

Unsplittable multiple coverings with balls

A system $\underline{B} = \{B_i : i \in I\}$ of balls in \mathbb{R}^d is said to form a k-fold covering if every point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is covered by at least k elements of \underline{B} . A 1-fold covering is simply called a covering. Let $r(B_i)$ and $c(B_i)$ denote the radius and the centre of B_i , respectively. For any two points $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $d(x, y)$ stand for their (euclidean) distance.

Theorem 1. For any natural numbers k and $d \geq 3$, there exists a k -fold covering of \mathbb{R}^d with open unit balls $\{B_i : i \in I\}$, which cannot be decomposed into two coverings. Moreover, we may assume that $\inf_{i \neq j \in I} d(c(B_i), c(B_j)) > 0$.

Theorem 2. For any natural numbers k and $d \geq 3$, there exists a constant $c_d > 0$ such that every k -fold covering of \mathbb{R}^d with unit balls $\underline{B} = \{B_i : i \in I\}$, having the property that no point of \mathbb{R}^d is covered by more than $c_d 2^{k/d}$ members of \underline{B} , can be decomposed into two coverings.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

The following construction will be basic for our purposes.

Theorem 2.1. For every natural number k there exist a finite point system P_k and a finite system of (not necessarily equal) closed discs \underline{D}_k in the plane with the property that for any 2-colouring of the elements of P_k we can find a disc $D \in \underline{D}_k$ such that

- (i) $|D \cap P_k| \geq k,$
- (ii) $D \cap P_k$ is monochromatic.

Proof. Given any disc D in the plane, let $c(D)$ and $r(D)$ denote the center and the radius of D , respectively.

If $k=1$ then the statement is trivial. Let $k \geq 2$ be fixed. We are going to construct $\underline{D}_1 = \underline{D}_1^I \cup \underline{D}_1^{II}$ and P_1 ($1 \leq k$) by recursion.

Let D^* be a disc of radius $\delta_2=1/10$, and let $\underline{D}_2^I=(D^1, D^2, \dots, D^k)$ be a system of unit discs such that $d(c(D^s), c(D^t))$ (i.e., the distance between the centers of D^s and D^t) is at most δ_2 and each D^t has exactly one point (say, p^t) in common with D^* . Set $\underline{D}_2^{II}=\{D^*\}$, $\underline{D}_2=\underline{D}_2^I \cup \underline{D}_2^{II}$ and $P_2=(c(D), p^1, p^2, \dots, p^k)$.

Assume now that $\underline{D}_i=\underline{D}_i^I \cup \underline{D}_i^{II}$ and P_i have already been defined for some $2 \leq i < k$, and

- (1) every $D \in \underline{D}_i^I$ has a boundary point $p(D)$ not contained in any other element of

An easy continuity argument shows that there exists a sufficiently small positive number

$$(2) \quad \delta_{i+1} \leq \frac{1}{10} \min(\delta_i, \min_{D \in \underline{D}_i^I} d(p(D), \bigcup_{D' \in \underline{D}_i^I} \tilde{D}'))$$

such that for any $D \in \underline{D}_i^I$ one can find k distinct unit discs D^1, D^2, \dots, D^k and a disc D^* of radius δ_{i+1} with $c(D^*)=p(D)$ satisfying

- (3) $d(c(D^t), c(D)) \leq \delta_{i+1}$,
 (4) $D^t \cap P_i = (\text{int} D^t) \cap P_i = D \cap P_i$,
 (5) D^t and D^* have exactly one point (say, $p^t(D)$) in common,

for all $1 \leq t \leq k$. Set

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{D}_{i+1}^t &= (D^1, D^2, \dots, D^k : D \in \underline{D}_i^t), \quad \underline{D}_{i+1}^* = \underline{D}_i^* \cup (D^* : D \in \underline{D}_i^*), \quad \underline{D}_{i+1} = \underline{D}_{i+1}^t \cup \underline{D}_{i+1}^*, \\ P_{i+1} &= P_i \cup \{p^1(D), p^2(D), \dots, p^k(D) : D \in \underline{D}_i^t\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let D^t be any element of \underline{D}_{i+1}^t ($D \in \underline{D}_i^t, 1 \leq t \leq k$) and let E be any element of \underline{D}_{i+1} distinct from D^t and D^* . If $E \in \underline{D}_{i+1}^t$ then, by (2),

$$d(p^t(D), E) \geq d(p(D), E) - d(p(D), p^t(D)) \geq 10\delta_{i+1} - \delta_{i+1} > 0.$$

