## Functional Analysis, BSM, Spring 2012

## Exercise sheet: bounded linear functionals and dual spaces Solutions

1. With $p=3$ and $q=3 / 2$ we have $1 / p+1 / q=1$. Let

$$
y=\left(1, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4^{2}}, \frac{1}{4^{3}}, \ldots\right)
$$

We need to determine the operator norm of $\Lambda_{y} \in \ell_{3}^{*}$ :

$$
\left\|\Lambda_{y}\right\|=\|y\|_{\frac{3}{2}}=\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{4^{n}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{8^{n}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{8}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}=\frac{4}{\sqrt[3]{7^{2}}}
$$

2. Suppose that $z$ has the desired decomposition $z=x+\alpha y$. Using $x \in \operatorname{ker} \Lambda$ we get that

$$
\Lambda z=\Lambda x+\Lambda(\alpha y)=0+\alpha \Lambda y=\alpha \Lambda y
$$

Note that $\Lambda y \neq 0$, because $y \notin \operatorname{ker} \Lambda$. Thus $\alpha$ must be $\Lambda z / \Lambda y$, and $x$ must be $z-\alpha y$.
Now pick an arbitrary $z \in X$. Let $\alpha=\Lambda z / \Lambda y$ and $x=z-\alpha y$. All we have to prove is that $x \in$ ker $\Lambda$, which is clear, since

$$
\Lambda x=\Lambda z-\alpha \Lambda y=\Lambda z-\frac{\Lambda z}{\Lambda y} \Lambda y=0
$$

3. We actually proved this when we proved $\ell_{p}^{*}=\ell_{q}$. Any $\Lambda \in \ell_{p}^{*}$ is equal to $\Lambda_{y}$ for some $y=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots\right) \in \ell_{q}$. We also know that

$$
\left\|\Lambda_{y}\right\|=\|y\|_{q}=\sqrt[q]{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|\beta_{i}\right|^{q}}
$$

In the proof we used the following vector $x \in \ell_{p}$ :

$$
x=\left(\left|\beta_{1}\right|^{q-2} \bar{\beta}_{1},\left|\beta_{2}\right|^{q-2} \overline{\beta_{2}}, \ldots\right) .
$$

For this particular $x$ we obtained that

$$
\|x\|_{p}=\left(\|y\|_{q}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}
$$

and

$$
\left|\Lambda_{y} x\right|=\left(\|y\|_{q}\right)^{q}
$$

. It follows that

$$
\frac{\left|\Lambda_{y} x\right|}{\|x\|_{p}}=\left(\|y\|_{q}\right)^{q-\frac{q}{p}}=\|y\|_{q}=\left\|\Lambda_{y}\right\| .
$$

4. Let

$$
y=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{5}, \ldots\right)
$$

and consider the functional $\Lambda_{y} \in \ell_{1}^{*}$. For $x=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots\right) \in \ell_{1}$ :

$$
\left|\Lambda_{y} x\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|\frac{i}{i+1} \alpha_{i}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|=\|x\|_{1}
$$

And equality holds only if each $\alpha_{i}$ is 0 , that is, $x=0$. Thus for any nonzero $x \in \ell_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\Lambda_{y} x\right|}{\|x\|_{1}}<1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So it remains to prove that the operator norm of $\Lambda_{y}$ is 1 . It follows from (1) that $\left\|\Lambda_{y}\right\| \leq 1$. And for $e_{n}=(0,0, \ldots, 0,1,0,0, \ldots)$ we have

$$
\frac{\left|\Lambda_{y} e_{n}\right|}{\left\|e_{n}\right\|_{1}}=\frac{n}{n+1}
$$

which tends to 1 as $n$ tends to infinity.
5. First let $y=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots\right) \in \ell_{\infty}$ be fixed and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{y}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots\right)=\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\ldots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots\right) \in \ell_{1}$, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|\alpha_{i} \beta_{i}\right| \leq\|y\|_{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|\alpha_{i}\right| \leq\|y\|_{\infty}\|x\|_{1}<\infty
$$

