

**NICE CONNECTING PATHS IN CONNECTED
COMPONENTS OF SETS OF ALGEBRAIC
ELEMENTS IN A BANACH ALGEBRA**

ENDRE MAKAI, JR., BUDAPEST AND JAROSLAV ZEMÁNEK, WARSZAWA

*Dedicated to the 90th anniversary of Professor Miroslav Fiedler,
from two grateful participants in mathematical olympiads*

MTA ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,
H-1364 BUDAPEST, PF. 127, HUNGARY
<http://www.renyi.mta.hu/~makai>

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,
00-656 WARSAW, ŚNIADECKICH 8, POLAND
makai.endre@renyi.mta.hu, zemanek@impan.pl

ABSTRACT. Generalizing earlier results about the set of idempotents in a Banach algebra, or of self-adjoint idempotents in a C^* -algebra, we announce constructions of nice connecting paths in the connected components of the set of elements in a Banach algebra, or of self-adjoint elements in a C^* -algebra, that satisfy a given polynomial equation, without multiple roots. In particular, we will prove that in the Banach algebra case every such non-central element lies on a complex line, all of whose points satisfy the given equation. We also formulate open questions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a unital complex Banach algebra. Sometimes we will assume that moreover A is a C^* -algebra.

We let

$$E(A) := \{a \in A \mid a^2 = a\}$$

be the set of *idempotents* of A , and

$$S(A) := \{a \in A \mid a^2 = a = a^*\}$$

be the set of *self-adjoint idempotents* for the C^* -algebra case.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 46H20, Secondary: 46L05.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebras, C^* -algebras, (self-adjoint) idempotents, connected components of (self-adjoint) algebraic elements, (local) pathwise connectedness, similarities, analytic paths, polynomial paths, polygonal paths, centre of a Banach algebra, distance of connected components.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

The *connected components of $E(A)$ and of $S(A)$* have been investigated by many authors. To some of them we will refer later at the respective theorems. An ample literature is given in [AMMZ].

Let

$$p(\lambda) := \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i)$$

be a polynomial over \mathbb{C} , with all λ_i 's distinct. In the C^* -algebra case, when considering self-adjoint elements, we will assume that all λ_i 's are real. (In fact, if $q(\lambda) := \prod\{(\lambda - \lambda_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$, then $p(a) = 0$ and $a = a^*$ imply $q(a) = 0$. Thus below we could use $q(\lambda)$ rather than $p(\lambda)$.) The λ_i 's are fixed throughout this paper.

We write

$$E_p(A) := \{a \in A \mid p(a) = 0\},$$

and

$$S_p(A) := \{a \in A \mid p(a) = 0, a = a^*\}$$

for the C^* -algebra case. Then $E(A)$ and $S(A)$ are special cases of $E_p(A)$ and $S_p(A)$: namely, for $p(\lambda) := \lambda(\lambda - 1)$.

We say that $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \subset A$ is a *partition of unity*, or in the C^* -algebra case that $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \subset A$ is a *self-adjoint partition of unity*, if

$$\begin{cases} \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \subset E(A), \text{ or } \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \subset S(A), \\ \text{and } e_i e_j = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n \text{ and } i \neq j, \\ \text{and } \sum_{i=1}^n e_i = 1. \end{cases}$$

The detailed proofs of the statements announced in Section 2 will be published in [MZ]. The idea of this development originates from personal conversations of the authors at the conference Operator Theory and Applications: Perspectives and Challenges, held in Jurata (Hel), Poland, March 18–28, 2010, and from the 2011 lecture by the first named author [Mak].

2. THEOREMS

The “only if” part of the following Proposition 1 comes from the Riesz decomposition theorem.

Proposition 1. *Let A be a unital complex Banach algebra (C^* -algebra). Let $a \in A$. Then $a \in E_p(A)$ ($a \in S_p(A)$) if and only if there exists a (self-adjoint) partition of unity $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ such that*

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i.$$

In the “only if” part, for $a \in E_p(A)$ (for $a \in S_p(A)$) one can choose the e_i 's as polynomials of a , with complex (real) coefficients, which depend only on the λ_i 's.

