

ON THE DISCREPANCY OF THE SEQUENCE $\{n\alpha\}$

V.T. SÓS

Let $\{x\}$ denote the fractional part of x , I a subinterval of $[0, 1)$, $|I|$ the length of the interval I and $S_N(I; \alpha)$ the number of $\{n\alpha\}$'s, for which

$$\{n\alpha\} \in I \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < n \leq N, \quad (n \text{ integer}).$$

The object of the present paper is to sketch a new proof of an "explicit" formula for

$$\Delta_N(I; \alpha) =: S_N(I; \alpha) - N|I|,$$

when $I = [0, \beta)$. The formula was found independently by S. Monteferrante and by the author and stated at first in a lecture in Oberwolfach, at the number theory meeting during 25-31 March, 1968.

The expression "explicit" is of course a relative one, it refers for certain expansion on N resp. β . One must say in advance that the formula seems to be more useful than aesthetic. Its usefulness is shown by the fact that one can deduce from it quite a lot of known and some new results.

NOTATIONS

Let $\alpha = [0, a_1 \dots]$ be the continued fraction expansion of an irrational $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

$$(1) \quad \frac{P_k}{Q_k} = [0, a_1 \dots a_k] \quad \text{and} \quad D_k = Q_k \alpha - P_k.$$

Then we have

$$(2) \quad P_k = a_k P_{k-1} + P_{k-2}, \quad Q_k = a_k Q_{k-1} + Q_{k-2} \quad (k \geq 0)$$

with $P_{-2} = 0, P_{-1} = 1, Q_{-2} = 1, Q_{-1} = 0, a_0 = 0$. Further we have

$$(3) \quad D_k = a_k D_{k-1} + D_{k-2}.$$

It is known, ([1], [2]) that any real number $\beta \in (-\alpha, 1 - \alpha)$ can be represented in the form

$$(4) \quad \beta = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i D_i$$

where the c_i 's are integers,

$$(5) \quad 0 \leq c_0 < a_1, \quad 0 \leq c_i \leq a_{i+1} \quad \text{for} \quad i > 0$$

and

$$(6) \quad c_i = a_{i+1} \quad \text{only if} \quad c_{i-1} = 0.$$

This representation of β is unique if we do not allow the case

$$(7) \quad c_{\nu_0+2i} = a_{\nu_0+2i} \quad \text{for some} \quad \nu_0 \quad \text{and} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

(Conversely, to any sequence (c_n) under the above conditions we get a number $\beta \in (-\alpha, 1 - \alpha)$.)

It is known also and often used ([1], [2], [5]) that there is a unique expansion of any natural number X in the form

$$X = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i Q_i$$

where the x_i 's are integers $0 \leq x_0 < a_1$,

$$(8) \quad 0 \leq x_i \leq a_{i+1} \quad \text{for } i > 0$$

and

$$(9) \quad x_i = a_{i+1} \quad \text{only if } x_{i-1} = 0.$$

Let $N = \sum_{i=0}^m b_i Q_i$ ($b_m > 0$) be the expansion of N in the above form,

$$(10) \quad N_k =: \sum_{i=0}^k b_i Q_i \quad \text{and} \quad Q_k^* =: \sum_{i=0}^k c_i Q_i.$$

For the sake of simplicity let

$$(11) \quad \beta = \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} c_i D_i, \quad c_{i_0} > 0, \quad i_0 \text{ even}$$

(i.e. $c_i = 0$ for $i < i_0$ and $0 < \beta < 1 - \alpha$.)

Our formula in question qualitatively says, that $\Delta_N((0, \beta); \alpha)$ is "almost additive" in the terms $b_i Q_i$ resp. $c_i D_i$. More exactly the following theorem holds:

Theorem.

