

# Ranks on Baire class $\xi$ functions

Viktor Kiss

Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest

Joint work with **Márton Elekes** and **Zoltán Vidnyánszky**.

# Definition and motivation

Let us denote the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions by  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$ . By a rank on  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$  we mean a map  $\mathcal{B}_\xi \rightarrow \omega_1$ .

Kechris and Louveau investigated the case of Baire class 1 functions. Our aim is to generalise their results to Baire class  $\xi$  functions.

## Question

*Are there ranks on the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions with nice properties?*

Some of the important properties are:

- unboundedness in  $\omega_1$
- "linearity" i.e.  $\text{rk}(cf + g) \leq \max\{\text{rk}(f), \text{rk}(g)\} \cdot \omega$
- translation invariance (if the space is a Polish group)

First of all, let us show an example of the ranks already defined on the Baire-1 functions.

# Definition and motivation

Let us denote the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions by  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$ . By a rank on  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$  we mean a map  $\mathcal{B}_\xi \rightarrow \omega_1$ .

Kechris and Louveau investigated the case of Baire class 1 functions. Our aim is to generalise their results to Baire class  $\xi$  functions.

## Question

*Are there ranks on the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions with nice properties?*

Some of the important properties are:

- unboundedness in  $\omega_1$
- "linearity" i.e.  $\text{rk}(cf + g) \leq \max\{\text{rk}(f), \text{rk}(g)\} \cdot \omega$
- translation invariance (if the space is a Polish group)

First of all, let us show an example of the ranks already defined on the Baire-1 functions.

# Definition and motivation

Let us denote the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions by  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$ . By a rank on  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$  we mean a map  $\mathcal{B}_\xi \rightarrow \omega_1$ .

Kechris and Louveau investigated the case of Baire class 1 functions. Our aim is to generalise their results to Baire class  $\xi$  functions.

## Question

*Are there ranks on the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions with nice properties?*

Some of the important properties are:

- unboundedness in  $\omega_1$
- "linearity" i.e.  $\text{rk}(cf + g) \leq \max\{\text{rk}(f), \text{rk}(g)\} \cdot \omega$
- translation invariance (if the space is a Polish group)

First of all, let us show an example of the ranks already defined on the Baire-1 functions.

# Definition and motivation

Let us denote the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions by  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$ . By a rank on  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$  we mean a map  $\mathcal{B}_\xi \rightarrow \omega_1$ .

Kechris and Louveau investigated the case of Baire class 1 functions. Our aim is to generalise their results to Baire class  $\xi$  functions.

## Question

*Are there ranks on the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions with nice properties?*

Some of the important properties are:

- unboundedness in  $\omega_1$
- "linearity" i.e.  $\text{rk}(cf + g) \leq \max\{\text{rk}(f), \text{rk}(g)\} \cdot \omega$
- translation invariance (if the space is a Polish group)

First of all, let us show an example of the ranks already defined on the Baire-1 functions.

# Definition and motivation

Let us denote the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions by  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$ . By a rank on  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$  we mean a map  $\mathcal{B}_\xi \rightarrow \omega_1$ .

Kechris and Louveau investigated the case of Baire class 1 functions. Our aim is to generalise their results to Baire class  $\xi$  functions.

## Question

*Are there ranks on the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions with nice properties?*

Some of the important properties are:

- unboundedness in  $\omega_1$
- "linearity" i.e.  $\text{rk}(cf + g) \leq \max\{\text{rk}(f), \text{rk}(g)\} \cdot \omega$
- translation invariance (if the space is a Polish group)

First of all, let us show an example of the ranks already defined on the Baire-1 functions.

# Definition and motivation

Let us denote the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions by  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$ . By a rank on  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$  we mean a map  $\mathcal{B}_\xi \rightarrow \omega_1$ .

Kechris and Louveau investigated the case of Baire class 1 functions. Our aim is to generalise their results to Baire class  $\xi$  functions.

## Question

*Are there ranks on the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions with nice properties?*

Some of the important properties are:

- unboundedness in  $\omega_1$
- "linearity" i.e.  $\text{rk}(cf + g) \leq \max\{\text{rk}(f), \text{rk}(g)\} \cdot \omega$
- translation invariance (if the space is a Polish group)

First of all, let us show an example of the ranks already defined on the Baire-1 functions.

