

ON A PROBLEM OF S. HARTMAN ABOUT NORMAL FORMS

BY

VERA T. SÓS (BUDAPEST)

With the usual notation let x, y be integers and

$$\min_y |x\alpha - \beta - y| = \|x\alpha - \beta\|.$$

A pair of numbers (α, β) is called *normal*, *positively normal* and *negatively normal* if the inequality

$$(1) \quad \|x\alpha - \beta\| < 1/t$$

is soluble for any $t > t_0$ with $|x| < ct$, $0 < x < ct$ and $-ct < x < 0$ respectively, where t_0, c depend only on β and α .

S. Hartman [2] raised the question, whether or not a normal pair is necessarily positively or negatively normal. In this note we shall give a negative answer to this question constructing a normal pair (α, β) which is neither positively nor negatively normal. Before the proof we remark the following:

1. Suppose that $0 < \alpha < 1$, α is irrational,

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{a_1 +} \frac{1}{a_2 +} \dots \frac{1}{a_k + \dots},$$

a_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) are positive integers,

$$p_0 = -1, \quad q_0 = 0, \quad p_1 = 0, \quad q_1 = 1,$$

$$\frac{p_k}{q_k} = \frac{1}{a_1 +} \dots \frac{1}{a_{k-1}} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots)$$

the convergents of α , and $d_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q_k \alpha - p_k$ (¹) ($d_0 = -1$). Then we have

(¹) The sequence d_k has alternative signs for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $|d_k|$ is monotonically decreasing.

the well-known recursive formulas

$$(2) \quad q_{k+1} = a_k q_k + q_{k-1} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$(3) \quad d_{k+1} = a_k d_k + d_{k-1} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We note the identity

$$(4) \quad 1 = d_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k d_k$$

as a consequence of (2) and (3), and

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{a_k+1} < |d_k| q_k < \frac{1}{a_k} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

In [1] and [3] it is proved that for any $0 < \beta < 1$ with the d_k 's defined above, it is possible to determine uniquely a sequence b_k of non-negative integers – which we call throughout this note *digits* of β according to α – with the following properties:

$$(a) \quad \beta = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_v d_v;$$

$$(b) \quad 1 \leq b_1 \leq a_1 + 1, \quad 0 \leq b_v \leq a_v \quad (v = 2, 3, \dots);$$

(c) if ξ is defined by

$$\min_{0 < x < t} \|xa - \beta\| = \|\xi a - \beta\|,$$

then with suitable l, r

$$(6) \quad \xi = b_1 q_1 + \dots + b_{l-1} q_{l-1} + r q_l \quad (0 \leq r < b_l).$$

As in [3], (2.13), it is easy to see that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\xi a - \beta\| &= |b_1 d_1 + \dots + b_{l-1} d_{l-1} + r d_l - \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_v d_v| \\ &= |(b_l - r) d_l + \sum_{v=l+1}^{\infty} b_v d_v|. \end{aligned}$$

According to (b) and footnote (1) we have, using (6),

$$\left| \sum_{v=l}^{\infty} b_v d_v \right| \leq \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} a_{l+2v} |d_{l+2v}| = |d_{l-1}|.$$

Therefore we get from (7)

$$(8) \quad (b_l - r - 1) |d_l| \leq \|\xi a - \beta\| \leq (b_l - r + 1) |d_l|$$

and in the case $r = b_l - 1$, when $a_{l+1} > b_{l+1}$,

$$\|\xi\alpha - \beta\| = \left| \bar{d}_l + \sum_{\nu=l+1}^{\infty} b_\nu \bar{d}_\nu \right| = \left| \bar{d}_{l+2} - a_{l+1} \bar{d}_{l+1} + b_{l+1} \bar{d}_{l+1} + \sum_{\nu=l+2}^{\infty} b_\nu \bar{d}_\nu \right|,$$

and consequently, since \bar{d}_{l+1} and $-\bar{d}_{l+2}$ have the same sign,

$$(9) \quad (a_{l+1} - b_{l+1}) |\bar{d}_{l+1}| \leq \|\xi\alpha - \beta\| \leq (a_{l+1} - b_{l+1} + 2) |\bar{d}_{l+1}|.$$

