ON SUMS OF FIBONACCI NUMBERS

P. ERDÖS
Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary and University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado
and
R. L. GRAHAM
Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc., Murray Hill, New Jersey

For a sequence of integers $S = (s_1, s_2, \cdots)$, we denote by $P(S)$ the set

$$\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_k s_k : \epsilon_k = 0 \text{ or } 1,\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_k < \infty\right\}.$$

We say that S is <u>complete</u> if all sufficiently large integers belong to $P(S)$. Conditions under which a sequence S is complete have been studied by a number of authors. These sequences have ranged from the slowly growing sequences of Erdős [3] and Folkman [4] ($s_n = 0(n^2)$), the polynomial and near-polynomial sequences of Roth and Szekeres [9], Graham [5] and Burr [1], to the near-exponential sequences of Cassels [2] ($s_n = 0(\exp(n/\log n))$) and the exponential sequences of Lekkerkerker [7] and Graham [6] ($s_n = [t\alpha^n]$). In this note, we investigate sequences in which each term is a Fibonacci number, i.e., an integer F_n defined by the linear recurrence

$$F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n, \qquad n \geq 0,$$

with $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$.

For a sequence $M = (m_1, m_2, \cdots)$ of nonnegative integers, let S_M denote the nondecreasing sequence which contains precisely m_k entries equal to F_k. It was noted in [7] that for $M = (1, 1, 1, \cdots)$, S_M is complete but the deletion of any two terms of S_M destroys the completeness. Further, it was shown in [1] that for any fixed a, if $M = (a, a, a, \cdots)$ then some finite set of entries can be deleted from S_M so that the resulting sequence is not complete. This result can be strengthened as follows (where τ denotes $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2$).

Theorem 1. If

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k \tau^{-k} < \infty ,$$

then some finite set of entries of S_M can be deleted so that the resulting sequence is not complete.

Proof. The proof uses the ideas of Cassels [2]. Let $\|x\|$ denote $\min |x - n|$ where n ranges over all integers. It is well known that F_n can be explicitly written as

$$F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\tau^n - (-\tau)^{-n}) .$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \in S_M} \|s\tau\| &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k \|F_k \tau\| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k \|F_k \tau - F_{k+1}\| \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k \left\| \frac{(\tau^2 + 1)}{\tau} (-\tau)^{-k} \right\| \\ &\le \left| \frac{\tau^2 + 1}{\tau\sqrt{5}} \right| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k \tau^{-k} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

by the hypothesis of the theorem. Hence, by deleting a sufficiently large initial segment of S_M, we can form a sequence S_M^* for which

$$\sum_{s \in S_M^*} \|s\tau\| < 1/4 \quad .$$

But τ is irrational so that for infinitely many integers m, we have

$$\|m\tau\| > 1/4.$$

The subadditivity of $\|\cdot\|$ shows that such an m cannot belong to $P(S_M^*)$. This proves the theorem.

It follows in particular that if $1 < \theta < \tau$ and $m_k = 0(\theta^k)$ then S_M is not "strongly complete," i.e., the deletion of some finite set of entries from S_M can result in a sequence which is not complete.

In the other direction, however, we have the following result.

Theorem 2. Suppose for some $\epsilon > 0$ and some k_0, $m_k > \epsilon\tau^k$ for $k > k_0$. Then S_M is strongly complete.

Proof. For a fixed integer t, let M' denote the sequence

$$(\underbrace{0,\ 0,\ \cdots,\ 0}_{t},\ m_{t+1},\ m_{t+2},\ \cdots)\ .$$

It is sufficient to show that $S_{M'}$ is complete. We recall the identity

$$F_{n+2k} + F_{n-2k} = L_{2k}F_n\ , \tag{1}$$

where L_r is the sequence of integers defined by $L_{n+2} = L_{n+1} + L_n$, $n \geq 0$, with $L_0 = 2$, $L_1 = 1$. It is easily shown that $F_r \leq \tau^r$ and

$$L_r \geq \frac{1}{2}\tau^r$$

for $r \geq 0$. We can assume without loss of generality that $t > k_0$ and $\epsilon\tau^t > 2$. Choose $\ell > 4/\epsilon$ and $n > t + 2\ell$. We can form sums of pairs $F_{n+2k} + F_{n-2k}$ from $S_{M'}$ to get at least $\epsilon\tau^{n-2k}$ copies of $L_{2k}F_n$ (by (1)) for $0 \leq k \leq \ell$. Since $\epsilon\tau^{n-2\ell} > \epsilon\tau^t > 2$ then these sums can be used to form all the multiples uF_n,

$$1 \le u \le \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \epsilon\tau^{n-2k} L_{2k} .$$