$$(12) \quad \Delta_N((0, \beta); \alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ((-1)^k \min(b_k, c_k) - c_k b_k Q_k D_k) - \\ - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l < k} (c_k b_l + c_l b_k) Q_l D_k + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \delta_l$$

where

$$\delta_l = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l \text{ even and } Q_{l-1}^* < N_{l-1} \leq N_l < Q_l^* \\ -1, & \text{if } l \text{ odd and } N_{l-1} \leq Q_{l-1}^* \leq Q_l^* < N_l \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using the above notations, we state without proof the following simple lemmas:

$$1. X = \sum_{i=0}^m x_i Q_i < N = \sum_{i=0}^m b_i Q_i \text{ iff for some } 0 \leq k \leq m$$

$$(13) \quad x_i = b_i \quad \text{if } i > k \quad \text{and} \quad x_k < b_k.$$

$$2. \text{ Let } X = \sum_{i=j_0}^m x_i Q_i, \quad x_{j_0} > 0 \text{ (i.e. } x_i = 0 \text{ for } i < j_0). \text{ Then}$$

$$\{X\alpha\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=j_0}^m x_i Q_i \alpha \right\} = \sum_{i=j_0}^m x_i D_i,$$

$$\text{if } j_0 \text{ is even; } 0 < \sum_{i=j_0}^m x_i D_i < 1 - \alpha \text{ and}$$

$$\{X\alpha\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=j_0}^m x_i Q_i \alpha \right\} = 1 + \sum_{i=j_0}^m x_i D_i$$

$$\text{if } j_0 \text{ is odd; } -\alpha < \sum_{i=j_0}^m x_i D_i < 0.$$

$$3. \text{ For a } \beta \text{ satisfying (11) and for a positive integer } X$$

$$\{X\alpha\} \in [0, \beta)$$

iff for some $l \geq 0$

$$(14) \quad x_i = c_i \quad \text{if } i < l \quad \text{and} \quad \text{sign}(c_l - x_l) = (-1)^l,$$

in addition

$$(15) \quad \text{if } x_0 = \dots = x_{\nu-1} = 0, \quad x_\nu \neq 0 \quad \text{then } \nu \text{ is even.}$$

According to these lemmas

$$(16) \quad S_N((0, \beta); \alpha) = \sum_{l,k} S_{l,k}$$

where $S_{l,k}$ denotes the number of sequences (x_i) satisfying (8), (9), (13), (14) and (15) with the fixed l and k .

Consequently for the proof of the theorem we have to determine the values of the $S_{l,k}$'s.

(a) In case $k > l > i_0$, l even, $c_l = 0$ resp. $c_l \neq 0$ we have

$$S_{l,k} = 0$$

resp.

$$S_{l,k} = c_l b_k (Q_k D_l - D_k Q_l) - b_k (Q_k D_{l+1} - D_k Q_{l+1}).$$

The case $c_l = 0$ is evident.

If $c_l \neq 0$, let A_ν be the number of sequences $(x_l, \dots, x_{l+\nu})$ which satisfy

$$0 \leq x_l < c_l$$

$$0 \leq x_i \leq a_{i+1}, \quad x_i = a_{i+1} \quad \text{only if} \quad x_{i-1} = 0$$

for $l < i \leq l + \nu$.

Obviously, with $A_{-1} =: 1$ we have

$$A_0 = c_l$$

$$A_1 = a_{l+1} c_l + 1 = a_{l+2} A_0 + A_{-1}$$

and

$$A_{j+1} = a_{j+1+l+1} A_j + A_{j-1}.$$

From this, and from the recursive formulas (2), (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_\nu &= c_l (Q_{l+1+\nu} D_l - D_{l+1+\nu} Q_l) - \\ &\quad - (Q_{l+1+\nu} D_{l+1} - D_{l+1+\nu} Q_{l+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Using this with $\nu = k - l - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{l,k} &= b_k A_{k-l-1} = b_k (c_l (Q_k D_l - Q_l D_k) - \\ &\quad - (Q_k D_{l+1} - D_k Q_{l+1})). \end{aligned}$$

(b) In the case $k > l = i_0$ (i_0 even!) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{i_0,k} &= b_k (c_{i_0} - 1) (Q_k D_{i_0} - D_k Q_{i_0}) - \\ &\quad - b_k (Q_k D_{i_0+1} - D_k Q_{i_0+1}) + \delta_k^+ + \delta_k^- \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta_k^+ = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k \text{ odd, } b_k > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_k^- = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } b_k > 0, \quad b_\nu = 0 \text{ for } k < \nu < j, \\ & \quad b_j > 0, \quad j \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For the proof we need a similar reasoning, as in case (a) and beside this we have to take into consideration that for the sequences (x_i) in question (15) must hold.