# Definition and motivation

Let us denote the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions by  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$ . By a rank on  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$  we mean a map  $\mathcal{B}_\xi \rightarrow \omega_1$ .

Kechris and Louveau investigated the case of Baire class 1 functions. Our aim is to generalise their results to Baire class  $\xi$  functions.

## Question

*Are there ranks on the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions with nice properties?*

Some of the important properties are:

- unboundedness in  $\omega_1$
- "linearity" i.e.  $\text{rk}(cf + g) \leq \max\{\text{rk}(f), \text{rk}(g)\} \cdot \omega$
- translation invariance (if the space is a Polish group)

First of all, let us show an example of the ranks already defined on the Baire-1 functions.

# Definition and motivation

Let us denote the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions by  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$ . By a rank on  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$  we mean a map  $\mathcal{B}_\xi \rightarrow \omega_1$ .

Kechris and Louveau investigated the case of Baire class 1 functions. Our aim is to generalise their results to Baire class  $\xi$  functions.

## Question

*Are there ranks on the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions with nice properties?*

Some of the important properties are:

- unboundedness in  $\omega_1$
- "linearity" i.e.  $\text{rk}(cf + g) \leq \max\{\text{rk}(f), \text{rk}(g)\} \cdot \omega$
- translation invariance (if the space is a Polish group)

First of all, let us show an example of the ranks already defined on the Baire-1 functions.

# Definition and motivation

Let us denote the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions by  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$ . By a rank on  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$  we mean a map  $\mathcal{B}_\xi \rightarrow \omega_1$ .

Kechris and Louveau investigated the case of Baire class 1 functions. Our aim is to generalise their results to Baire class  $\xi$  functions.

## Question

*Are there ranks on the class of Baire- $\xi$  functions with nice properties?*

Some of the important properties are:

- unboundedness in  $\omega_1$
- "linearity" i.e.  $\text{rk}(cf + g) \leq \max\{\text{rk}(f), \text{rk}(g)\} \cdot \omega$
- translation invariance (if the space is a Polish group)

First of all, let us show an example of the ranks already defined on the Baire-1 functions.

# Ranks on Baire class 1 functions

It is well-known that for every  $H \in \Delta_2^0$  there exists a decreasing continuous sequence of closed sets  $\{F_\eta\}_{\eta < \xi}$  such that

$$H = \bigcup_{\substack{\eta < \xi \\ \eta \text{ is even}}} F_\eta \setminus F_{\eta+1}.$$

Define  $\text{rk}_{\Delta_2^0}(H)$  as the minimal length of such a sequence.

For  $A, B \subset X$  disjoint  $G_\delta$  sets define

$$\alpha(A, B) = \min\{\text{rk}_{\Delta_2^0}(H) : A \subset H, B \subset H^c, H \in \Delta_2^0\}.$$

Finally,

## Definition

The **separation rank** of a Baire class 1 function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is

$$\alpha(f) = \sup_{\substack{p, q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ p < q}} \alpha((f \leq p), (f \geq q)).$$

# Ranks on Baire class 1 functions

It is well-known that for every  $H \in \Delta_2^0$  there exists a decreasing continuous sequence of closed sets  $\{F_\eta\}_{\eta < \xi}$  such that

$$H = \bigcup_{\substack{\eta < \xi \\ \eta \text{ is even}}} F_\eta \setminus F_{\eta+1}.$$

Define  $\text{rk}_{\Delta_2^0}(H)$  as the minimal length of such a sequence.

For  $A, B \subset X$  disjoint  $G_\delta$  sets define

$$\alpha(A, B) = \min\{\text{rk}_{\Delta_2^0}(H) : A \subset H, B \subset H^c, H \in \Delta_2^0\}.$$

Finally,

## Definition

The **separation rank** of a Baire class 1 function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is

$$\alpha(f) = \sup_{\substack{p, q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ p < q}} \alpha((f \leq p), (f \geq q)).$$

# Ranks on Baire class 1 functions

It is well-known that for every  $H \in \Delta_2^0$  there exists a decreasing continuous sequence of closed sets  $\{F_\eta\}_{\eta < \xi}$  such that

$$H = \bigcup_{\substack{\eta < \xi \\ \eta \text{ is even}}} F_\eta \setminus F_{\eta+1}.$$

Define  $\text{rk}_{\Delta_2^0}(H)$  as the minimal length of such a sequence.

