

ON A PROBLEM IN THE THEORY OF SIMULTANEOUS APPROXIMATION

By

VERA T. SÓS

Mathematical Institute, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest

(Received April 20, 1961)

To the memory of Professor L. FEJÉR

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$ irrational numbers, p, q positive integers, and

$$\min_p |q\alpha - p| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|q\alpha\|.$$

As a theorem of Dirichlet it is well known, that there exist infinitely many q 's, so, that

$$(1) \quad \|q\alpha_\nu\| < \frac{1}{q^{\frac{1}{k}}} \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

which means, that the numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$ are simultaneously well approximable with rational numbers $\frac{p_\nu}{q}$ (with common denominators). In the case $k = 1$ the continued fraction algorithm gives a satisfactory process for the construction and characterization of the q 's, for which

$$(2) \quad \|q\alpha\| < \frac{1}{q}.$$

In case $k > 1$ until now no algorithm corresponding to the continued fraction algorithm is known, and this is why „exact theorem” of simultaneous approximation in case $k > 1$ are not known.

P. TURÁN raised the question what sort of a localisation can be given for the integers q satisfying (1). After Turán's first results P. Szűs solved in [1] that for $k = 1, 0 < \vartheta < 1$

$$\|q\alpha\| < \frac{1}{q^\vartheta}$$

has a solution in the interval $N \leq q \leq N^{1+\vartheta}$ for any N . He proved moreover, that this result is best-possible in the sense, that the exponent $1 + \vartheta$ generally cannot be replaced by any universal $\vartheta' < 1 + \vartheta$ (and even a slightly stronger result.)

We prove a theorem corresponding the general case $k \geq 1$. This theorem goes for $k = 1$ into Szűs's result, apart from the constant factor. In contrary to the case $k = 1$ (i. e. Szűs's result) we cannot prove that our localisation is best possible.

THEOREM. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ be irrational $0 < \vartheta \leq \frac{1}{k}$. The inequalities

$$\|q \alpha_\nu\| < \frac{2^{k+1}}{q^\vartheta} \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

have for all N always a solution with q integers satisfying the condition

$$N \leq q \leq N^{\frac{1+\vartheta}{1-\vartheta(k-1)}}.$$

This means for example, that the „generally best possible“ approximation

$$\|q \alpha_\nu\| < \frac{2^{k+1}}{q^{\frac{1}{k}}} \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

is attainable under the condition

$$N \leq q \leq N^{k+1}.$$

For the proof we need the following

LEMMA. Let α irrational, $0 < \varrho < 1$, $q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_M$ positive integers. There are at least $[M\varrho]$ positive integers

$$q'_1 < q'_2 < \dots < q'_{[M\varrho]}$$

of the form $q'_\nu = q_\nu - q_i$ ($i \leq q_M$) (i fixed, $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, [M\varrho]$) for which

$$\|q'_\nu \alpha\| < \delta.$$

(For example in case the $q_\nu = \nu$, ($\nu = 1, \dots, M$) there are at least $[M\varrho]$ integers under the condition $0 \leq q' \leq M$ for which

$$\|q' \alpha\| < \varrho.)$$

For the proof of this Lemma we consider the circle with unitperiphery. From a starting point 0 we put on the arcs with length α in positive direction once, twice, ..., n -times. We shall call the end-points of these arcs as α -, 2α -, ..., $n\alpha$ -points. If we consider the $q_1\alpha$ -, $q_2\alpha$ -, ..., $q_M\alpha$ -points on the circle, there is somewhere on the circle an arc with length ϱ , which contains at least $M\varrho$ points among these. Let these points be the $q_{\nu_1}\alpha$ -, $q_{\nu_2}\alpha$ -, ..., $q_{\nu_{[M\varrho]}}\alpha$ -points, ($q_{\nu_1} < q_{\nu_2} < \dots < q_{\nu_{[M\varrho]}}$). Since the $m\alpha$ -, and $n\alpha$ -points ($m < n$) on the circle have the same relative position and distance, as the 0 and $(n - m)\alpha$ -points, the

$$0, (q_{\nu_2} - q_{\nu_1})\alpha, \dots, (q_{\nu_{[M\varrho]}} - q_{\nu_1})\alpha$$

points all are in an arc with length ϱ and containing the point 0. This means, that

$$\|(q_{\nu_i} - q_{\nu_1})\alpha\| < \varrho \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, [M\varrho]$$

and this proves the Lemma.