This representation provides the tool for reducing questions about $E_p(A)$ (about $S_p(A)$) to those about $E(A)$ (about $S(A)$). Of course, for the respective proofs for $E_p(A)$ (for $S_p(A)$) one has to work still substantially. As an illustration, we include a sketch of proof of Theorem 7 in Section 3.

The distinctness of the λ_i 's is essential in order that a should have such a simple form. For $T \in A := B(l^2 \oplus l^2)$, having a block matrix form $(T_{ij})_{i,j=1}^2$, which is subdiagonal (i.e., strictly lower triangular), we have $T^2 = 0$, but $T_{21} \in B(l^2)$ can be as complicated as an element of $B(l^2)$ can be.

A path in a topological space X is a continuous map $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$. We will say that $f(0), f(1) \in X$ are connected by this path f . By a small abuse of language we will also say that $f([0, 1]) \subset X$ is a path in X (e.g., for polygonal paths). A topological space X is pathwise connected if any two of its points are connected by a path in X . A topological space X is locally pathwise connected if each point $x \in X$ has a base of (not necessarily open) neighbourhoods consisting of pathwise connected sets.

Theorem 2. *Let A be a unital complex Banach algebra and C a connected component of $E_p(A)$. Then C is a relatively open subset of $E_p(A)$. Further, C is locally pathwise connected via each of the following types of paths:*

- 1) similarity via an exponential function, i.e., $t \mapsto e^{-ct} a e^{ct}$;
- 2) a polynomial path of degree at most three;
- 3) a polygonal path of n segments.

For $E(A)$, relative openness of C was proved by J. Zemánek [Ze], 1) was proved by J. Zemánek [Ze], 2) was proved by J. Esterle [Es] and M. Trémon [Tr85], 3) was proved by Z. V. Kovarik [Ko] (cf. also [Ze]).

Theorem 3. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, C is pathwise connected via each of the following types of paths:*

- 1) similarity via a finite product of exponential functions, i.e., $t \mapsto e^{-c_m t} \dots e^{-c_1 t} a e^{c_1 t} \dots e^{c_m t}$;
- 2) a polynomial path;
- 3) a polygonal path.

In fact, there is a path satisfying 1) and 2) simultaneously.

For $E(A)$, 1) was proved by J. Zemánek [Ze], 2) was proved by J. Esterle [Es] and M. Trémon [Tr85], 3) was proved by Z. V. Kovarik [Ko] (cf. also [Ze]), and the last sentence was proved by [Es] and [Tr85].

Problem. Does there exist a uniform bound on the “minimum degree” of these polynomial connections, possibly depending on n , valid for all Banach algebras? Does such a bound exist, depending on n and on A (or even on C)? Even the case of a uniform bound for polynomial connections of idempotents is open, even if we allow dependence of the bound on A (or even on C). For some particular cases, see [Tr85] and [MZ89]. ([Tr95] announced a further partial result, but his proof seems to be incorrect.)

Even the “simplest” case $A := B(l^2)$ is open. (The case $A := B(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is solved positively by [Tr85], the uniform bound being 3, which is sharp. Here the connected components of $E(A)$ consist of the projections of the same rank.) For $A = B(l^2)$, the connected components of $E(A)$ are $\{e \in A \mid \dim N(e) = \alpha, \dim R(e) = \beta\}$, where $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq \aleph_0$ are cardinalities with $\alpha + \beta = \aleph_0$, cf. [AMMZ] ($N(\cdot)$ is the null-space and $R(\cdot)$ is the range). By [MZ89], for $\min\{\alpha, \beta\} < \aleph_0$, in the respective connected component there exists an at most third degree polynomial path between any two elements of that component. But even the case $\alpha = \beta = \aleph_0$ here is open.

Theorem 4. *Let A be a unital complex C^* -algebra, and C a connected component of $S_p(A)$. Then C is a relatively open subset of $S_p(A)$. Further, C is locally pathwise connected by similarities via exponential functions, i.e., $t \mapsto e^{-ict}ae^{ict}$, where additionally $c = c^*$.*

For $S(A)$, Theorem 4 was proved by S. Maeda [Mae] (cf. also [Ze]).

Theorem 5. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4, C is pathwise connected by similarities via finite products of exponential functions, i.e., $t \mapsto e^{-ic_mt} \dots e^{-ic_1t}ae^{ic_1t} \dots e^{ic_mt}$, where additionally $c_1 = c_1^*, \dots, c_m = c_m^*$.*

For $S(A)$, Theorem 5 was proved by S. Maeda [Mae] (cf. also [Ze]).

