

SOME PROBLEMS ON FINITE AND INFINITE GRAPHS

P. Erdős

Many of the problems Hajnal and I posed 15 years ago have been solved positively or negatively or shown to be undecidable. I state some of the remaining ones and add a few new ones. I do not give a complete list of references but add a few where it seems essential.

1. Determine the  $\alpha$ 's for which  $\omega^\alpha \rightarrow (\omega^\alpha, 3)_2^2$ .  $\alpha$  must be a power of  $\omega$ . I offer \$1000 for a complete characterization and \$250 for  $\alpha = \omega^2$ , the first open case. Jean Larson, A short proof of a partition theorem for the ordinal  $\omega^\omega$ , Ann. Math. Logic 6(1973/74).

2. Is it true that if  $\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha, 3)_2^2$  then also  $\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha, n)_2^2$ ?

3.  $c \rightarrow (\omega+n, 4)_2^3$  is an old result of Rado and myself.  $\omega+n$  can perhaps be replaced by any  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and 4 by any  $n < \omega$ , but I know nothing about this.

Hajnal and I proved  $\omega_1^2 \rightarrow (\omega_1\omega, 3)_2^2$ . To our annoyance we could never show  $\omega_1^2 \rightarrow (\omega_1\omega, 4)_2^2$ . During this meeting Baumgartner and Hajnal proved, assuming the continuum hypothesis

$$\omega_1^2 \not\rightarrow (\omega_1\omega, 4)_2^2$$

---

Primary Subject Classification 04A20.

Their paper about it will appear in this volume. They also proved  $\omega_1^2 \rightarrow (\omega_1\omega, 3, 3)_1^2$ . Also we could never decide  $\omega_2\omega \rightarrow (\omega_2\omega, 3)_2^2$ . (I just heard [1985, October] that S. Shelah just proved this and many other of our conjectures. Perhaps if  $G$  is any graph of power  $\aleph_1$  which contains no  $K_4$  then  $\omega_1^2 \rightarrow (\omega_1\omega, G)^2$ . This is open even if  $G$  is assumed to be finite. (Baumgartner just showed that

$\omega_1^2 \not\rightarrow (\omega_1\omega, K(\aleph_0, \aleph_0))^2$ . Perhaps  $\omega_1^2 \rightarrow (\omega_1\omega, G)^2$  holds if  $G$  contains no  $K(4)$  and no  $K(\aleph_0, \aleph_0)$ , perhaps it will be necessary to restrict  $G$  to have finite order.)

4. Is it true that if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are  $\aleph_1$ -chromatic graphs then they have a common 4-chromatic subgraph? Perhaps they have a common  $\aleph_0$ -chromatic subgraph as well. On the other hand we can not at present exclude the possibility that there are  $\aleph_0$  graphs  $G_n$ ,  $n < \omega$  such that  $G_n$  has chromatic number  $\aleph_1$  and no two of them have a common 4-chromatic subgraph. It seems more likely that any finite set of  $\aleph_1$ -chromatic graphs have a common 4-chromatic ( $\aleph_0$ -chromatic?) subgraph. Probably every  $\aleph_1$ -chromatic graph contains all 4-chromatic subgraphs all circuits of which are of length  $> n_G$ . An old result of Hajnal, Shelah and myself shows this for three-chromatic graphs.

5. An old problem of Hajnal and myself states: Is there a graph  $G$  which contains no  $K_4$  and which is not the union of  $\aleph_0$  graphs which are triangle free? I offer 250 dollars for this problem. Folkman, Nešetřil and Rödl proved that for every  $n$  there is a  $G$  which contains no  $K_4$  and is not the union of  $n$  triangle free graphs. For a while Hajnal and I thought that perhaps the following result would hold: if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are two graphs so that for every  $n < \omega$  there is a graph  $G_n$  which contains no  $G_1$  but if we color the edges of  $G_n$  by  $n$  colors then at least one of the colors contains  $G_2$ , then the same result holds if  $n$  is  $\aleph_0$  and in fact every infinite cardinal number. This

certainly fails if  $G_1$  is  $C_4$  and  $G_2$  is  $C_6$  (or in fact any bipartite graph not containing  $C_4$ ). Hajnal and I proved that every graph which contains no  $C_4$  is the denumerable union of trees and Nešetřil and Rödl proved that for every  $n$  there is a graph not containing  $C_4$  which is not the union of  $n$  graphs not containing  $C_6$ . It would be perhaps of interest to decide for which  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  does our original guess hold? The most interesting case is of course  $G_1 = K_4$ ,  $G_2 = K_3$ . The paper of Nešetřil and Rödl will soon appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

6. Is it true that if  $f(n)$  increases arbitrarily fast then there is an  $\aleph_1$ -chromatic  $G$  so that if  $g(n)$  is the smallest integer for which  $G$  has an  $n$ -chromatic subgraph of  $g(n)$  vertices then  $f(n)/g(n) \rightarrow 0$ ?

7. Hajnal, Szemerédi and I have the following problem. Let  $h(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as slowly as we please. Is it true that there is a  $G$  of chromatic number  $\aleph_0$  so that any subgraph of  $n$  vertices of  $G$  can be made two-chromatic by the omission of  $h(n)$  edges? P. Erdős, A. Hajnal and E. Szemerédi, On almost bipartite large chromatic graphs, Annals of Discrete Math. Vol. 12, Theory and Practice of Combinatorics, Dedicated to A. Kotzig 114-123.

