

# Primes, Polignac, Polymath

Gergely Harcos

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics

<http://www.renyi.hu/~gharcos/>

30 July 2019

Northeastern–BSM Colloquium

# The ever sparser sequence of primes

10 digits	100 digits	1000 digits
1000000007	100000...000289	100000...000007
1000000009	100000...000303	100000...000663
1000000021	100000...000711	100000...002121
1000000033	100000...001287	100000...002593
1000000087	100000...002191	100000...003561
⋮	⋮	⋮
9999999851	999999...997783	999999...981127
9999999881	999999...997873	999999...988763
9999999929	999999...998713	999999...990139
9999999943	999999...999089	999999...993433
9999999967	999999...999203	999999...998231
$\Delta \approx 22.3$	$\Delta \approx 229.5$	$\Delta \approx 2301.8$

# The even sparser sequence of twin primes

10 digits	100 digits	1000 digits
1000000007	1000...00006001	1000...01975081
1000000009	1000...00006003	1000...01975083
1000000409	1000...00028441	1000...03142729
1000000411	1000...00028443	1000...03142731
⋮	⋮	⋮
9999999017	9999...99914921	9999...95309921
9999999019	9999...99914923	9999...95309923
9999999701	9999...99964781	9999...98131919
9999999703	9999...99964783	9999...98131921

## Twin prime conjecture

*The equation  $p - p' = 2$  has infinitely many solutions in primes.*

# Polignac numbers

## Definition

A positive integer  $d$  is called a Polignac number if the equation  $p - p' = d$  has infinitely many solutions in primes.

## Conjecture (Polignac 1849)

*Every positive even integer is a Polignac number.*

## Theorem (Zhang 2013)

*One of  $2, 4, 6, \dots, 70000000$  is a Polignac number.*

## Theorem (Polymath 2014, Pintz 2013, Granville et al. 2014)

- *One of  $2, 4, 6, \dots, 246$  is a Polignac number.*
- *The lower density of Polignac numbers exceeds  $1/354$ .*
- *The gaps between Polignac numbers is bounded.*

# Fishing for primes (1 of 2)

## Idea

Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \dots, h_k\}$  be a  $k$ -set of integers. Try to find infinitely many positive integers  $n$  such that the translated set  $n + \mathcal{H} = \{n + h_1, \dots, n + h_k\}$  contains as many primes as possible.

## Definition

A  $k$ -set of integers is called admissible if it does not contain a complete system of residues modulo any integer bigger than one.

## Idea

Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \dots, h_k\}$  be an admissible  $k$ -set. For any  $x > x_0$ , exhibit a probability measure on the integers  $x \leq n \leq 2x$  such that the expected number of primes in  $n + \mathcal{H}$  exceeds one. In other words, find nonnegative weights  $\nu(n)$  such that

$$\sum_{x \leq n \leq 2x} \nu(n) \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{n+h_i \text{ is prime}} > \sum_{x \leq n \leq 2x} \nu(n).$$

## Fishing for primes (2 of 2)

Conjecture (Dickson 1904, Hardy–Littlewood 1923)

*Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an admissible  $k$ -set. Then for infinitely many positive integers  $n$ , the translated set  $n + \mathcal{H}$  consists of  $k$  primes.*

Theorem (Zhang 2013)

*There exists a positive integer  $k$  with the following property. If  $\mathcal{H}$  is an admissible  $k$ -set, then for infinitely many positive integers  $n$ , the translated set  $n + \mathcal{H}$  contains at least two primes.*

source	value of $k$	bound for prime gap
Zhang	3500000	70000000
Polymath8a	632	4680
Maynard	105	600
Polymath8b	50	246

# The art of fishing (1 of 4)

## The sifting weights of Goldston–Pintz–Yıldırım & Soundararajan

$$\nu(n) := \left( \sum_{d|(n+h_1)\dots(n+h_k)} \mu(d) g\left(\frac{\log d}{\log x^{\theta/2}}\right) \right)^2,$$

where  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is sufficiently smooth and supported on  $[0, 1]$ . We restrict these weights to  $x \leq n \leq 2x$  such that the prime factors of each  $n + h_i$  exceed  $\log \log \log x$ .