For the C^* -algebra case, the analogues of 2) and 3) from Theorems 2 and 3 are false for $S_p(A)$. In fact, already the connected component of $S(B(\mathbb{C}^2))$ consisting of all rank-one orthogonal projections does not contain any non-constant polynomial path. (The connected components of $S(B(\mathbb{C}^n))$ consist of the orthogonal projections of the same rank.)

Theorem 6. *Let A be a unital complex Banach algebra (C^* -algebra). Let $a \in E_p(A)$ (let $a \in S_p(A)$). Then a belongs to the centre of A if and only if its connected component in $E_p(A)$ (in $S_p(A)$) is $\{a\}$.*

Theorem 6 for $E(A)$ was proved by J. Zemánek [Ze], for $S(A)$ by S. Maeda [Mae]. In Theorem 6, of course, the “only if” part for $S_p(A)$ follows from the “only if” part for $E_p(A)$.

Theorem 7. *Let A be a unital complex Banach algebra, and C a connected component of $E_p(A)$. If C is disjoint from the centre of A , then any element of C belongs to a complex line entirely contained in C . In particular, C is unbounded.*

For $E(A)$, Theorem 7 was proved by J. Zemánek [Ze].

In the C^* -algebra case even the entire $S_p(A)$ has a distance $\max\{|\lambda_i| \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ from 0, so the analogue of Theorem 7 for $S_p(A)$ is false for each C^* -algebra A .

Theorem 6 and Theorem 7 yield the next Corollary 8.

Corollary 8. *Let A be a unital complex Banach algebra. Then $E_p(A)$ is a union of its isolated points and of complex lines. ■*

Theorem 9. *There exists an explicit constant $c(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) > 0$ (depending on $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$, and invariant under any map $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mapsto (a + b\lambda_1, \dots, a + b\lambda_n)$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \neq 0$) such that the following holds. If A is a unital complex C^* -algebra, and C_1, C_2 are distinct connected components of $S_p(A)$, then the distance of C_1 and C_2 is at least $c(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \cdot \min\{|\lambda_i - \lambda_j| \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j\}$.*

Conjecture. Let A be a unital complex Banach algebra (C^* -algebra) and C_1, C_2 distinct connected components of $E_p(A)$ (of $S_p(A)$). Then the distance of C_1 and C_2 is at least $\min\{|\lambda_i - \lambda_j| \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j\}$.

For $n = 2$ this conjecture is equivalent to the statement that this distance for $E_p(A) := E(A)$ (for $S_p(A) := S(A)$) is at least 1, which is due to J. Zemánek [Ze] (due to S. Maeda [Mae]). For $n \geq 3$ we do not even know whether this distance for the Banach algebra case is positive.

If true, this conjecture would be sharp, for any Banach algebra: consider $\lambda_i \cdot 1$ and $\lambda_j \cdot 1$.

The Conjecture for the case of $S_p(A)$ would follow from the Conjecture in the case of $E_p(A)$. In fact, different connected components of $S_p(A)$ are subsets of different connected components of $E_p(A)$, by [BFML], Section 1, Applications, 2), also taking into consideration our Proposition 1 and Theorem 3.

3. A PROOF

Proof of Theorem 7 from Theorem 3 and Theorem 6. If C is disjoint from the centre, then by Theorem 6 it has more than one elements. Let $a_0 \in C$ be an arbitrary element of C , and let $a_1 \in C$, with $a_1 \neq a_0$. Then, by Theorem 3, 3), there exists a non-constant polygonal path connecting a_0 to a_1 in C . Its first non-constant segment (counted from a_0) is the graph of a non-constant polynomial of degree 1, say of

$$\lambda \mapsto a_0 + b\lambda, \text{ from } [0, 1] \text{ to } C (\subset E_p(A) \subset A).$$

Hence

$$(1) \quad b \neq 0 \text{ and we have for all } \lambda \in [0, 1] \text{ identically } p(a_0 + b\lambda) = 0.$$

Then the equation in (1) is a polynomial equation, with coefficients from A and of degree at most n , for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. (Attention: here the coefficient of λ^n is b^n , which may be 0 even for $b \neq 0$.)

