 2$ or 3. Now note that if $E_2 \cdot F = 0$, then, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $A$ and $F$ will be at most 2, a contradiction. So we must have $E_2 \cdot F = 1$. It also follows that we must have $E_3 \cdot F = 0$, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the intersection number of the images of $A$ and $F$ will be greater than 3, a contradiction. We now must have $E_1 \cdot F = 1$, otherwise, after completing the blowing down process, the image of $F$ will be smooth, a contradiction. For each value of $k$ and for $j = 2, 3$, the blowing down process now fixes $c, c_1, \ldots, c_k$, which (with $k = n + 1$) must be as in Figure 26.
Lemma 4.11 There does exist a configuration of curves in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ having the intersection pattern given in Figure 26(d). This shows that there are curves embedded in $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \left( |\Gamma'| - 1 \right) \mathbb{CP}^2$ intersecting each other according to the plumbing graph $\Gamma'$ given by Figure 26(b). In turn, this fact implies that for each graph of Figure 26(a) there is a singularity with that resolution graphs which admit rational homology disk smoothings.
Proof Let $L$ and $R_1$ be as before, let $N$ be the line \{\(y - i\sqrt{3}(x + \frac{8}{9}z) = 0\)\} and $R_4$ be the cubic given by the equation \(f_4(x, y, z) = y^2z + (1-i\sqrt{3})xyz + \frac{4}{9}(3-i\sqrt{3})yz^2 + \frac{1}{2}(-1+i\sqrt{3})x^3 + (-2+i\sqrt{3})x^2z - \frac{1}{3}(-3+i\sqrt{3})xz^2\). The curve $R_4$ is rational nodal cubic with a node \([-\frac{4}{3} : -\frac{4}{9}i\sqrt{3} : 1]\). The line $N$ and the curves $R_1$ and $R_4$ are pairwise triply tangent at \([0 : 1 : 0]\). Also the curves $R_1$ and $R_4$ intersect at each of the points \([0, 0, 1]\) and \([-\frac{4}{3} : -\frac{4}{9}i\sqrt{3} : 1]\) with intersection multiplicity 3. The line $N$ is triply tangent to $R_1$ at \([-\frac{4}{3} : -\frac{4}{9}i\sqrt{3} : 1]\) and intersects $R_4$ at the same point with intersection multiplicity 3. Therefore the configuration of curves depicted by Figure 26(d) is verified, from which the appropriate sequence of blow–ups verifies the existence of the embedding of curves with intersections given by Figure 26(b). A simple count of blow–ups shows that the resulting configuration is in \(CP^2\#(\mid G'\mid - 1)CP^2\). The existence of the smoothing then follows from Pinkham’s Theorem 2.9.

\[\Box\]

Proof of Theorem 4.9 As before, the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ follows from general principles, $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.10 and $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ is implied by Lemma 4.11.

Remark 4.12 The graphs found in this case are constructed by the usual strategy of always blowing up the edge connecting the $(-1)$–vertex with the leaf.

After examining all possibilities, we arrive to the

Proof of Theorem 1.6 Consider a Seifert singularity $S_G$ with minimal good resolution graph having at least four legs. Once again, the existence of a rational homology disk smoothing implies the existence of a rational homology disk filling of the Milnor fillable contact structure $\xi_G$ on the link $Y_G$ showing the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$. Suppose now that $(Y_G, \xi_G)$ admits a rational homology disk filling. By Theorem 2.11 we get that $\Gamma$ is a 4–legged graph in $A\cup B\cup C$. Therefore the combination of Theorems 4.1, 4.5 and 4.9 implies both $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ and $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$, concluding the proof of the theorem.

\[\Box\]

5 Appendix: the families $\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{M}$

For completeness, we verify the existence of rational homology disk smoothings for the singularities with resolution graphs in $\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$. Notice that these results were already proved in [14] with slightly different means; here we sketch this alternative argument to unify the treatment of all cases.
The family $\mathcal{W}$

Graphs in the family $\mathcal{W}$ were defined in [14, Figure 3.] (cf. [15] for the first appearance of these plumbing trees), which for completeness we depict in Figure 27(a) (cf. also Figure 1(a)), together with the dual plumbing (b). Adding the $(-1)$–curves to the duals as it is shown in (c), repeated blow–downs result Figure 27(d) in the complex projective plane, i.e. four lines. Since the diagram depicts four generic lines in the complex projective plane, the existence of such a configuration is obvious. Blowing back up we get the dual configuration $\Gamma'$ in $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# (|\Gamma'|-1)\mathbb{C}P^2$, which according to [13, Theorem 6.7] (cf. also Theorem 2.9) provides the existence of the rational homology disk smoothing. The same statement has been verified in [15] and in [14, Example 8.4].

The family $\mathcal{N}$

Figure 28(a) shows the triply infinite family of graphs forming $\mathcal{N}$ for $p > 1$, with (b) depicting the degeneration when $p = 0$ (cf. also Figures 1(b) and (c)). The two cases can be treated uniformly when turning to the duals, shown by Figure 28(c) and (d). One blow-up, and two blow–downs result (e) (where the
parabola is tangent to the horizontal line), which (after successively blowing down the \((-1)\)–curves, starting with the dashed ones) results the configuration of a conic and three lines in \(\mathbb{CP}^2\). When \(p = 0\), the vertical \((-1)\)–curve is missing in (e), and correspondingly the final configuration admits two lines and the conic. It is elementary to give examples of a conic, a tangent line to it, and two further lines intersecting according to the diagram in (f). The reversal of the blow–down procedure, together with Pinkham’s Theorem 2.9 shows the existence of the rational homology disk smoothing. Once again, similar argument for the existence of rational homology disk smoothing has been presented in [14, Example 8.4].
The family $\mathcal{M}$

As usual, Figure 29(a) depicts the triply infinite family of graphs in $\mathcal{M}$, with the various degenerations for $p = 0$ in (b), $r = 0$ in (c) or both in (d) (cf. also Figures 1(d), (e), (f) and (g)). These discrepancies are absorbed by the dual graph shown by (e), and by three blow-downs we get the configuration shown in (g). (For $r = 0$ the vertical $(-1)$, together with the $(-2)$'s hanging on it are missing, for $p = 0$ the $(-2)$–curves attached to the horizontal $+1$ are missing, while for $p = r = 0$ both these groups of curves are not there.) The repeated blow-down of the $(-1)$–curves (starting with the dashed ones) results the configuration of (h) (again, for $p = 0$ or $r = 0$ a line is missing, and for $p = r = 0$ two lines are not there). A cubic curve with a transverse double point — for example the one given by $\{ y^2z - x^3 - x^2z = 0 \}$ — together with a tangent in one of its inflection points (e.g., $\{ z = 0 \}$ intersecting it in $[0 : 1 : 0]$) and the two further lines $\{ x = 0 \}$ and $\{ x + y = 0 \}$ provide such a configuration. Once again, this argument shows the existence of the rational homology disk filling, which was already discussed in [14, Example 8.3].
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Figure 29: The graphs, their dual, the \((-1)\)-curves and the configuration of curves after repeated blow-downs in the family \(\mathcal{M}\)