For  $A, B \subset X$  disjoint  $G_\delta$  sets define

$$\alpha(A, B) = \min\{\text{rk}_{\Delta_2^0}(H) : A \subset H, B \subset H^c, H \in \Delta_2^0\}.$$

Finally,

## Definition

The **separation rank** of a Baire class 1 function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is

$$\alpha(f) = \sup_{\substack{p, q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ p < q}} \alpha((f \leq p), (f \geq q)).$$

# Ranks on Baire class 1 functions

It is well-known that for every  $H \in \Delta_2^0$  there exists a decreasing continuous sequence of closed sets  $\{F_\eta\}_{\eta < \xi}$  such that

$$H = \bigcup_{\substack{\eta < \xi \\ \eta \text{ is even}}} F_\eta \setminus F_{\eta+1}.$$

Define  $\text{rk}_{\Delta_2^0}(H)$  as the minimal length of such a sequence.

For  $A, B \subset X$  disjoint  $G_\delta$  sets define

$$\alpha(A, B) = \min\{\text{rk}_{\Delta_2^0}(H) : A \subset H, B \subset H^c, H \in \Delta_2^0\}.$$

Finally,

## Definition

The **separation rank** of a Baire class 1 function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is

$$\alpha(f) = \sup_{\substack{p, q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ p < q}} \alpha((f \leq p), (f \geq q)).$$

# Ranks on Baire class 1 functions

The other two ranks are the **oscillation rank** ( $\beta(f)$ ) and the **convergence rank** ( $\gamma(f)$ ), but we will not need the exact form of the somewhat technical definitions, so we omit them.

However, it is interesting to note that these three ranks are defined according to the three classical characterisations of the Baire class 1 functions.

## Baire-1 ranks

separation rank ( $\alpha$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  level sets of the function

oscillation rank ( $\beta$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  continuity point restricted to every nonempty closed set

convergence rank ( $\gamma$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  pointwise limit of continuous functions

# Ranks on Baire class 1 functions

The other two ranks are the **oscillation rank** ( $\beta(f)$ ) and the **convergence rank** ( $\gamma(f)$ ), but we will not need the exact form of the somewhat technical definitions, so we omit them.

However, it is interesting to note that these three ranks are defined according to the three classical characterisations of the Baire class 1 functions.

## Baire-1 ranks

separation rank ( $\alpha$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  level sets of the function

oscillation rank ( $\beta$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  continuity point restricted to every nonempty closed set

convergence rank ( $\gamma$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  pointwise limit of continuous functions

# Ranks on Baire class 1 functions

The other two ranks are the **oscillation rank** ( $\beta(f)$ ) and the **convergence rank** ( $\gamma(f)$ ), but we will not need the exact form of the somewhat technical definitions, so we omit them.

However, it is interesting to note that these three ranks are defined according to the three classical characterisations of the Baire class 1 functions.

## Baire-1 ranks

separation rank ( $\alpha$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  level sets of the function

oscillation rank ( $\beta$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  continuity point restricted to every nonempty closed set

convergence rank ( $\gamma$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  pointwise limit of continuous functions

# Ranks on Baire class 1 functions

The other two ranks are the **oscillation rank** ( $\beta(f)$ ) and the **convergence rank** ( $\gamma(f)$ ), but we will not need the exact form of the somewhat technical definitions, so we omit them.

However, it is interesting to note that these three ranks are defined according to the three classical characterisations of the Baire class 1 functions.

## Baire-1 ranks

separation rank ( $\alpha$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  level sets of the function

oscillation rank ( $\beta$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  continuity point restricted to every nonempty closed set

convergence rank ( $\gamma$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  pointwise limit of continuous functions

# Ranks on Baire class 1 functions

The other two ranks are the **oscillation rank** ( $\beta(f)$ ) and the **convergence rank** ( $\gamma(f)$ ), but we will not need the exact form of the somewhat technical definitions, so we omit them.

However, it is interesting to note that these three ranks are defined according to the three classical characterisations of the Baire class 1 functions.

## Baire-1 ranks

separation rank ( $\alpha$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  level sets of the function

oscillation rank ( $\beta$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  continuity point restricted to every nonempty closed set

convergence rank ( $\gamma$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  pointwise limit of continuous functions

# Properties of the ranks on Baire class 1 functions

These ranks more or less satisfy the properties we want.