We note that evidently

$$(17) \quad \sum_k (\delta_k^+ + \delta_k^-) = 1 \quad \text{if} \\ \text{for some } \nu \quad b_{2\nu+1} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_j = 0 \quad \text{if } i_0 < j < 2\nu + 1, \\ \sum_k (\delta_k^+ + \delta_k^-) = 0 \quad \text{if (17) does not hold.}$$

(c) *In the case* $k > l > i_0$, l odd, $c_{l-1} \neq 0$ resp. $c_{l-1} = 0$ we have

$$S_{l,k} = -(a_{l+1} - c_l - 1)b_k(Q_k D_l - D_k Q_l)$$

resp.

$$S_{l,k} = -(a_{l+1} - c_l)b_k(Q_k D_l - D_k Q_l).$$

For the proof we need a similar reasoning again, as in case (a), and beside this we have to take into consideration, that we may have $x_l = a_{l+1}$ only in case $x_{l-1} = c_{l-1} = 0$.

(d) *In case* $k = l > i_0$, k even resp. k odd, we have evidently

$$S_{k,k} = \min(c_k, b_k) \quad \text{resp.} \quad S_{k,k} = \max(0, b_k - c_k - 1),$$

$$S_{i_0, i_0} = \min(c_{i_0}, b_{i_0}) + \delta_{i_0}^*$$

where

$$\delta_{i_0}^* = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if (17) holds} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(e) *In case $l > k$ ($l > i_0$) we have to consider the special sequence* — if it exists at all —

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= c_i & \text{if } i \leq l-1 \\ x_i &= b_i & \text{if } i \geq k+1. \end{aligned}$$

We get easily, that

$$S_{l,k} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l \text{ even and } Q_{l-1}^* < N_{l-1} \leq N_l < Q_l^* \text{ or} \\ & \text{if } l \text{ odd and } Q_{l-1}^* < N_{l-1}, Q_l^* < N_l \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The remaining cases are contained in the last case

(f) *In case $l < i_0$ we have obviously*

$$S_{l,k} = 0.$$

Now substituting these values of the $S_{l,k}$'s, using the recursive formulas (2), (3) and treating appropriately the ± 1 terms one can complete the proof of the Theorem.

As corollaries of the above theorem we may get e.g. the following results:

Theorem of Hecke [3]. $\Delta_N((0, \beta); \alpha)$ is bounded in N if $\beta = \{k\alpha\}$ for some positive integer k .

Theorem of Kesten [4]. $\Delta_N((0, \beta); \alpha)$ is bounded in N only if $\beta = \{k\alpha\}$ for some positive integer k .

Theorem. If $\alpha = [0, a_1, \dots]$ has bounded partial quotients; $a_i \leq K$ for $i \geq 1$, then

$$\Delta_N((0, \beta); \alpha) \leq C_K \log N$$

where C_K depends only on K .

With an explicit value of C_K see [5], (without proof [6]).

Theorem. If for $\alpha = [0, a_1 \dots]$ we have $a_i \geq K$ for $i > i_0$, then

$$\inf_{\beta, N} \frac{\Delta_N((0, \beta); \alpha)}{\log N} \geq C_K^*$$

where C_K^* depends only on K and $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} C_K^* = \infty$.

Theorem. For suitable α there exists $\beta \neq \{k\alpha\}$ (k integer) such that

$$\Delta_N((0, \beta); \alpha)$$

is onesidedly bounded in N .

(It is stated without proof e.g. in [6].)

We prove these consequences in a forthcoming paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Descombes, Sur la répartition de sommets d'une ligne polygonale régulière nonfermée, *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, 75 (1956), 284-355.
- [2] V.T. Sós, On the theory of Diophantine approximation II, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, IX. 1-2 (1958), 229-241.
- [3] E. Hecke, Über analytische Funktionen und die Verteilung von Zahlen mod Eins, *Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg*, 1 (1922), 54-76.
- [4] E. Hecke, On a conjecture of Erdős and Szűs related to uniform distribution mod 1, *Acta Arithm.*, 12, (1966-67), 193-212.
- [5] E. Kuipers – H. Niederreiter, *Uniform distribution of sequences*, Wiley-Interscience, 1974, 125-126.

[6] V. T. Sós, On the distribution of the sequence $(n\alpha)$, *Tagungsbericht 28/1972. Math. Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach*, 21.

Vera T. Sós

I. Department of Analysis, Eötvös Loránd University, Múzeum krt 6-8, H-1088 Budapest, Hungary.