Similarly, if $E = \tilde{D}^s$ or $E = \tilde{D}^*$ for some $\tilde{D} \neq D$ ($\tilde{D} \in \underline{D}_i^s, 1 \leq s \leq k$), then

$$d(p^t(D), E) \geq d(p(D), \tilde{D}) - d(p(D), p^t(D)) - d(c(E), c(\tilde{D})) \geq 8\delta_{i+1} > 0$$

and

$$d(p^t(D), E) \geq d(p(D), \tilde{D}) - d(p(D), p^t(D)) - r(E) \geq 8\delta_{i+1} > 0,$$

respectively. If $E = D^s$ for some $s \neq t$, then $d(p^t(D), E)$ is clearly positive.

This shows that $p^t(D)$ is not covered by any element of \underline{D}_{i+1} different from D^t and D^* , so we can find a boundary point of D^t sufficiently close to $p^t(D)$ which does not belong to any other element of \underline{D}_{i+1} . Thus, (1) remains valid for $i+1$, and the algorithm can be repeated.

Let D be any element of \underline{D}_i^t . By (2)-(6) it follows that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} D^t \cap P_{i+1} &= (D \cap P_i) \cup \{p^t(D)\} \quad (1 \leq t \leq k), \\ D^* \cap P_{i+1} &= D^* \cap P_k = \{p^1(D), p^2(D), \dots, p^k(D)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove by induction on i that \underline{D}_i and P_i ($2 \leq i \leq k$) meet the requirements of the theorem. For $i=2$ this is obviously true. Let $2 \leq i \leq k$, and let $f: P_{i+1} \rightarrow \{B, W\}$ be any colouring of the elements of P_{i+1} with 2 colours (Black and White). Applying the induction hypothesis to $f|_{P_i}$ (the restriction of f to P_i), we obtain that there exists a $D \in \underline{D}_i$ such

that $D \cap P_i$ is monochromatic, i.e., $f(x)=f(y)$ for any $x,y \in D \cap P_i$.

Assume first that $D \in \underline{D}_i^1$ and all elements of $D \cap P_i$ are, say, black. If $f(p^t(D))=B$ for some $1 \leq t \leq k$, then, by (7), $D^t \cap P_{i+1} = (D \cap P_i) \cup (p^t(D))$ is monochromatic. If $f(p^t(D))=W$ for all $1 \leq t \leq k$, then $D^k \cap P_{i+1}$ is coloured completely white. Since $D^t, D^k \in \underline{D}_{i+1}^1$, $|D^t|=i+1$ and $|D^k|=k \geq i+1$, in both cases there exists a disc in \underline{D}_{i+1} containing at least $i+1$ points of P_{i+1} , which are coloured the same.

Suppose next that $D \in \underline{D}_i^0$. Then, by (7), $D \cap P_{i+1} = D \cap P_i$ and $|D \cap P_{i+1}| = k \geq i+1$. Thus, in this case $D \in \underline{D}_{i+1}^0$ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of the theorem with $i+1$ instead of k . This completes the induction, and hence the proof. \square

We will make use of the following special feature of our construction.

Lemma 2.2. Let \underline{D}_k and P_k denote the same as above. For any $D_1 \in \underline{D}_k^0$, set

$$X(D_1) = (\text{bd } D_1) \cap \left(\bigcup_{D_1 \neq E \in \underline{D}_k} E \right).$$

Then $X(D_1)$ can be covered by an angular region of size at most $2\pi/3$, whose apex is at the centre of D_1 .

Proof. Let $i+1$ be the smallest integer such that $D_1 \in \underline{D}_{i+1}^0$. Then $D_1 = D^k$ for some $D \in \underline{D}_i^1$ ($1 \leq i < k$), $r(D^k) = \delta_{i+1}$ and, by (2), D^k is obviously disjoint from all other elements of \underline{D}_{i+1}^0 . Similarly, no element of \underline{D}_j^0 ($j > i+1$) intersects D^k .