For the proof of the theorem in what follows let $\gamma > 1$, $\delta \leq \frac{\gamma}{k}$. γ and δ will to be determined later. According the Lemma — applied with $M_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [N^\gamma]$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{N^\delta}$ — we can assert that

$$(3) \quad \|q \alpha_1\| < \frac{1}{N^\delta}$$

has at least $M_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [N^{\gamma-\delta}]$ solution in q 's with $1 \leq q \leq N^\gamma$. Let these q ' s be

$$q_1^{(1)} < q_2^{(1)} < \dots < q_{M_2}^{(1)}.$$

If we consider the $q_v^{(1)} \alpha_2$ -points for $v = 1, 2, \dots, M_2$, we can assert —according to Lemma — that there exist at least $M_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [N^{\gamma-2\delta}]$ multipla, for which the inequality

$$(4) \quad \|q \alpha_2\| < \frac{1}{N^\delta}$$

holds. We denote these by

$$q_1^{(2)} < q_2^{(2)} < \dots < q_{M_3}^{(2)}.$$

Owing to the Lemma, we have with a suitable i

$$q_v^{(2)} = q_{e_v}^{(1)} - q_j^{(1)}, \quad v = 1, 2, \dots, M_3.$$

Since the $q_v^{(2)}$'s satisfy (4) and the $q_v^{(1)}$'s satisfy (3), we have

$$\|q_v^{(2)} \alpha_i\| < \frac{2}{N^\delta}, \quad v = 1, 2, \dots, M_3, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Continuing this construction k -times, we get the

$$q_v^{(k)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q_v \quad v = 1, 2, \dots, M_{k+1} = [N^{\gamma-k\delta}]$$

multipla, with $1 \leq q_v \leq N^\gamma$ having the property

$$(5) \quad \|q_v \alpha_i\| < \frac{2^{k-1}}{N^\delta} \quad v = 1, 2, \dots, M_{k+1} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

If there among these is a q_0 with $N \leq q_0 \leq N^\gamma$, then for this we get

$$(6) \quad \|q_0 \alpha_i\| < \frac{2^k}{N^\delta} \leq \frac{2^k}{\frac{\delta}{q_0^\gamma}} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

If we have

$$1 \leq q_v \leq N,$$

for any $\nu = 1, \dots, M_{k+1} = [N^{\nu-k\delta}]$
 then we have among these at least one q_0 with

$$(7) \quad N^{\nu-k\delta} \leq q_0 (< N).$$

We define integers q'_0 and p with

$$N < p q_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q'_0 < 2N.$$

From this and (7) we have

$$(8) \quad 1 \leq p < 2N^{1-(\nu-k\delta)}$$

and therefore, according to (5) and (8)

$$\|q'_0 \alpha_i\| < 2N^{1-(\nu-k\delta)} \|q_0 \alpha_i\| < \frac{2^k}{N^{\nu-(k-1)\delta-1}} < \frac{2^{k-1+\nu+(k-1)\delta}}{q_0^{\nu-(k-1)\delta-1}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

So we obtained in any case that there is a q with $N \leq q \leq N^\nu$ for which either (6) or (9) holds. Restricting our γ and δ by

$$\frac{\delta}{\gamma} = \gamma - (k-1)\delta - 1; \quad \delta = \frac{\gamma - 1}{k - 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

we obtain that there is q in any case with $N \leq q \leq N^\nu$ for which

$$\|q \alpha_i\| < \frac{2^{k+1}}{q^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma(k-1)+1}}} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Finally, choosing γ so, that

$$\vartheta = \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma(k-1) + 1}; \quad \gamma = \frac{1 + \vartheta}{1 - (k-1)\vartheta}$$

there is

$$N \leq q \leq N^{\frac{1+\vartheta}{1-(k-1)\vartheta}}$$

for which

$$\|q \alpha_i\| < \frac{2^{k+1}}{q^\vartheta} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

which proves our Theorem.

Reference

- [1] P. Szűsz, Bemerkungen zur Approximation einer reellen Zahl durch Brüche, *Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, 6 (1955), 203—212.