Thus the sum on the right-hand side of (2) is absolutely convergent, so it is convergent. It means that $\Lambda_{y}$ defines a functional on $\ell_{1}$. This functional is clearly linear; it is also bounded, because

$$
\left|\Lambda_{y} x\right|=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|\alpha_{i} \beta_{i}\right| \leq\|y\|_{\infty}\|x\|_{1}
$$

This also implies that $\left\|\Lambda_{y}\right\| \leq\|y\|_{\infty}$. To prove that $\left\|\Lambda_{y}\right\|=\|y\|_{\infty}$, notice that for $e_{n}=(0,0, \ldots, 0,1,0,0, \ldots)$ we have

$$
\frac{\left|\Lambda_{y} e_{n}\right|}{\left\|e_{n}\right\|_{1}}=\left|\beta_{n}\right|
$$

the supremum of which is, by definition, $\|y\|_{\infty}$.
It remains to show that any $\Lambda \in \ell_{1}^{*}$ is equal to $\Lambda_{y}$ for some $y \in \ell_{\infty}$. Let

$$
\beta_{n} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \Lambda e_{n}
$$

and consider the vector $y=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots\right)$. We claim that $y \in \ell_{\infty}$ and $\Lambda=\Lambda_{y}$. Since $\left|\beta_{n}\right|=\left|\Lambda e_{n}\right| \leq\|\Lambda\|\left\|e_{n}\right\|_{1}=$ $\|\Lambda\|,\left(\beta_{n}\right)$ is a bounded sequence (with bound $\left.\|\Lambda\|\right)$. Now let $x$ be an arbitrary vector ( $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots$ ) in $\ell_{1}$. We need to show that $\Lambda x=\Lambda_{y} x$. Let $x_{n}$ be $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}, 0,0, \ldots\right)$. It is easy to see that

$$
\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

In other words, $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $\ell_{1}$. Since both $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda_{y}$ are bounded linear functionals, it follows that $\Lambda x_{n} \rightarrow \Lambda x$ and $\Lambda_{y} x_{n} \rightarrow \Lambda_{y} x$. However, $\Lambda x_{n}=\Lambda_{y} x_{n}$ for each $n$ :

$$
\Lambda x_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda\left(\alpha_{i} e_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \Lambda e_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i}=\Lambda_{y} x_{n} .
$$

Thus $\Lambda x$ must be equal to $\Lambda_{y} x$, too. We are done.
6. Let $S$ be the set consisting of the points

$$
\left(a_{1}+b_{1} i, a_{2}+b_{2} i, \ldots, a_{k}+b_{k} i, 0,0,0, \ldots\right)
$$

where $k$ is a positive integer, and $a_{j}, b_{j} ; 1 \leq j \leq k$ are rational numbers. This set is clearly countable. We claim that it is dense in $c_{0}$. We need to show that for any $x=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots\right) \in c_{0}$ and any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a point $x^{\prime}$ of the above form with $\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon$. Since $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $N$ such that $\left|\alpha_{n}\right|<\varepsilon$ for $n \geq N$. Let $k=N$ and for any $j \leq N$ let us choose rational numbers $a_{j}, b_{j}$ such that $\left|\alpha_{j}-\left(a_{j}+b_{j} i\right)\right|<\varepsilon$. It is clear that

$$
x^{\prime}=\left(a_{1}+b_{1} i, a_{2}+b_{2} i, \ldots, a_{N}+b_{N} i, 0,0,0, \ldots\right)
$$

has the desired property.
7. Let $y=(1 / 2,1 / 4,1 / 8, \ldots)$ and consider the linear functional $\Lambda_{y}$. For $x=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots\right)$ :

$$
\left|\Lambda_{y} x\right|=\left|\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}+\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{2}+\ldots\right| \leq(1 / 2+1 / 4+\ldots)\|x\|_{\infty}=\|x\|_{\infty}
$$