By the first part of (6), for any $E \in \underline{D}_k^1$ there exist a sequence of unit discs $(E_1, E_{i+1}, \dots, E_k = E)$ and a sequence of integers $(t_1, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{k-1})$ such that $E_j \in \underline{D}_j^1$, $1 \leq t_j \leq k$ and

$$(8) \quad E_{j+1} = (E_j)^{t_j} \quad (i \leq j < k).$$

If $E_i \neq D$ then, by (2), (3) and (8),

$$\begin{aligned} d(c(D^k), E) &= d(p(D), E_k) \geq d(p(D), E_1) - \sum_{i \leq j < k} d(c(E_j), c(E_{j+1})) \\ &\geq 10\delta_{i+1} - \sum_{i \leq j < k} \delta_{j+1} > \delta_{i+1} = r(D^k), \end{aligned}$$

so E is disjoint from D^* .

Assume next that $E_i = D$, and let x denote the intersection point of $\text{bd } D^*$ and the segment $[c(D), c(D^*)] = [c(D), p(D)]$. By (3),

$$d(x, p^t(D)) \leq 2\delta_{i+1} \frac{\delta_{i+1}}{\delta_{i+1} + 1} \quad (1 \leq t \leq k)$$

On the other hand,

$$d(c(E_{i+1}), c(E)) \leq \sum_{i < j < k} d(c(E_j), c(E_{j+1})) \leq \sum_{i < j < k} \delta_{j+1} < \delta_{i+1}/8.$$

Easy trigonometric calculations show that for any $y \in (\text{bd } D^*) \cap E$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &\leq d(x, p^t(D)) + d(p^t(D), y) \leq \frac{\delta_{i+1}}{5} + (2d(c(E_{i+1}), c(E))\delta_{i+1})^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4}\delta_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. \square

In what follows, we will turn the above planar construction into a 3-dimensional arrangement of balls.

We will think of \mathbb{R}^2 as of a horizontal plane in \mathbb{R}^3 . The terms 'above' and 'below' will be used in this sense.

Lemma 2.3. Let D_k and $P_k \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the same as above, $R \geq 1$. For every $D \in D_k$, let $B(D) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a closed ball of radius R , whose centre is above \mathbb{R}^2 and $B(D) \cap \mathbb{R}^2 = D$.

If B is any closed ball of radius R such that

$$B \subseteq \bigcup_{D \in D_k} B(D),$$

then $B = B(D)$ for some $D \in D_k$.

Proof. Let B be a closed ball of radius R , which is completely covered by $B(D)$'s, and set $D_0 = B \cap \mathbb{R}^2$. Obviously, the centre of B must be above \mathbb{R}^2 , and $r(D_0) \leq \max_{D \in D_k} r(D) = 1$, otherwise the south pole of B cannot be covered by any $B(D)$.

Pick a disc $D_1 \in D_k$ such that $B(D_1)$ covers the north pole of B . Then $r(D_0) \geq r(D_1)$ with equality if and only if $D_0 = D_1$ and hence $B = B(D_1)$.

Thus, we may assume that $r(D_1) < r(D_0) \leq 1$, which implies that $D_1 \in \underline{D}_k^n$. From the fact that $B(D_1)$ covers the north pole of B it follows that B covers the south pole of $B(D_1)$. Consequently, $D_0 = B \cap \mathbb{R}^2$ contains $c(D_1)$ in its interior. This implies that D_0 covers a circular arc of $\text{bd } D_1$ whose angle is larger than $2\pi/3$, which contradicts Lemma 2.2, because

$$(\text{bd } D_1) \cap D_0 \subseteq (\text{bd } D_1) \cap \left(\bigcup_{D_1 \neq E \in \underline{D}_k} E \right) = X(D_1). \quad \square$$

Remark 2.4. Enlarging some circles in \underline{D}_k a little bit, we can obviously attain that $D \cap P_k = (\text{int } D) \cap P_k$ and all other properties remain valid. It is also clear that in this case Lemma 2.3 can be stated in the following 'quantitative' form. There exists a small constant $\epsilon_k > 0$ with the property that, for any ball B of radius R , we can either find a disc $D \in \underline{D}_k$ such that

$$B \cap P_k = D \cap P_k = (\text{int } D) \cap P_k,$$

or $B \setminus \left(\bigcup_{D \in \underline{D}_k} B(D) \right)$ contains a ball of radius ϵ_k .