## Theorem (Goldston–Pintz–Yıldırım 2005, Soundararajan 2006)

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an admissible  $k$ -set, and assume Hypothesis  $EH(\theta)$ . Then, for the probability measure determined by the above sifting weights, the expected number of primes in  $n + \mathcal{H}$  equals

$$\frac{\theta}{2} \cdot \frac{k \int_0^1 g^{(k-1)}(t)^2 \frac{t^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} dt}{\int_0^1 g^{(k)}(t)^2 \frac{t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} dt} + o(1).$$

# Intermezzo: the Elliott–Halberstam conjecture

## Hypothesis $EH(\theta)$

For any  $A > 0$  there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that, for any  $x \geq 2$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{q \leq x^\theta \\ q \text{ squarefree}}} \max_{(a,q)=1} \left| \sum_{\substack{x \leq p \leq 2x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{q}}} 1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \int_x^{2x} \frac{dt}{\log t} \right| < C \frac{x}{\log^A x}.$$

## Remarks

- True for  $\theta < 1/2$  by Bombieri (1965) & Vinogradov (1966).
- Conjectured for  $\theta < 1$  by Elliott–Halberstam (1970).



## The art of fishing (2 of 4)

- Zhang established a weaker version of  $EH(\theta)$  for any  $\theta < 1/2 + 1/584$ , by deep exponential sum methods. This allowed him to take  $k = 3500000$ , with a lot to spare.
- In the weaker version of  $EH(\theta)$ , both  $q$  and the residue class  $a$  modulo  $q$  are strongly restricted. For example,  $q$  is allowed to have small prime factors only. This idea goes back to Motohashi–Pintz (2008).
- The Polymath8a research group, led by Tao, relaxed the restriction on  $q$  and decreased its negative effect on  $k$ . Moreover, the exponential sum estimates of Zhang have been improved significantly. In the end, we could take any  $\theta < 1/2 + 7/300$ , leading to the value  $k = 632$ .

# The art of fishing (3 of 4)

## The sifting weights of Maynard & Tao

$$\nu(n) := \left( \sum_{\forall i: d_i | n+h_i} \mu(d_1) \dots \mu(d_k) f \left( \frac{\log d_1}{\log x^{\theta/2}}, \dots, \frac{\log d_k}{\log x^{\theta/2}} \right) \right)^2,$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a symmetric and sufficiently smooth function supported on the simplex  $\{(t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k : t_1 + \dots + t_k \leq 1\}$ .

## Theorem (Maynard 2013, Tao 2013)

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an admissible  $k$ -set, and assume Hypothesis  $EH(\theta)$ . Then, for the probability measure determined by the above sifting weights, the expected number of primes in  $n + \mathcal{H}$  equals

$$\frac{\theta}{2} \cdot \frac{k \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times \{0\}} \left( \frac{\partial^{k-1} f}{\partial t_1 \dots \partial t_{k-1}} \right)^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left( \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial t_1 \dots \partial t_k} \right)^2} + o(1).$$

## The art of fishing (4 of 4)

- For  $f(t_1, \dots, t_k) := g(t_1 + \dots + t_k)$  the sifting weights of Maynard & Tao reduce to the sifting weights of Goldston–Pintz–Yıldırım & Soundararajan.
- The optimal  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  catches about  $(\log k)/4$  primes.
- Further improvements are possible by enlarging the support of  $f$  or by incorporating the ideas of Zhang/Polymath8a.
- Symmetric polynomials  $f$  found by Maynard/Polymath8b with the help of computers show that Zhang's theorem holds for rather small  $k$ , the current record being  $k = 50$ .
- Under a suitably generalized Elliott–Halberstam conjecture Polymath8b could take  $k = 3$ , improving on the earlier values of  $k = 5$  by Maynard and  $k = 6$  by Goldston–Pintz–Yıldırım.