As to the uniqueness of the representation (a) we remark that if

$$(10) \quad 0 < b_k < a_k, \quad 0 \leq b_k^* \leq a_k,$$

and

$$\gamma = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} b_\nu \bar{d}_\nu = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} b_\nu^* \bar{d}_\nu,$$

then

$$(11) \quad b_\nu = b_\nu^* \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Namely, if there exists an index l — and we take without loss of generality the first one for which $b_l \neq b_l^*$ — then we consider

$$\gamma' = \sum_{\nu=l}^{\infty} b_\nu \bar{d}_\nu = \sum_{\nu=l}^{\infty} b_\nu^* \bar{d}_\nu.$$

According to footnote (1)

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{l+1} \sum_{\nu=l}^{\infty} b_\nu^* \bar{d}_\nu &= b_l^* |\bar{d}_l| - \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} b_{l+2\nu+1}^* |\bar{d}_{l+2\nu+1}| + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} b_{l+2\nu}^* |\bar{d}_{l+2\nu}| \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_l^* |\bar{d}_l| - \sum_1^* + \sum_2^*, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{l+1} \sum_{\nu=l}^{\infty} b_\nu \bar{d}_\nu &= b_l |\bar{d}_l| - \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} b_{l+2\nu+1} |\bar{d}_{l+2\nu+1}| + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} b_{l+2\nu} |\bar{d}_{l+2\nu}| \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_l |\bar{d}_l| - \sum_1 + \sum_2. \end{aligned}$$

From (3) and (10)

$$0 \leq \sum_1^* \leq |\bar{d}_l|, \quad 0 \leq \sum_2^* \leq |\bar{d}_{l+1}|,$$

and consequently

$$(12) \quad (b_l^* - 1) |\bar{d}_l| \leq (-1)^{l+2} \gamma' \leq b_l^* |\bar{d}_l| + |\bar{d}_{l+1}|.$$

Similarly, taking into account that $0 < b_\nu < a_\nu$ and using (3), we get

$$|\bar{d}_{l+1}| < \sum_1 < |\bar{d}_l| - |\bar{d}_{l+1}|, \quad 0 < \sum_2 < |\bar{d}_{l+1}|,$$

and consequently

$$(13) \quad (b_l - 1) |\bar{d}_l| + |\bar{d}_{l+1}| < (-1)^{l+1} \gamma' < b_l |\bar{d}_l|.$$

Since b_l and b_l^* are integers, (12) and (13) cannot be satisfied simultaneously, which means that (11) holds.

2. According to our remark in 1, we show that if α is the number defined by

$$(14) \quad a_k = k^4$$

and β is the number for which

$$(15) \quad b_1 = 1, \\ b_k = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } k = 2\nu, \\ k^4 - k & \text{if } k = 2\nu + 1, \end{cases} \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots),$$

then the pair α, β is normal, but neither positively nor negatively normal.

From (4) and (a)

$$(16) \quad \beta' = 1 - \beta = (a_1 + 1 - b_1)d_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (a_k - b_k)d_k.$$

As we proved in 1, from (15) it follows that the digits of β' according to α are uniquely determined by (16) and

$$b'_1 = (a_1 + 1 - b_1), \\ b'_k = a_k - b_k = k^4 - k \quad \text{if } k = 2\nu, \\ b'_k = a_k - b_k = k \quad \text{if } k = 2\nu + 1. \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots),$$

Let

$$c_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_1 q_1 + \dots + b_k q_k, \quad c'_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b'_1 q_1 + \dots + b'_k q_k.$$

For any $c_1 < t$ we determine the index k by

$$c_{k-1} < t < c_k.$$

At first we prove that the pair (α, β) defined by (14) and (15) is normal. For the proof we distinguish three cases.

Case a. If $k = 2\nu$, then from (8) with $\nu = 0$, $l = k$,

$$\|c_{k-1}\alpha - \beta\| \leq (k+1)|d_k|.$$

According to (2) and (14)

$$(17) \quad t \leq b_1 q_1 + \dots + b_k q_k < a_1 q_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} q_{k-1} + k q_k < (k+2)q_k.$$

Hence by (5) we get for $k > 3$

$$\|c_{k-1}\alpha - \beta\| < \frac{2}{k^3 q_k} < \frac{1}{t},$$

i. e. $x = c_{k-1}$ is a solution of inequality (1).