Theorem 2.5. Let $k \geq 2$ be a natural number, $R > 1$. Then there exists a subset $Q_k \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with the property that any closed ball of radius R contains at least k elements of Q_k , and for any 2-colouring of Q_k there exists a ball B of radius R such that $B \cap Q_k$ is monochromatic.

Proof. Let \underline{D}_k and P_k be the same as above, and set

$$Q_k = P_k \cup \left(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bigcup_{D \in \underline{D}_k} B(D) \right).$$

If B is any closed ball of radius R such that $B \not\subseteq \{B(D) : D \in \underline{D}_k\}$, then, by Lemma 2.3, B contains at least one and hence infinitely many points of

$\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bigcup_{D \in \underline{D}_k} B(D) = Q_k$. If $B = B(D)$ for some $D \in \underline{D}_k$, then $|B \cap Q_k| = |B \cap P_k| = |D \cap P_k| = k$.

Let f be any coloring of Q_k by 2 colours. This induces a 2-colouring

of P_k ; so, according to the definition of \underline{D}_k (part (ii) of Theorem 2.1); there exists a $D \in \underline{D}_k$ such that $B(D) \cap Q_k = D \cap P_k$ is monochromatic. \square

A point set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called ε -discrete if $d(x,y) \geq \varepsilon$ for any two distinct elements $x,y \in S$.

Theorem 2.5'. For any natural number k , there exist $\varepsilon_k^3 > 0$ and an ε_k^3 -discrete subset $Q_k^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ with the property that any open unit ball contains at least k elements of Q_k^1 , and for any 2-colouring of Q_k^1 one can find a closed unit ball B such that $B \cap Q_k^1$ is monochromatic.

Proof. Let \underline{D}_k and P_k satisfy the slightly stronger properties stated in Remark 2.4, and let S_k be an ε_k^3 -discrete subset of \mathbb{R}^3 , $\bigcup_{D \in \underline{D}_k} B(D) = T_k$ such that every ball $B' \subseteq T_k$ whose radius is at least ε_k^3 contains at least k elements of S_k . Set $Q_k^1 = P_k \cup S_k$. Then any open ball of radius R covers at least k elements of Q_k^1 , and for any 2-colouring of Q_k^1 there exist a $D \in \underline{D}_k$ such that all elements of $B(D) \cap Q_k^1 = D \cap P_k$ have the same colour. Changing the scale so that R becomes the unit distance, we obtain the result. \square

Theorem 2.5''. For any natural numbers k and $d \geq 3$, there exist an $\varepsilon_{k,d} > 0$ and an $\varepsilon_{k,d}$ -discrete subset $Q_{k,d} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with the property that any open unit ball contains at least k elements of $Q_{k,d}$, and for any 2-colouring of $Q_{k,d}$ one can find a closed unit ball B such that $B \cap Q_{k,d}$ is monochromatic.

Proof. We will prove by induction on d the following little stronger statement. There exist a $Q_{k,d} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with the required properties and a finite system $\underline{B}_{k,d}$ of closed unit balls such that for any 2-colouring of $Q_{k,d}$ one can find a $B \in \underline{B}_{k,d}$ for which all elements of $B \cap Q_{k,d}$ have the same colour.

For $d=3$; this follows from the proof of Theorem 2.5'.

Assume now that $Q_{k,d}$ and $B_{k,d}$ have already been defined for some $d \geq 3$. Given any $B \in B_{k,d}$; let B' denote a unit ball in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} whose intersection with the hyperplane \mathbb{R}^d is B . Let $\epsilon > 0$; and let $S_{k,d+1}$ denote a maximal ϵ -discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \setminus \bigcup_{B \in B_{k,d}} B'$. It is easy to see that, if ϵ is sufficiently small; then

$$Q_{k,d+1} = Q_{k,d} \cup S_{k,d+1} ;$$

$$B_{k,d+1} = \{ B' : B \in B_{k,d} \}$$

satisfy all the conditions. \square

Replacing each element $q \in Q_{k,d}$ by a unit ball centered at q ; we obtain a k -fold covering of \mathbb{R}^d ; which meets the requirements of Theorem 1. In this sense; Theorems 1 and 2.5" are 'dual' statements.

3. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $\underline{B} = (B_i; i \in I)$ be a k -fold covering of \mathbb{R}^d with unit balls such that no point of \mathbb{R}^d is contained in more than t members of \underline{B} . Assume without loss of generality that the balls are in general position. For any B_i , let $\text{bd } B_i$ denote the surface of B_i . Let C_j ($j \in J$) be the connected components of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{bd } B_i$, and define a hypergraph $H(\underline{B}) = H$ in the following way. Set

$$V(H) = \{B_i : i \in I\},$$

$$E(H) = \{E_j : j \in J\} \text{ where } E_j = \{B_i : C_j \subset B_i \in \underline{B}\}.$$

($V(H)$ and $E(H)$ denote the vertex set and the edge set of H , as usual.)

The fact that \underline{B} is a k -fold covering implies that

$$(9) \quad |E_j| \geq k \quad \text{for every } j \in J.$$

Fix now a $j_0 \in J$, and let E_{j_0} be any edge of H such that $E_{j_0} \cap E_{j_0} \neq \emptyset$. Then all elements (balls) belonging to E_{j_0} are contained in a ball B of radius 4 (around any point of C_{j_0}). Since no point of B is covered by more than t members of \underline{B} , we obtain

$$|\{E_j : E_j \cap E_{j_0} \neq \emptyset\}| \leq \frac{t \text{Vol } B}{\text{Vol } B_j} = t4^d.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that $t4^d$ balls cut the space into at most $(t4^d)^d$ different pieces, hence

$$(10) \quad |\{E_j : E_j \cap E_{j_0} \neq \emptyset\}| \leq t^d 4^{d^2} \quad \text{for any } j_0 \in J.$$

The following result is an easy consequence of the Lovász Local Lemma (cf. [1], [6]).

Theorem 3.1. ([2], [3]) Let H be a hypergraph whose every edge has at least k elements. If every edge of H meets at most 2^{k-3} other edges, then there

exists a 2-colouring of $V(H)$ such that no edge is monochromatic. \square

By (9) and (10), we can apply this result to our hypergraph H , provided that $t \leq 2^{(k/d)-2d-1}$. Thus, we obtain a colouring $f : V(H) \rightarrow \{R, G\}$ with 2 colours (say, red and green) such that every C_j is covered by both red and green balls. Consequently, $\underline{B} = \underline{B}_R \cup \underline{B}_G$,

$$\underline{B}_R = \{B_i \in \underline{B} : f(B_i) = R\},$$

$$\underline{B}_G = \{B_i \in \underline{B} : f(B_i) = G\}$$

is a decomposition of \underline{B} into two coverings. \square

Remark 3.2. The same proof shows that Theorem 2 remains valid (apart from the value of the constant) for every k -fold covering $\underline{B} = \{B_i : i \in I\}$ with balls satisfying $\frac{\inf_{i \in I} r(B_i)}{\sup_{i \in I} r(B_i)} > \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

References

- [1] B. Bollobás, Random Graphs, Academic Press, London-New York, 1985.
- [2] P. Erdős and L. Lovász, Problems and results on 3-chromatic hypergraphs and some related questions, in: Infinite and Finite Sets, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 10, North-Holland, 1974, 609-627.
- [3] L. Lovász, Combinatorial Problems and Exercises, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest and North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.
- [4] J. Pach, Decomposition of multiple packing and covering, in: Diskrete Geometrie, 2. Kolloq., Math. Inst. Univ. Salzburg, 1980, 169-178.
- [5] J. Pach, Covering the plane with convex polygons, Discrete Comput. Geom. 1 (1986), 73-81.
- [6] J. Spencer, Nonconstructive methods in discrete mathematics, in: Studies in Combinatorics, Math. Assoc. of America, 1978, 142-178.