Equality holds if and only if $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\ldots$. If $x \in c_{0} \backslash\{0\}$, then this cannot be the case. Thus for any nonzero $x \in c_{0}$ we have

$$
\frac{\left|\Lambda_{y} x\right|}{\|x\|_{\infty}}<1
$$

So it remains to show that $\left\|\Lambda_{y}\right\|=1$. We have already seen that $\left\|\Lambda_{y}\right\| \leq 1$. For $s_{n}=(1,1, \ldots, 1,0,0, \ldots) \in c_{0}$ :

$$
\frac{\left|\Lambda_{y} s_{n}\right|}{\left\|s_{n}\right\|_{\infty}}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\ldots+\frac{1}{2^{n}}=1-\frac{1}{2^{n}}
$$

which tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
8. First let $y=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots\right) \in \ell_{1}$ be fixed and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{y}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots\right)=\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\ldots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots\right) \in c_{0}$, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|\alpha_{i} \beta_{i}\right| \leq\|x\|_{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|\beta_{i}\right| \leq\|x\|_{\infty}\|y\|_{1}<\infty
$$

Thus the sum on the right-hand side of (3) is absolutely convergent, so it is convergent. It means that $\Lambda_{y}$ defines a functional on $c_{0}$. This functional is clearly linear; it is also bounded, because

$$
\left|\Lambda_{y} x\right|=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|\alpha_{i} \beta_{i}\right| \leq\|x\|_{\infty}\|y\|_{1}
$$

This also implies that $\left\|\Lambda_{y}\right\| \leq\|y\|_{1}$. To prove that $\left\|\Lambda_{y}\right\|=\|y\|_{1}$, consider the following vector for each $n$ :

$$
s_{n}=\left(\frac{\overline{\beta_{1}}}{\left|\beta_{1}\right|}, \frac{\overline{\beta_{2}}}{\left|\beta_{2}\right|}, \ldots, \frac{\overline{\beta_{n}}}{\left|\beta_{n}\right|}, 0,0, \ldots\right) .
$$

Notice that $\bar{\beta}_{i} /\left|\beta_{i}\right|$ are all complex numbers with unit length (if $\beta_{i}=0$, then pick any complex number of unit length). So $\left\|s_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=1$.

$$
\frac{\left|\Lambda_{y} s_{n}\right|}{\left\|s_{n}\right\|_{\infty}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\beta_{i} \bar{\beta}_{i}}{\left|\beta_{i}\right|}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|\beta_{i}\right|^{2}}{\left|\beta_{i}\right|}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\beta_{i}\right|
$$

which tends to $\|y\|_{1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
It remains to show that any $\Lambda \in c_{0}^{*}$ is equal to $\Lambda_{y}$ for some $y \in \ell_{1}$. Let

$$
\beta_{n} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \Lambda e_{n}
$$

and consider the vector $y=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots\right)$. We claim that $y \in \ell_{1}$. Let $s_{n}$ be defined as above. Then

$$
\Lambda s_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\beta_{i}\right| .
$$

Since $\left\|s_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=1$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\beta_{i}\right| \leq\|\Lambda\|$ for each $n$. It follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|\beta_{i}\right| \leq\|\Lambda\|$. Thus $y \in \ell_{1}$ as we wanted. It means that $\Lambda_{y}$ is a bounded linear functional on $c_{0}$; we claim that $\Lambda=\Lambda_{y}$. Let $x$ be an arbitrary vector $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots\right)$ in $\ell_{1}$, and let $x_{n}$ be $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}, 0,0, \ldots\right)$. Since $x \in c_{0}, \alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$, thus

$$
\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

In other words, $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $c_{0}$. Since both $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda_{y}$ are bounded linear functionals on $c_{0}$, it follows that $\Lambda x_{n} \rightarrow \Lambda x$ and $\Lambda_{y} x_{n} \rightarrow \Lambda_{y} x$. However, $\Lambda x_{n}=\Lambda_{y} x_{n}$ for each $n$ :

$$
\Lambda x_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda\left(\alpha_{i} e_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \Lambda e_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i}=\Lambda_{y} x_{n} .
$$

Thus $\Lambda x$ must be equal to $\Lambda_{y} x$, too. We are done.
9. If ker $\Lambda_{1}$ is the whole space $X$, then so is ker $\Lambda_{2}$, thus $\Lambda_{1}=\Lambda_{2}=0$, we are done.