If  $G$  has chromatic number  $\aleph_1$  it is easy to see that this is not true with  $h(n) = cn$  ( $c$  small) and we conjecture that for any such  $h(n)$ ,  $h(n)/n \rightarrow \infty$ . We proved that there is a  $G$  for which  $h(n) < n^{3/2}$  and in fact by the omission of  $n^{1+\varepsilon_k}$  edges any set of  $n$  vertices can be made to have chromatic number  $\leq k$ ,  $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . However we have no guess of the true order of magnitude of  $h(n)$ . V. Rödl, Nearly bipartite graphs with large chromatic number, Combinatorica 2(1982), 377-383.

8. Is it true that for every infinite  $m$  one can color the countable subsets of  $m$  by  $(2^{\aleph_0})^+$  colors so that every subset of size

$(2^{\aleph_0})^+$  gets subsets of all the colors?

9. An old conjecture of mine on graphs states as follows: Let  $G$  be a graph.  $A$  and  $B$  are two disjoint independent sets of  $G$ .  $S$  separates  $A$  from  $B$  if every path joining a vertex of  $A$  to a vertex of  $B$  passes through a vertex of  $S$ . My conjecture now states that  $S$  can be chosen so that through every vertex of  $S$  there is a path joining  $A$  and  $B$  so that these paths should be vertex disjoint. If  $|S| < \aleph_0$  then this is the well known theorem of Menger. For  $|S| = \aleph_0$  the problem is open and could very well be false. Recently important work on this problem has been done by Aharoni who settled the problem if  $G$  is bipartite, but the general problem is still open.

10. Another old problem of Hajnal, Milner and myself stated: Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal number which has no immediate predecessor (i.e. is a limit number). For which  $\alpha$  is it true that if  $G$  is a graph whose vertices form a set of type  $\alpha$  then either  $G$  has an infinite path or contains an independent set of type  $\alpha$ . We proved this for  $\alpha < \omega_1^{\omega+2}$ . Recently important work on this problem was done by Jean Larson and Baumgartner; the papers will soon appear. In particular it is consistent that for every  $\alpha < \omega_2$ ,  $\alpha \not\rightarrow (\omega_1^{\omega+2}, \text{infinite path})$ , but the general problem  $\alpha \not\rightarrow (\beta, \text{infinite path})$  is still open.

11. Now I want to mention a few recent finite problems: Bruce Rothschild and I recently considered the following problem: Let  $G(n;e)$  be a graph of  $n$  vertices and  $e$  edges,  $e \geq cn^2$ . Assume further that every edge of  $G$  is contained in at least one triangle. Define  $f(n;c)$  as the smallest integer so that in every such graph there is an edge contained in at least  $f(n;c)$  triangles. Estimate  $f(n;c)$  as well as possible. Noga Alon showed that  $f(n;c) < \alpha \sqrt{n}$  and Szemerédi observed that his regularity Lemma implies  $f(n;c) \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $c > 0$ . Is it true that  $f(n;c) > n^\epsilon$  (or at least  $f(n;c) > \log n$ )?

More generally the following problem is of interest. Let  $e(n,r)$  be the smallest integer so that every  $G(n;e(n,r))$  each edge of which is

contained in at least one triangle has an edge which is contained in at least  $r$  triangles. Ruzsa and Szemerédi proved

$$c n r_3(n) < e(n;2) = \sigma(n^2)$$

where  $r_3(n)$  is the largest integer so that there is a set of  $r_3(n)$  integers  $< n$  not containing an arithmetic progression of three terms.  $e(n;r) = \sigma(n^2)$  holds for every  $r$  as stated previously. Probably  $e(n;r+1) - e(n;r) \rightarrow \infty$ . But perhaps  $e(n;r+1)/e(n;r) \rightarrow 1$ . I. Ruzsa and E. Szemerédi, Triple systems with no three points carrying three triangles, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai Vol. 18 Combinatorics, 939-945.

12. Finally I would like to state a few problems of Péter Komjáth which have the attractive property that they seem to be easy (almost trivial), but are perhaps difficult.

Let  $|A_i| = \aleph_0$ ,  $|A_i \cap A_j| < \aleph_0$  and  $|A_i \cap A_j| \neq 1$ . Is such a family necessarily two-chromatic?

Let  $A_i$  be a family of denumerable sets  $|A_i \cap A_j| \neq 2$ . Is there a bound on the chromatic number of such a family? If instead of  $|A_i \cap A_j| \neq 2$ ,  $|A_i \cap A_j| \neq 1$  is assumed Komjáth easily showed that the chromatic number of this family is at most  $\aleph_0$ .

### References

P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, Unsolved problems in set theory, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. XIII part 1 Amer. Math. Soc. 1971, 17-43 and Solved and unsolved problems in set theory, Proc. Tarski Symposium Proc. Symp. Pure Math Vol. XXV (1974), 269-287.

P. Erdős, Problems and results on finite and infinite combinatorial analysis, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 1973, 403-424. This is a much less systematic account than our papers with Hajnal. For a very rich source of solved and unsolved problem see P. Erdős, F. Galvin and A. Hajnal, On set systems having large chromatic number and not containing prescribed sub-systems, *ibid.* 425-513.