

(#4.) Claim: If X is compact,

F_i ($i=1,2,\dots$) are non-empty, closed subsets of X with

$F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq F_n \supseteq \dots$ then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \neq \emptyset$

Pf: By contradiction, assume

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset.$$

Consider $X \setminus F_i \in \mathcal{T}_X \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_+$

(We have $X \setminus F_i \in \mathcal{T}_X$, since F_i is closed $\forall i$.)

By deMorgan Laws

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (X \setminus F_i) = X \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i = X, \text{ since we assumed } \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i = \emptyset$$

That means $\{X \setminus F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$

is an open cover of X .

Since X is compact, this cover has a

finite subcover:

$\{X \setminus F_{i_1}, \dots, X \setminus F_{i_k}\}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

where we can assume $i_1 < \dots < i_k$.

That is $\bigcup_{j=1}^k X \setminus F_{i_j} \supseteq X$

and since X is the entire space,

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^k X \setminus F_{i_j} = X$$

But the left-hand-side is

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^k X \setminus F_{i_j} = X \setminus F_{i_k}$$

Since $F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \dots$ and $i_1 < \dots < i_k$

imply $F_{i_1} \supseteq F_{i_2} \supseteq \dots \supseteq F_{i_k}$

so $X \setminus F_{i_1} \subseteq X \setminus F_{i_2} \subseteq \dots \subseteq X \setminus F_{i_k}$.

Thus $X \setminus F_{i_k} = X \Rightarrow F_{i_k} = \emptyset$. But we assumed $F_n \neq \emptyset \forall n$.

b.) let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and

$$F_n = [n, +\infty)$$

Then clearly $F_n \neq \emptyset$, F_n are closed

$$F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \dots,$$

$$\text{but } \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset.$$