If ker $\Lambda_{1} \neq X$, then pick an arbitrary $y \in X \backslash$ ker $\Lambda_{1}$. Let $\lambda_{1}=\Lambda_{1} y$ and $\lambda_{2}=\Lambda_{2} y$. We claim that $\Lambda_{2}=\lambda \Lambda_{1}$ with $\lambda=\lambda_{2} / \lambda_{1}$. Exercise 2 says that any $z \in X$ can be written as $x+\alpha y$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \operatorname{ker} \Lambda_{1}$. Notice that then $x$ is also in $\operatorname{ker} \Lambda_{2}$, so $\Lambda_{1} x=\Lambda_{2} x=0$. It follows that

$$
\Lambda_{2} z=\Lambda_{2} x+\alpha \Lambda_{2}(y)=0+\alpha \lambda_{2}=\lambda\left(0+\alpha \lambda_{1}\right)=\lambda\left(\Lambda_{1} x+\alpha \Lambda_{1}(y)\right)=\lambda \Lambda_{1} z
$$

10. Pick a finite number of points in the interval: $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in[0,1]$; and let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$ be arbitrary real numbers. Then

$$
\Lambda f=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

defines a bounded linear operator on $C[0,1]$ with $\|\Lambda\|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|$.
Another bounded linear functional:

$$
\Lambda f=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

the operator norm of which is 1 .
A generalization of the previous example: fix a continuous function $k:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let

$$
\Lambda f=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) k(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

The norm of this functional is $\int_{0}^{1}|k(x)| \mathrm{d} x$.
A common generalization of all the above examples is the following. Let $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of bounded variation; consider the Riemann-Stieltjes integral with respect to $g$ :

$$
\Lambda f=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \mathrm{d} g(x)
$$

The norm of $\Lambda$ is the total variation $V(g)$ of $g$. (Actually, it can be shown that all bounded linear functionals on $C[0,1]$ are of this form.)
11.* Let us replace each coordinate of $x$ and $y$ with its absolute value. It clearly suffices to prove the statement for these vectors. Thus we can assume that in each coordinate we have nonnegative real numbers.

We have seen earlier that if $x, y$ are in $\ell_{p}$, then so is $x+y$. Let $q$ be such that $1 / p+1 / q=1$. The key observation is the following: since $(p-1) q=p$, the vector $(x+y)^{p-1}$ is in $\ell_{q}$, its $\ell_{q}$-norm is $\|x+y\|_{p}^{p-1}$. We apply the Hölder inequality to $x \in \ell_{p}$ and $(x+y)^{p-1} \in \ell_{q}$ as well as to $y \in \ell_{p}$ and $(x+y)^{p-1} \in \ell_{q}$ :

$$
\left\|x(x+y)^{p-1}\right\|_{1} \leq\|x\|_{p}\|x+y\|_{p}^{p-1}
$$

and

$$
\left\|y(x+y)^{p-1}\right\|_{1} \leq\|y\|_{p}\|x+y\|_{p}^{p-1}
$$

It follows that

$$
\|x+y\|_{p}^{p}=\left\|(x+y)^{p}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|x(x+y)^{p-1}\right\|_{1}+\left\|y(x+y)^{p-1}\right\|_{1} \leq\left(\|x\|_{p}+\|y\|_{p}\right)\|x+y\|_{p}^{p-1}
$$

which implies that $\|x+y\|_{p} \leq\|x\|_{p}+\|y\|_{p}$.

