## NON COMPLETE SUMS OF MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

by

## P. ERDŐS and I. KÁTAI (Budapest)

1. It is well known that  $\sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d|n}} (d) = 0$  for all n > 1. We are interested concerning the upper estimate of

$$M(n) = \max_{z} M(n,z) = \max_{z} \left| \sum_{\substack{d,n \\ d \leq z}} \mu(d) \right|.$$

Previously it was proved that

(1.1) 
$$M(n) \leq \left( \begin{bmatrix} \omega(n) \\ \frac{\omega(n)}{2} \end{bmatrix} \right) < c \frac{2^{\omega(n)}}{\sqrt{\omega(n)}},$$

where  $\omega(n)$  denotes the number of different prime factors of n (See [1], [2]).

One of us asked in a recent paper [3] whether M(n) has a better upper estimate for almost all n. Explicitly it was asked whether

$$(1.2) M(n) \le 2^{\alpha \omega(n)}$$

holds for almost all integers n with a suitable constant  $\alpha < 1$ . Now we prove a more general theorem, whence (1.2) will immediately follow.

2. THEOREM. Let f(n) be a multiplicative function satisfying the conditions: a) |f(n)| < 1; b) Let  $\mathscr{G}$  denote the set of primes p for which f(p) = -1, let  $\sum_{\substack{p \in \mathscr{G} \\ p \in \mathscr{G}}} \frac{1}{p} = \infty$ . Then  $\max_{1 \le z \le n} |\sum_{\substack{v \mid n}} f(d)| < 2^{z\omega(n)}$ 

for almost all n, where  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary constant  $>_{i2}^{1}$ .

To prove this we need two lemmas.

Let  $x_1 = \log x$ ,  $x_2 = \log x_1$ ,  $y_1 = \log y$ ,  $y_2 = \log y_1$ ,  $\Omega(n)$  be the number of all prime divisors of n counted each of them by their multiplicity. Let  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrarily small positive constant,  $R = (1 - \varepsilon)x_2$ . The symbol  $\Sigma'$ denotes a sum extended over those n for which  $\Omega(n) \leq R$ . Since, by the well known theorem of HARDY and RAMANUJAN  $|\Omega(n) - x_2| < \varepsilon x_2$  holds for all  $n \leq x$  except at most o(x) of them, therefore the  $\Sigma'$  is extended over almost all n. Let for an arbitrary A > 1  $\tau(n', z, A) = \sum_{d \mid n} 1, \frac{z}{A} < d \leq z$ . d(n) denotes the number of divisors of n.

LEMMA 1. We have

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{\operatorname{def}}) \sum_{n}' \sum_{A^{k} \leq x} \tau^{2}(n; A^{k+1}, A) \leq cx \cdot 2^{R} x_{2} (\log A).$$

**PROOF.** For d|n,  $\delta|n$  let  $(d,\delta) = a$ , d = au,  $\delta = av$ , (u,v) = 1.

If  $A_k \leq d \leq \delta \leq A^{k+1}$ , then  $u \leq n \leq Au$ . Hence

$$\Sigma = \sum' \sum_{A^k \leq x} au^2(n; \ A^{k+1}, A) \lesssim \sum_{n=auvl} 1 \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} \sum_1 ext{,}$$

where the last sum is extended over those a, u, v, l for which  $n \leq x$ ,  $\Omega(n) \leq x$  $\leq R, \ u \leq v \leq Au$ . Therefore  $\sum_{uv \leq \mathbf{x}} \sum_{uv \leq \mathbf{x}} \sum_{u,v}$ ,

$$\sum_{u,v} = \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \mid u \\ \Omega(m) \leq R - \Omega(uv)}} d(m).$$

Since  $d(m) < 2^{\Omega(m)}$ , hence

$$\sum u, v \leq \frac{x}{u v} \cdot 2^{R - \Omega(uv)}$$

and consequently

(2.1) 
$$\sum_{1 \le x} 2^{R} \sum_{uv \le x} \frac{2^{-\Omega(uv)}}{uv} \le x 2^{R} \sum_{u \le x} \frac{2^{-\Omega(u)}}{u} \sum_{u}$$

where

(2.2) 
$$\sum_{u \le v \le Au} \frac{2^{-u(v)}}{v}.$$

To estimate  $\Sigma_{\mu}$  we use the following theorem due to HARDY and RAMANUJAN: if  $\pi_r(y)$  is the number of  $n \leq y$  with  $\Omega(n) = r$ , then

$$\pi_r(y) < \frac{y(y_2+c)^{r-1}}{y_1(r-1)!}$$

Hence

(2.3) 
$$\gamma(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{r \le y} 2^{-\Omega(v)} < \frac{y}{y_1} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(y_2 + c)^{r-1} 2^{-r+1}}{(r-1)!} = \frac{y}{y_1} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}y_2 + \frac{c}{2}\right) < c \frac{y}{\sqrt{y_1}}.$$
Hence

$$\sum_{2^{t} \leq A} \frac{1}{u \ 2^{t}} \gamma (u \ 2^{t+1}) < c \sum_{2^{t} \leq A} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log u 2^{t}}} \leq c \frac{\log A}{\sqrt{\log u}}.$$