- all three ranks are unbounded in  $\omega_1$  (it can be shown for  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha(f) \leq \beta(f) \leq \gamma(f)$  modulo some finite difference)
- $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are "linear", i.e.

$$\beta(cf + g) \leq \max\{\beta(f), \beta(g)\} \cdot \omega$$

(and the same stands for  $\gamma$ )

- all three ranks are translation invariant (on Polish groups)

# Properties of the ranks on Baire class 1 functions

These ranks more or less satisfy the properties we want.

- all three ranks are unbounded in  $\omega_1$  (it can be shown for  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha(f) \leq \beta(f) \leq \gamma(f)$  modulo some finite difference)
- $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are "linear", i.e.

$$\beta(cf + g) \leq \max\{\beta(f), \beta(g)\} \cdot \omega$$

(and the same stands for  $\gamma$ )

- all three ranks are translation invariant (on Polish groups)

# Properties of the ranks on Baire class 1 functions

These ranks more or less satisfy the properties we want.

- all three ranks are unbounded in  $\omega_1$  (it can be shown for  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha(f) \leq \beta(f) \leq \gamma(f)$  modulo some finite difference)
- $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are "linear", i.e.

$$\beta(cf + g) \leq \max\{\beta(f), \beta(g)\} \cdot \omega$$

(and the same stands for  $\gamma$ )

- all three ranks are translation invariant (on Polish groups)

# Properties of the ranks on Baire class 1 functions

These ranks more or less satisfy the properties we want.

- all three ranks are unbounded in  $\omega_1$  (it can be shown for  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha(f) \leq \beta(f) \leq \gamma(f)$  modulo some finite difference)
- $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are "linear", i.e.

$$\beta(cf + g) \leq \max\{\beta(f), \beta(g)\} \cdot \omega$$

(and the same stands for  $\gamma$ )

- all three ranks are translation invariant (on Polish groups)

# Properties of the ranks on Baire class 1 functions

These ranks more or less satisfy the properties we want.

- all three ranks are unbounded in  $\omega_1$  (it can be shown for  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha(f) \leq \beta(f) \leq \gamma(f)$  modulo some finite difference)
- $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are "linear", i.e.

$$\beta(cf + g) \leq \max\{\beta(f), \beta(g)\} \cdot \omega$$

(and the same stands for  $\gamma$ )

- all three ranks are translation invariant (on Polish groups)

# Ranks on Baire class $\xi$ functions

The most obvious thing to do is to generalize one of the ranks on Baire-1 functions. We can only do that with the separation rank.

Replace  $\Delta_2^0$ , closed and  $G_\delta$  sets by  $\Delta_{\xi+1}^0$ ,  $\Pi_\xi^0$  and  $\Pi_{\xi+1}^0$  sets in the definition of the separation rank.

Let us denote this rank by

$$\alpha_\xi.$$

It turns out that this rank is unbounded, but is not linear, since

$$\sup\{\alpha_\xi(f + g) : f, g \in \mathcal{B}_\xi, \alpha_\xi(f) = \alpha_\xi(g) = 2\} = \omega_1.$$

# Ranks on Baire class $\xi$ functions

The most obvious thing to do is to generalize one of the ranks on Baire-1 functions. We can only do that with the separation rank.

Replace  $\Delta_2^0$ , closed and  $G_\delta$  sets by  $\Delta_{\xi+1}^0$ ,  $\Pi_\xi^0$  and  $\Pi_{\xi+1}^0$  sets in the definition of the separation rank.

Let us denote this rank by

$$\alpha_\xi.$$

It turns out that this rank is unbounded, but is not linear, since

$$\sup\{\alpha_\xi(f + g) : f, g \in \mathcal{B}_\xi, \alpha_\xi(f) = \alpha_\xi(g) = 2\} = \omega_1.$$

# Ranks on Baire class $\xi$ functions

The most obvious thing to do is to generalize one of the ranks on Baire-1 functions. We can only do that with the separation rank.

Replace  $\mathbf{\Delta}_2^0$ , closed and  $G_\delta$  sets by  $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\xi+1}^0$ ,  $\mathbf{\Pi}_\xi^0$  and  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\xi+1}^0$  sets in the definition of the separation rank.