Since

$$L_r \ge \frac{1}{2} \tau^r ,$$

then we have formed all multiples uF_n,

$$1 \le u \le \frac{\epsilon(\ell + 1)}{2} \tau^n .$$

The same argument can be applied to the terms $F_{n+1\pm 2k}$ (which are distinct from the terms previously considered) to form all multiples vF_{n+1},

$$1 \le v \le \frac{\epsilon(\ell + 1)}{2} \tau^{n+1} .$$

Of course, F_n and F_{n+1} are relatively prime so that the set of integers of the form $xF_n + yF_{n+1}$, x and y nonnegative integers, contains all integers $> F_n F_{n+1} - F_n - F_{n+1}$ (cf. [8]). For any integer

$$N_j = F_n F_{n+1} - F_n - F_{n+1} + j, \quad 1 \le j \le F_{n+2} ,$$

the coefficients x_j and y_j in a representation

$$N_j = x_j F_n + y_j F_{n+1}$$

certainly satisfy $x_j \le F_{n+1}$, $y_j \le F_n$. Thus, $x_j, y_j \le \tau^{n+1} < 2\tau^n$. Since u and v can range up to

$$\frac{\epsilon(\ell + 1)}{2} \tau^n > 2\tau^n$$

then by using the multiples of F_n and F_{n+1} we have just considered, we can represent all the N_j, $1 \le j \le F_{n+2}$, as elements of $P(S_{M'})$. Finally, since we have used at most $\epsilon\tau^{n-2}$ copies of F_{n+i}, $2 \le i$, in this process, we still have available at least $\epsilon(\tau^{n+2} - \tau^{n-2}) > 1$ copies of F_{n+i} to use in forming sums in $P(S_{M'})$. By adding sequentially a single copy of F_{n+i}, $i = 2, 3, 4, \cdots$, to the N_j, it is not difficult to see that all integers $\ge N_1$ belong to $P(S_{M'})$. Thus, $S_{M'}$ is complete and the theorem is proved.

It should be pointed out that the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k \tau^{-k} = \infty$$

is not sufficient for the completeness of S_M as can be seen from the example in which

$$m_k = \begin{cases} [\tau^k] & \text{if } k = 2^n \text{ for some } n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

However, the proof of Theorem 2 directly applies to show that if m_ϵ/τ^k is monotone and

$$\sum \frac{m_k}{\tau^k} = \infty$$

then S_M is strongly complete.

It would be of interest to investigate refinements of these questions. Of course, similar results and questions arise for other P - V numbers besides τ but we do not pursue these here.

REFERENCES

1. S. A. Burr, "On the Completeness of Sequences of Perturbed Polynomial Values," to appear in the Proceedings of the 1969 Atlas Symposium on Computers and Number Theory.

2. J. W. S. Cassels, "On the Representation of Integers as the Sums of Distinct Summands Taken from a Fixed Set," Szeged, Vol. 21 (1960), pp. 111-124.
3. P. Erdős, "On the Representation of Integers as Sums of Distinct Summands taken from a Fixed Set," Acta Arithmetica, Vol. VII (1962), pp. 345-354.
4. J. Folkman, "On the Representation of Integers as Sums of Distinct Terms from a Fixed Sequence," Can. J. Math., Vol. 18 (1966), pp. 643-655.
5. R. L. Graham, "Complete Sequences of Polynomial Values," Duke Math. Journal, Vol. 31 (1963), pp. 275-285.
6. R. L. Graham, "On a Conjecture of Erdős in Additive Number Theory," Acta Arithmetica, Vol. X (1964), pp. 63-70.
7. C. G. Lekkerkerker, "Representation of Natural Numbers as a Sum of Fibonacci Numbers," Simon Stevin, Vol. 29 (1952), pp. 190-195.
8. N. S. Mendelsohn, "A Linear Diophantine Equation with Applications to Non-negative Matrices," Proc. 1970 Int'l. Conf. on Comb. Math., Annals N. Y. Acad. Sci., 175, No. 1 (1970), pp. 287-294.
9. K. Roth and G. Szekeres, "Some Asymptotic Formulae in the Theory of Partitions," Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser., Vol. 2, No. 5 (1954), pp. 241-259.

[Continued from page 261.]

A GENERAL Q-MATRIX

2. D. A. Lind, "The Q Matrix as a Counterexample in Group Theory," Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 5, No. 1, Feb. 1967, p. 44.
3. E. P. Miles, "Fibonacci Numbers and Associated Matrices," American Mathematical Monthly, Oct. 1960, p. 748.
4. Stephen Smale, "Differentiable Dynamical Systems," Bull. American Math. Society, 73, 1967, pp. 747-817.