ProGAN StyleGAN2

(NVIDIA)

slides shamelessly and mindlessly stolen from

https://towardsdatascience.com/progan-how-nvidia-generatedimages-of-unprecedented-quality-51c98ec2cbd2,

https://towardsdatascience.com/explained-a-style-basedgenerator-architecture-for-gans-generating-and-tuningrealistic-6cb2be0f431,

https://towardsdatascience.com/stylegan2-ace6d3da405d,

and the original papers https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.10196 https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.04948 https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.04958

Why StyleGAN in particular?

- The talk presents a dozen or so engineering tricks employed by the creators of the very successful generative model StyleGAN (Karras et al, NVIDIA).
- In themselves, probably not too many of these tricks would deserve extra attention from us when looking at the broader picture of deep learning methods.
- But together they give a diverse cross-section of useful techniques that we can employ when working with image data, and especially when generating image data.









Not just faces





Negative cherry-picking (StyleGAN1)







GAN reminder



Feature map reminder



This image explains recognition, but feature maps for generators are fundamentally the same: (height x width x channels) sized 3D arrays.

ProGAN (progressive growing of GANs) 2017 November



The pdf is not animated, see the animation <u>here</u>.





Leaky ReLU activation function

Pixel Normalization

Instead of using batch normalization, as is commonly done, the authors used *pixel normalization*. This "pixelnorm" layer has no trainable weights. It normalizes the feature vector in each pixel to unit length, and is applied after the convolutional layers in the generator. This is done to prevent signal magnitudes from spiraling out of control during training.

$$b_{x,y}^{j} = \frac{a_{x,y}^{j}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{C}\sum_{j=0}^{C} a_{x,y}^{j\,2} + \epsilon}}$$

The values of each pixel (x, y) across C channels are normalized to a fixed length. Here, **a** is the input tensor, **b** is the output tensor, and **ε** is a small value to prevent dividing by zero.



Fade in





Minibatch standard deviation

- The details don't matter much, but the core idea is this:
- We'd like to avoid the generator creating beautiful but identical pictures. (See *mode collapse*.)
- So we score how diverse our generated minibatch is, based on a higher layer activation map of the discriminator.
- We add this score as another loss term.

Gradient regularization

 $Loss_G = -D(x')$ $GP = (\|\nabla D(ax' + (1 - a)x))\|_2 - 1)^2$ $Loss_D = -D(x) + D(x') + \lambda * GP$

- Don't mind the particular formula (WGAN-GP, Gulrajani et al), here is the intuition:
- Usually our optimizer calculates gradients of the loss with respect to the neural weights, so we don't have to deal with gradients explicitly.
- This time we calculate gradients of the loss with respect to the *input*.
- We use this to quantify how smooth the input-output mapping of our network is.
- The optimizer then calculates the gradients of this smoothness metric with respect to neural weights, as usual.

StyleGAN 2018 December





Intermediate latent space W



(a) Distribution of features in training set

(b) Mapping from \mathcal{Z} to features



(c) Mapping from \mathcal{W} to features



Adaptive Instance Normalization

Batch normalization reminder

Ensure the output statistics of a layer are fixed.



Style transfer











Truncation trick in W

(it's shrinking, really)



 $\psi = 1$ $\psi = 0.7$ $\psi = 0.5$ $\psi = 0$ $\psi = -0.5$ $\psi = -1$

StyleGAN2 2019 December

(very briefly)

Getting rid of progressive growing: Multi-Scale Gradients