We make an indirect assumption. If the polynomial

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{C} \ni \lambda \mapsto p(a_0 + b\lambda) \in A$$

were not identically 0 for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then for some $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ we would have

$$p(a_0 + b\lambda_0) \neq 0.$$

Then for some continuous linear functional a' on A we would have

$$\langle p(a_0 + b\lambda_0), a' \rangle \neq 0.$$

The polynomial

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{C} \ni \lambda \mapsto \langle p(a_0 + b\lambda), a' \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

is a \mathbb{C} -valued polynomial on \mathbb{C} of degree at most n , which would not vanish at $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence *the polynomial in (3) would have at most n distinct roots.*

However, by (1) we have that *the polynomial in (3) vanishes for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ identically.* This is a contradiction, showing that our indirect assumption is false.

That is, the polynomial in (2) is identically 0 for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. In other words, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$p(a_0 + b\lambda) = 0, \text{ i.e., } a_0 + b\lambda \in E_p(A),$$

which implies by connectedness of \mathbb{C} that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we have even

$$a_0 + b\lambda \in C.$$

Since by (1) $b \neq 0$, we see that

C contains a complex line passing through its arbitrary point a_0 .

■

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to the organizers of several conferences, where this material took its shape. In particular, to the organizers of the conference Operator Theory and Applications: Perspectives and Challenges, in Jurata (Hel), Poland, March 18–28, 2010, of the 6th Linear Algebra Workshop, in Kranjska Gora, Slovenia, May 25–June 1, 2011, and of the Sz.-Nagy Centennial Conference, in Szeged, Hungary, June 24–28, 2013.

REFERENCES

- [AMMZ] B. Aupetit, E. Makai, Jr., M. Mbekhta, J. Zemánek, *The connected components of the idempotents in the Calkin algebra, and their liftings*, In: Operator Theory and Banach Algebras, Conf. Proc., Rabat (Morocco), April 12–14, 1999 (Ed. M. Chidami, R. Curto, M. Mbekhta, F.-H. Vasilescu, J. Zemánek), Theta, Bucharest, 2003, 23–30, Zbl. 1084.46519. MR **2004g**:46062.
- [BFML] Z. Boulmaarouf, M. Fernandez Miranda, J.-Ph. Labrousse, *An algorithmic approach to orthogonal projections and Moore-Penrose inverses*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **18** (1997), 55–63, Zbl. 0877.65019. MR **97m**:65105.
- [Es] J. Esterle, *Polynomial connections between projections in Banach algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **15** (1983), 253–254, Zbl. 0517.46034. MR **84g**:46069.
- [Ko] Z. V. Kovarik, *Similarity and interpolation between projectors*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **39** (1977), 341–351, Zbl. 0392.47008. MR **58#**2397.
- [Mae] S. Maeda, *On arcs in the space of projections of a C^* -algebra*, Math. Japon. **21** (1976), 371–374, Zbl. 0353.46051. MR **56#**12900.
- [Mak] E. Makai, Jr., *Algebraic elements in Banach algebras (joint work with J. Zemánek)*, In: 6th Linear Algebra Workshop, Kranjska Gora, Slovenia, May 25–June 1, 2011, Book of Abstracts, 26.
- [MZ89] E. Makai, Jr., J. Zemánek, *On polynomial connections between projections*, Linear Algebra Appl. **126** (1989), 91–94, Zbl. 0714.47011. MR **91m**:47026.
- [MZ] E. Makai, Jr., J. Zemánek, *On the structure of the set of elements in a Banach algebra which satisfy a given polynomial equation, and their liftings*, Manuscript under preparation, www.renyi.hu/~makai.
- [Tr85] M. Trémon, *Polynômes de degré minimum connectant deux projections dans une algèbre de Banach*, Linear Algebra Appl. **64** (1985), 115–132, Zbl. 0617.46054. MR **86g**:46074.
- [Tr95] M. Trémon, *On the degree of polynomials connecting two idempotents of a Banach algebra*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A **95** (1995), 233–235, Zbl. 0853.46044. MR **99f**:46068.
- [Ze] J. Zemánek, *Idempotents in Banach algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **11** (1979), 177–183, Zbl. 0429.46029. MR **80h**:46073.