Let us denote this rank by

$$\alpha_\xi.$$

It turns out that this rank is unbounded, but is not linear, since

$$\sup\{\alpha_\xi(f + g) : f, g \in \mathcal{B}_\xi, \alpha_\xi(f) = \alpha_\xi(g) = 2\} = \omega_1.$$

# Ranks on Baire class $\xi$ functions

The most obvious thing to do is to generalize one of the ranks on Baire-1 functions. We can only do that with the separation rank.

Replace  $\mathbf{\Delta}_2^0$ , closed and  $G_\delta$  sets by  $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\xi+1}^0$ ,  $\mathbf{\Pi}_\xi^0$  and  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\xi+1}^0$  sets in the definition of the separation rank.

Let us denote this rank by

$$\alpha_\xi.$$

It turns out that this rank is unbounded, but is not linear, since

$$\sup\{\alpha_\xi(f + g) : f, g \in \mathcal{B}_\xi, \alpha_\xi(f) = \alpha_\xi(g) = 2\} = \omega_1.$$

# Ranks on Baire class $\xi$ functions

The most obvious thing to do is to generalize one of the ranks on Baire-1 functions. We can only do that with the separation rank.

Replace  $\mathbf{\Delta}_2^0$ , closed and  $G_\delta$  sets by  $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\xi+1}^0$ ,  $\mathbf{\Pi}_\xi^0$  and  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\xi+1}^0$  sets in the definition of the separation rank.

Let us denote this rank by

$$\alpha_\xi.$$

It turns out that this rank is unbounded, but is not linear, since

$$\sup\{\alpha_\xi(f + g) : f, g \in \mathcal{B}_\xi, \alpha_\xi(f) = \alpha_\xi(g) = 2\} = \omega_1.$$

# Ranks on Baire class $\xi$ functions

The natural remedy is the following.

## Definition

For  $f \in \mathcal{B}_\xi$  let

$$\overline{\alpha}_\xi(f) = \min\{\max\{\alpha_\xi(f_1), \dots, \alpha_\xi(f_n)\} : n \in \omega, f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{B}_\xi, f = f_1 + \dots + f_n\}.$$

This time we only have a partial result.

Theorem (Elekes-K-Vidnyánszky)

$\overline{\alpha}_\xi$  is bounded on the **characteristic** Baire class  $\xi$  functions.

# Ranks on Baire class $\xi$ functions

The natural remedy is the following.

## Definition

For  $f \in \mathcal{B}_\xi$  let

$$\overline{\alpha}_\xi(f) = \min\{\max\{\alpha_\xi(f_1), \dots, \alpha_\xi(f_n)\} : n \in \omega, f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{B}_\xi, \\ f = f_1 + \dots + f_n\}.$$

This time we only have a partial result.

## Theorem (Elekes-K-Vidnyánszky)

$\overline{\alpha}_\xi$  is bounded on the **characteristic** Baire class  $\xi$  functions.

Another way to construct  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$  ranks is the following.

## Definition

For a rank  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $f \in \mathcal{B}_2$  let

$$\rho'_2(f) = \min_{\substack{f_n \rightarrow f \\ f_n \in \mathcal{B}_1}} \sup_n \rho(f_n).$$

However, to our great surprise, this does not work.

## Theorem (Elekes-K-Vidnyánszky)

*The ranks  $\alpha'_2$ ,  $\beta'_2$  and  $\gamma'_2$  are all bounded in  $\omega_1$ ! Actually, bounded by  $\omega$ .*

Another way to construct  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$  ranks is the following.

## Definition

For a rank  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $f \in \mathcal{B}_2$  let

$$\rho'_2(f) = \min_{\substack{f_n \rightarrow f \\ f_n \in \mathcal{B}_1}} \sup_n \rho(f_n).$$

However, to our great surprise, this does not work.

## Theorem (Elekes-K-Vidnyánszky)

*The ranks  $\alpha'_2$ ,  $\beta'_2$  and  $\gamma'_2$  are all bounded in  $\omega_1$ ! Actually, bounded by  $\omega$ .*

Another way to construct  $\mathcal{B}_\xi$  ranks is the following.

## Definition

For a rank  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $f \in \mathcal{B}_2$  let

$$\rho'_2(f) = \min_{\substack{f_n \rightarrow f \\ f_n \in \mathcal{B}_1}} \sup_n \rho(f_n).$$

However, to our great surprise, this does not work.

