

Mistakes, errors, misspellings etc. in [Németi: Free cylindric...]

that we have found until now.

- p.(iii)¹²⁻¹⁶. We do not know whether the free WA and NA are atomic or not.
- p.(iv)₅ ... of a recursive translation function ...
- p.21₈ Again, we do not know if \mathfrak{F}_β WA, \mathfrak{F}_β NA are atomic or not (for $\beta < \omega$).
- p.33³ ... $\{\varphi \in \text{Fm}_\omega^0 : \dots\}$ (an ω is missing)
- p.44⁸ (Ax2) (y=z ...
- p.45² Mistake! (4) should read as: $(\varphi \circ \psi)y_i \wedge y_j = \tau \rightarrow \dots$
(otherwise it does not follow from π).
- p.46⁶ Mistake! (Ax5) should read as: $\underline{y_j = y_j} \rightarrow \exists z(y_j = z_j \wedge z_i = x)$
(otherwise it does not follow from π').
- p.63⁹ omit "and the same for \forall " (it is not true, but it is not needed, either).
- p.63₅ $\stackrel{d}{=} \forall x(\text{pair}(x) \leftrightarrow \dots$
- p.66³ ... (ii) has been ...
- p.66₁₆ Let $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{K} \ni \varphi \dots$
- p.69. Error! " $\text{Ax} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ " does not follow from the hypotheses, namely $h'(\pi_{RA}) \in \mathbb{T}$ is not necessarily true. ~~Though~~ ^{But}, we checked by a lengthy computation that $h'(\pi_{RA}) \in \mathbb{T}$ does hold for the particular $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \text{CA}_3$ we constructed.