Taking this estimate into (2.1), we have

(2.4) 
$$\sum_{1} \leq cx \, 2^R \log A \, \sum_{u \leq x} \frac{2^{-\Omega(u)}}{u \sqrt{\log u}} \, .$$

Furthermore, by (2.3)

$$\sum_{2 \le u \le x} \frac{2^{-g(u)}}{u \sqrt{\log u}} \le c \sum_{2^t \le x} \frac{1}{2^t \sqrt{t}} \gamma(2^t) \le c \sum_{2^t \le x} \frac{1}{t} \le c x_2.$$

Consequently, from (2.4)

 $\sum_1 \leq cx \ 2^R \ (\log A) x_2$  ,

which proves the lemma.

Let p(n) denote the smallest prime divisor p of n for which  $p \in \mathscr{S}$ ,  $p^2 \notin n$ . We take  $p(n) = \infty$  if such p does not exist.

LEMMA 2. We have,

$$\frac{1}{x}\sum_{\substack{n\leq x\\p(n)>A_x}}1\to 0,$$

if  $A_x \to \infty$  arbitrarily slowly.

This can be proved, by using the Eratosthenes' sieve; therefore we omit its proof.

3. Now we prove the theorem. We have

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n\\d\leq z}} f(d) = \sum_{\substack{d|n'\\d\leq z}} f(d) + f(p(n)) \sum_{\substack{d|n'\\d\leq z|p(n)}} f(d), \qquad n' = \frac{n}{p(n)}.$$

Since f(p(n)) = -1, therefore

$$(3.1) \qquad \qquad |\sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \leq z}} f(d)| \leq |\sum_{\substack{z \mid p(n) \leq d \leq z \\ d \mid n'}} f(d)| \leq \tau(n; z, p(n)).$$

Introducing the notations

$$C(n) = \max_{\substack{z \\ d \leq z}} |\sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \leq z}} f(d) |, \ T_B(n) = \max_{z} \tau(n; z, B).$$

from (3.1) we have

(3.2) 
$$C(n) \leq T_B(n) \text{ if } p(n) \leq B.$$

By choosing  $A = B^2$ , we have

$$T^2_B(n) \leq \max_k \tau^2(n; A^k, A) \leq \sum_{A^k \leq x} \tau^2(n; A^{k+1}A),$$

and hence, by Lemma 1

(3.3) 
$$\sum' T_B^2(n) \leq cx \ 2^R x_2 \log A.$$

4 Periodica Mat. 1 (3)

Let  $B = x_1$ . From (3.3)  $T_B(n) \le 2^{R/2} x_2^2$  for all  $n \le x$  except perhaps some the number of which smaller than  $cxx_2^{-2} = o(x)$ . Since

$$p(n) \leq B$$
 and  $|\Omega(n) - x_2| < \varepsilon x_2$ 

for almost all n, therefore, from (3.2)

$$C(n) \leq 2^{R/2} x_2^2 \leq 2^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}x_2} x_2^2 \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}\omega(n)} x_2^2$$

for almost all n.

Using the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$  we obtain the assertion of the theorem.

It is probable that our theorem is nearly best possible. We conjecture that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and almost all  $n \ M(n) > n^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ .

## REFERENCES

- P. ERDŐS, On a problem in elementary number theory, Math. Student 17 (1950), 31-32.
- [2] N. G. DE BRUIJN, C. A. VAN E. TENGEBERGEN and D. KRUYMIJK, On the set of divisors of a number, Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde (2) 23 (1949-51), 191-193.
- [3] I. KATAI, Számelméleti problémák I., Mat. Lapok.

(Received June 13, 1970)

MTA MATEMATIKAI KUTATÓ INTÉZETE, BUDAPEST, V., REÁLTANODA U. 13-15.

EÖTVÖS LORÁND TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM, ALGEBRA ÉS SZÁMELMÉLETI TANSZÉK, BUDAPEST, VIII., MÚZEUM KRT. 6-8. HUNGARY