Case b. If $k = 2\nu + 1$ and

$$c_{k-1} < t \leq (k+2)q_k,$$

then, just as before, we get by (9) with $l = k-1$

$$\|(c_{k-1} - q_{k-1})\alpha - \beta\| \leq (a_k - b_k + 1)|d_k| = (k+1)|d_k| < \frac{k+1}{k^4} \cdot \frac{1}{q_k} < \frac{1}{t},$$

i. e. $x = c_{k-1} - q_{k-1}$ is a solution of inequality (1).

Case c. If $k = 2\nu + 1$ and

$$(k+2)q_k < t \leq c_k,$$

then, similarly to (17),

$$c'_k < (k+2)q_k < t \leq c_k < (k^4 - k + 2)q_k.$$

Using (8) with $r = 0$ and with $l = k+1$, we get

$$\|c'_k \alpha - \beta'\| < (b'_{k+1} + 1)|d_{k+1}| < a_{k+1}|d_{k+1}| < |d_k| < \frac{1}{k^4 q_k} < \frac{1}{t},$$

i. e. $x = c'_k$ is a solution of

$$\|x\alpha - (1 - \beta)\| = \|-x\alpha - \beta\| < \frac{1}{t},$$

i. e. in this case (1) has a solution with $x = -c'_k$.

Now we show that our pair α, β defined in (14) and (15) is neither positively nor negatively normal. In order to show the first part, it is sufficient to give to an arbitrary prescribed c a sequence $t_\nu \rightarrow \infty$, so that (1) has no solution with $0 < x < ct_\nu$, for $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$

In order to prove it, let $k = 2\nu + 1$, $t_\nu = c_{k-1} + 2k^3 q_k$ and

$$\min_{0 < x < ct_\nu} \|x\alpha - \beta\| = \|\xi_\nu \alpha - \beta\|.$$

From (8) and (9) it follows that for all $\xi = b_1 q_1 + \dots + b_{l-1} q_{l-1} + r q_l$ with $l < k-1$ or with $l = k-1$ and $r < b_{k-1} - 1$ we have

$$\|\xi \alpha - \beta\| > |d_{k-1}|,$$

whence, by (5) and the definition of t_ν , we conclude

$$\|\xi \alpha - \beta\| > 1/t_\nu.$$

On the other hand, we have for k large enough the inequality $\xi_\nu \leq ct_\nu < c_k$. Thus (6) shows that it remains to examine as values of ξ_ν only the numbers

$$\xi'_\nu = b_1 q_1 + \dots + b_{k-1} q_{k-1} + r q_k$$

with $0 \leq r < 2k^3 c$, and

$$\xi''_\nu = b_1 q_1 + \dots + b_{k-2} q_{k-2} + (b_{k-1} - 1) q_{k-1}.$$

Using (5) and (8) we get for k large enough

$$\|\xi' a - \beta\| > (b_k - r - 1) |d_k| > \frac{k^4 - 3k^3 c - 1}{(k^4 + 1) q_k} > \frac{1}{2k^3 q_k} > \frac{1}{t}$$

and, similarly, using (8) for k large enough

$$\|\xi'' a - \beta\| > (a_k - b_k) |d_k| > \frac{k}{(k^4 + 1) q_k} > \frac{1}{2k^3 q_k} > \frac{1}{t},$$

i. e. for $t_\nu = c_{k-1} + 2k^3 q_k$, $k = 2\nu + 1$, $\nu > \nu_0$, the inequality

$$\|x\alpha - \beta\| < 1/t$$

has no solution with $0 < x < ct$.

In an analogous way it is possible to show that for $t = c'_{k-1} + 2k^3 q_k$, $k = 2\nu$, $\nu > \nu_0$, inequality (1) has no solution with $-ct < x < 0$, which completes the proof.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Descombes, *Sur la répartition des sommets d'une ligne polygonale régulière non fermée*, Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure 75 (1956), p. 284-355.

[2] S. Hartman, **P 262**, Colloquium Mathematicum 6 (1958), p. 334.

[3] Vera T. Sós, *On the theory of diophantine approximations II*, Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae 9 (1958), p. 229-241.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 10. 4. 1959