## Theorem (Elekes-K-Vidnyánszky)

*The ranks  $\alpha'_2$ ,  $\beta'_2$  and  $\gamma'_2$  are all bounded in  $\omega_1$ ! Actually, bounded by  $\omega$ .*

# Topology refinement

A bit less natural approach involves topology refinements.

For an  $f \in \mathcal{B}_\xi$  let

$$T_{f,\xi} = \{\tau' : \tau' \text{ is Polish, } f \in \mathcal{B}_1(\tau'), \tau' \subset \Sigma_\xi^0(\tau)\}.$$

And now the definition of the rank is the following.

## Definition

For a rank  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $f \in \mathcal{B}_\xi$  let

$$\rho_\xi^*(f) = \inf_{\tau' \in T_{f,\xi}} \rho_{\tau'}(f),$$

where  $\rho_{\tau'}(f)$  is the  $\rho$  rank of  $f$  in the  $\tau'$  topology.

# Topology refinement

A bit less natural approach involves topology refinements.

For an  $f \in \mathcal{B}_\xi$  let

$$T_{f,\xi} = \{\tau' : \tau' \text{ is Polish, } f \in \mathcal{B}_1(\tau'), \tau' \subset \Sigma_\xi^0(\tau)\}.$$

And now the definition of the rank is the following.

## Definition

For a rank  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $f \in \mathcal{B}_\xi$  let

$$\rho_\xi^*(f) = \inf_{\tau' \in T_{f,\xi}} \rho_{\tau'}(f),$$

where  $\rho_{\tau'}(f)$  is the  $\rho$  rank of  $f$  in the  $\tau'$  topology.

This turns out to be good for our purposes.

**Theorem (Elekes-K-Vidnyánszky)**

*The ranks  $\beta_\xi^*$  and  $\gamma_\xi^*$  are nice.*

# An application to the solvability of systems of difference equations

## Definition

$D : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is said to be a **difference operator**, if there are real number  $a_i, b_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that for every  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$

$$(Df)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(x + b_i).$$

## Definition

A system of difference equations is

$$D_i(f) = g_i \quad (i \in I),$$

where  $I$  is an arbitrary set of indices  $D_i$  is a difference operator and  $g_i$  is a given function for every  $i \in I$ .

# An application to the solvability of systems of difference equations

## Definition

$D : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is said to be a **difference operator**, if there are real number  $a_i, b_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that for every  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$

$$(Df)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(x + b_i).$$

## Definition

A system of difference equations is

$$D_i(f) = g_i \quad (i \in I),$$

where  $I$  is an arbitrary set of indices  $D_i$  is a difference operator and  $g_i$  is a given function for every  $i \in I$ .

# An application to the solvability of systems of difference equations

## Definition

$D : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is said to be a **difference operator**, if there are real number  $a_i, b_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that for every  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$

$$(Df)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(x + b_i).$$

## Definition

A system of difference equations is

$$D_i(f) = g_i \quad (i \in I),$$

where  $I$  is an arbitrary set of indices  $D_i$  is a difference operator and  $g_i$  is a given function for every  $i \in I$ .

# An application to the solvability of systems of difference equations

Elekes and Laczkovich investigated the solvability cardinal of classes of functions.

## Definition (Elekes-Laczkovich)

*Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  be a class of real functions. The solvability cardinal of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the minimal cardinal  $sc(\mathcal{F})$  with the property that if every subsystem of size less than  $sc(\mathcal{F})$  of a system of difference equations has a solution in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then the whole system has a solution in  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

## Corollary (Elekes-K-Vidnyánszky)

*If  $\xi \geq 2$ , then  $sc(\{f : f \in \mathcal{B}_\xi\}) \geq \omega_2$ .*

# An application to the solvability of systems of difference equations

Elekes and Laczkovich investigated the solvability cardinal of classes of functions.

## Definition (Elekes-Laczkovich)

*Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  be a class of real functions. The solvability cardinal of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the minimal cardinal  $sc(\mathcal{F})$  with the property that if every subsystem of size less than  $sc(\mathcal{F})$  of a system of difference equations has a solution in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then the whole system has a solution in  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

## Corollary (Elekes-K-Vidnyánszky)

*If  $\xi \geq 2$ , then  $sc(\{f : f \in \mathcal{B}_\xi\}) \geq \omega_2$ .*